Let’s cut directly to the chase on nonlocal consciousness. Scientifically it’s considered proven. Structurally it underpins all the prior anomalies that we have discussed and yet those are unaccepted as proven and nonlocal consciousness is.

Several years ago I was writing a white paper for the bipartisan policy commission on the use of the science of reincarnation as a counter radicalization initiative. With the help of Dean Radin and Stephan Schwartz we synopsized that proof as follows.

“The emerging science of reincarnation is being done at the following institutions and is defined by the various subsets of this science enumerated below.

Psychic ability (psi) does not immediately imply the existence of reincarnation. It does however lay an empirical foundation for the idea that the mind reaches beyond the body. That in turn implies that the traditional notion of reincarnation may have some basis. Independently repeatable evidence for psi effects has been established at beyond the 6 sigma level for at least six classes of experiments.

Examples of psi include remote viewing, a modern term for clairvoyance when used for a particular purpose. Remote viewing was developed into a training program at SRI International in the 1970’s through 1990s, and turned into an operational unit within the US Army. It was funded for 25 million dollars by the CIA, DIA, Army and other government agencies with measurable and acknowledged successes. Remote viewing indicates that the mind can reach beyond the conventional senses and ordinary boundaries of space and time.

The intention experiments done at Princeton University over a 25 year period statistically indicated that humans are able to influence events at a distance by simply applying their intent. This line of research challenges the orthodox
assumption that mind and matter are completely separate. It appears that some aspects of the mind are intimately related to the behavior of matter.

Children who seem to recall previous lives is an ongoing 50 year study being conducted at the University of Virginia. The project is referred to as “the science of reincarnation” in a UVA alumni magazine article. This class of studies is the most direct evidence of the traditional notion of reincarnation.

Research on Near Death Experience began 40 years ago by psychiatrist Raymond Moody and is now being examined in detail by numerous investigators. One of the largest international consortiums on NDE research is being led by physician Sam Parnia. This work suggests that the mind and the brain may not be identical.” (White Paper #1 Bob Good)

Now the key sentence in the above sentence is that psi effects have been established at beyond the six sigma level for at least six classes of experiments. **Six Sigma** is a calculation of odds against chance that is one in a billion. That are the odds against chance of these things not being true. It is the measurement of our reality, and what these scientists are saying is psi is our reality. It is real, and it's a human ability that we all possess.

“Today there are six stabilized parapsychological protocols used in laboratories around the world exploring these two categories of phenomena. Under rigorous double or triple blind, randomized and tightly controlled conditions, each of these six has independently produced six sigma results. Six sigma is one in a billion -- 1,009,976,678 -- or the 99.9999990699 percentile. These six protocols each has its own literature. The results of all these studies are based on the sessions being double or triple blind and properly randomized, and that a pre-agreed analysis, including statistical evaluation for variance from chance, be part of the process. That is, we don't need to get bogged down in antiquated arguments about sleight-of-hand, secret cuing, and the like, although this remains a staple of nonlocal consciousness research criticism. This kind of criticism stopped being apposite several decades ago. As far back as the mid-90s, after studying the data from just one of these four protocols, remote viewing, stalwart denier University
of Oregon psychology professor Ray Hyman had to grudgingly admit, “… the experiments [being assessed] were free of the methodological weaknesses that plagued the early … research … the … experiments appear to be free of the more obvious and better known flaws that can invalidate the results of parapsychological investigations. We agree that the effect sizes reported … are too large and consistent to be dismissed as statistical flukes.”

In each case there is also a large enough body of research from enough different institutions, done by enough researchers that we have some idea of the process and how successful it can be. The studies, as I have noted, break down into two categories: Nonlocal Perception, and Nonlocal Perturbation.” (Stephan Schwartz-6 protocols)

Larry how many coin tosses in a row would equal a billion to one, the 6 sigma level?

Now we have that calculation already in six stabilized parapsychological protocols

The six classes of experiments are-Bob to finish this on the next draft

Inserted below, 5/4/18

The Six Protocols

Quantum biology, another new sub-discipline, posits the following: life is a molecular process; molecular processes operate under quantum rules. Thus, life must be a quantum process. Experimental evidence is beginning to accumulate that this quantum view of life process is correct.

Max Planck, the father of quantum mechanics, framed his opinion very clearly in an interview in 1931. Quotation. He did not mince words: “I regard consciousness as fundamental. I regard matter as derivative from consciousness. We cannot get behind consciousness. Everything that we talk about, everything that we regard as existing, postulates consciousness.”
Two corollaries flow from Planck’s assertion: first is the existence of nonlocal consciousness. An aspect of consciousness independent of space time and not resident in an organism’s physiology. The second, that all consciousnesses are interdependent and interconnected.

Nonlocal Consciousness Research

The studies of nonlocal consciousness research fall basically into two categories: nonlocal perception, the acquisition of information that could not be known through psychological sense perception, and nonlocal perturbation, consciousness directly affecting matter, including therapeutic intention/healing.

Today there are six stabilized para psychological protocols used in laboratories around the world exploring these two categories of phenomena. Under rigorous double- or triple-blind, randomized and tightly controlled conditions, each of these six independently produce six sigma results: six sigma is one in a billion. Each of the six protocols has their own literature.

Nonlocal Perception Remote Viewing

This is a double- or triple-blind protocol in which a participant is given a task that can be accomplished only through nonlocal perception, the acquisition of information that could not be known with the normal physiological senses because of shielding by time, or space, or both.

Ganzfeld

A protocol similar in intent to remote viewing in which an individual in a state of sensory deprivation provides verifiable information about film clips being shown at another location.

Presentiment
A measurable psychophysical response that occurs before actual stimulation, such as the dilation of a participant’s pupils while staring at a monitor screen before the pictures appear. Or, it is a change in brain function before a noise is heard.

Retro Cognition, Precognition

Man protocols also involve time dislocation to the past or future to be successful. It is routine today to do remote viewing experiments in which the session data are collected and judged against the randomly-chosen target before the target in that set is randomly selected.

Nonlocal Perturbation

Random event number generator. The REG protocol is actually two major protocols. The first constitutes studies in labs where an individual intends to affect the performance of a physical system, such as a random number generator.

The Global Consciousness Project

The second is the Global Consciousness Project. This is a constantly running coordinated network of computer-linked random number generators. If there were indeed non-random patterns, you would have a measure of social awareness. Consciousness linked nonlocally expressing itself as social awareness.

So you are left with a choice of two models. The first is that the physicalist model holding all consciousness as being contained within an organism’s neural anatomy. The second model are models of nonlocal consciousness.

Now all of these examples underpin the consciousness transfer that religions need to move a soul to heaven. Each religion has their own story but these examples are scientific proof of the path of transfer.
Reduce the aggregate religion stories to the common story and this is proof of that pathway.

Our own lives are half of the proof of this model. Your life and lives are fractal iterations of you consciousness. You have a particle state, you, here and now, and a wave state as exampled by the scientific proof above. Each state has its own conditions.

When doing aggregate odds against chance calculations absolute measurements as a billion to one in each of the categories act as a benchmark for our reality.

This additional component weighs heavily in favor of our calculations for our observations, the common narrative being the most plausible rather than the individual religions narrative.

The particulate state you, your presence in this conversation proves 50% of this model and 70% of the world believe in their version of this model.

The next scientific iteration of our belief systems simply harmonizes with the science as when Galileo was put under house arrest. Belief had to incorporate our perceived reality.

Russell Targ’s book The Reality of ESP, a physicist’s proof of psychic abilities lays out the case for this especially well.

"In 1921, the great logician, Ludwig Wittgenstein, concluded his crystalline tractatus logico-philosophicus with the admonition that, quote, the solution to the riddle of life in space and time lies outside space and time." And in 1964, theoretical physicist, John Stewart Bell proved mathematically that the results predicted by quantum mechanics could not be explained by any theory that preserves our usual ideas of locality. I discuss this non-local connectivity further in the introduction. Finally, statistics professor, Jessica Utts, at the University of California Davis (UC Davis), began her detailed 1995 CIA-commissioned assessment of our SRI remote viewing research by writing, "using the standards applied to any other area of science, it is concluded that psychic functioning has been well established. The statistical results of the studies examined are far
beyond what is expected by chance. Effects of similar magnitude have been replicated in laboratories across the world.” (The reality of ESP – Russell Targ – XXI – XXII.)

So the odds against chance calculation that governments and scientists use six sigma results, or the odds against chance of this not being true are one in a billion, and that proof crosses six different protocols.

But is this grounded in physics?

“At our most elemental, we are not a chemical reaction, but an energetic charge.”

(This means that our cells are made up of atoms which are made up of subatomic particles which are made up of energy. In spite of the fact we see ourselves as bodies, what we really are composed of is pure energy.) ...Human beings and all living things are a coalescence of energy in the field of energy connected to every other thing in the world.” (xxiii Lynn McTaggart The Field)

“Matter at its most fundamental level could not be divided into independently existing units or even fully described. Subatomic particles weren’t solid little objects like billiard balls, but vibrating and indeterminate packets of energy that could not be precisely quantified or understood in themselves.”(p10)

“Quantum physicists had discovered a strange property in the subatomic world called “non-locality”. This refers to the ability of a quantum entity such as an individual electron to influence another quantum particle instantaneously over distance despite there being no exchange of force or energy.” (p11)”

“In quantum physics, however, it was discovered, the state of all possibilities of any quantum particle collapsed into a set entity as soon as it was observed or measurement taken. To explain these strange events, quantum physicists had postulated that a participatory relationship existed between observer and observed- these particles could only be considered as “probably” existing in space and time until they were “perturbed” and the act of observing and measuring them forced them into a set state, -an act akin to solidifying jello.”(p11)
What they had discovered is totally at odds with how we view the world. Now two points here before we continue let Lynn give you the first one.

“The most important quality common to all these researchers was a simple willingness to suspend disbelief and remain open to true discovery, even if it meant challenging the existing order of things, alienating colleagues or opening themselves up to censure and professional ruin. To be a revolutionary in science today is to flirt with professional suicide.”(13)

Both the student and the casual reader must understand the socially embedded resistance to change in the academic and scientific communities. To extrapolate the discoveries in physics with the science of reincarnation is to tell you that the entire life you have lived is only a portion of the life you have already lived and are going to live. That what you see is fate or karma are simply mathematical formulas of cause-and-effect.

If I were to describe psi research to a layman, I would say it is research about out-of-body consciousness. But does out of body consciousness exist? Certainly, you can’t have an afterlife if you aren’t conscious outside your body. So, can we absolutely prove psi exists? The answer seems to be yes.

So let’s start.

Psi is the first letter in the Greek word psyche, which means soul or mind. British scientist Rob Thouless coined the expression psi research. Different varieties of psi exist, such as mind-to-mind communication (telepathy), predicting future events (precognition), affecting matter with your mind (psychokinesis), and the one that allows us to see distant objects and events, clairvoyance. Psi falls into two categories: observation, such as clairvoyance and precognition, and affecting objects, such as psychokinesis.
Could a heading do any more to put you off? I am now going to condense 140 years of telepathic research so that we can show its purpose for our own subject—reincarnation.

Professor Joseph Banks Rhine worked at Duke University from the late 1920s to 1965 and developed the forced-choice of cards technique that uses a special deck of twenty-five cards consisting of five symbols (square, circle, wavy lines, star, and triangle) on five cards each. A person acting as the sender would shuffle the cards, select the top card, and try to send that symbol mentally to a remote person, the receiver (Radin 1997, 67).

The experiment was judged a success or failure, based on the number of hits and how far that total deviated from chance. Here, random chance was one in five that the remote person—the receiver—would be right, and thus there’d be an average of five hits in a twenty-five card deck, right?

Not exactly. During Rhine’s tests there were always more than five hits. You would have expected there to be some end results with less than five if it was only random chance, but that never happened. Something was positively influencing the results.

Rhine’s tests have been tried thousands of times, and the results have been consistently supported the existence of psi. So that’s that, right? Psi exists; let’s change the metaparadigm.

Unfortunately, regardless of all these individual studies, the results can be doubted under a variety of scientific criticisms. There could be selective reporting, design flaws in the experiments, or even what is called sensory leakage (hints given subconsciously by the scientist to nudge the subject into the right answers). One advantage of this skepticism, however, is that it all “refined the methods used in future experiments” (Radin 1997, 88).

So the experiments continued to meet higher and higher standards.
This scientific fight to establish psi is not a new one. Even as early as 1880 to 1940, similar ESP card experiments provided increasingly persuasive evidence for psi. But it eventually became evident that the results could be attributed to clairvoyance rather than telepathy, which meant that a sender was not needed. The experiment, therefore, needed a drastic retooling (Radin 1997, 67).

A new experiment conducted from 1966 to 1972 at Maimonides Medical Center in Brooklyn asked for a sender to transmit mental images to a sleeping person in the middle of a dream. The theory was that this sender could implant these images and that “the dreamer will sometimes incorporate those images into the dream” (Radin 1997, 69).

That’s a fairly drastic redesign of the experiment, isn’t it? But wait, there’s more.

In the mid-1970s, researchers designed a telepathy experiment using a sensory deprivation technique called ganzfeld, a German word meaning whole field. The idea was to limit the amount of sensory input in the subject in order to eliminate noise, “thereby improving the likelihood of perceiving faint perceptions that are normally overwhelmed by ordinary sensory input” (Radin 1997, 74).

The results were a significantly higher hit rate than chance. This experiment was performed in ten different laboratories, and the results were very compelling.

“We now know that . . . we are fully justified in having a very high confidence that people sometimes get small amounts of specific information from a distance without the use of ordinary senses” (Radin 1997, 88).

If we have a high degree of confidence that we can receive information, could we then send information? How could we test that theory?

Remember those ESP tests where it was pretty certain that the subjects were tangibly influencing their results? Well, here’s a theory that Radin offers. If we consider all the ESP card tests conducted in laboratories all over the world between 1882 to 1939, the likelihood that all of these experimenters just got lucky and had the “more than five guessed correctly” results is phenomenally small—“a billion trillion to one” (Radin 1997, 97).
That means there’s a billion trillion to one chance that ESP does exist, with the “one” meaning “they just got lucky.” So did they just get lucky? I think not.

Not only are current laboratories coming to the same conclusion as those that conducted experiments in the late nineteenth and early twentieth century, but even the U. S. government has performed these experiments and has gotten some amazing results. Here’s a story Radin tells about the government experimenting with remote viewing and, through it, seeing how plausible clairvoyance actually is.

Sometimes the results were so striking that they far exceeded the effects typically observed in formal laboratory tests. In one test conducted at the request of government clients who wished to see how useful remote viewing might be in real intelligence missions, Dr. Edwin May described how a remote viewer was able to successfully describe a target, having no prior information about the target other than that it was a “technical device somewhere in the United States.”

The actual target was a high-energy microwave generator in the Southwest. Without knowing this, the “viewer” drew and described an object remarkably similar to a microwave generator, including its function, approximate size, and housing, and even correctly noted that it had “a beam divergence angle of 30 degrees.”

Most of the classified, mission-oriented remote viewings could not be evaluated as controlled, formal experiments, because that was not their intent. In some cases, however, unexpected information obtained through remote viewing was later confirmed to be correct, and this was important because it demonstrated the pragmatic value of this technique for use in real-world missions (Radin 1997, 98–99).

So, how likely was it that the remote viewing experiments were just “really lucky guesses”? They calculated that probability too, and the likelihood that the remote viewing experiments were legitimate remote views, not just luck, was “more than a billion billion to one” (Radin 1997, 101).
(Radin has since refined this number to 10 to the 27th power meaning this ability is considered proven)

Here’s what Radin says about what he calls anomalous cognition—essentially, psi—and the likelihood of its existence: “It is clear to this author that anomalous cognition is possible and has been demonstrated. This conclusion is not based on belief, but rather on commonly accepted scientific criteria. The phenomenon has been replicated in a number of forms across laboratories and cultures. . . . I believe that it would be wasteful of valuable resources to continue to look for proof” (Radin 1997, 102).

I especially like that last part—Radin’s saying that we should just accept that psi exists and stop throwing our money away on further research just intended to prove it.

Radin concurs that the explanation for psychic abilities is found in quantum theory. He says that such abilities “exist outside the usual boundaries of space time,” which basically means that they’re nonlocal.

Radin also examines intention, or as he puts it, “collective wishing.” I include this because it is a real-world example of how scientists look at reality and because its results coincide with Jahn and Dunne’s experiments with random number generators.

Wishing for Good Weather

To test whether collective wishing made a difference, Nelson examined the historical weather data for the days before, during, and after graduation at Princeton University for a period of thirty years. He paid most attention to the daily precipitation data recorded in the Princeton, New Jersey, area, and in six surrounding towns, which acted as "control" locations. He predicted that on the day of graduation there would be more sunshine and less rain in Princeton than on the days before or after.

Nelson’s analysis revealed that on average, over thirty years, there was indeed less rain around graduation days than a few days
before and after graduation, with odds of nearly twenty to one against chance. An identical analysis for the average rainfall in six surrounding towns showed no such effect. Over thirty years, about 72 percent of the days around graduation had no rain at all in Princeton, whereas only 67 percent of the days in the surrounding towns were dry.

Curiously, on graduation day itself, the average rainfall was slightly higher in Princeton than in the surrounding towns, owing to a massive downpour of 2.6 inches on June 12, 1962. The average rain in the surrounding towns on that same stormy day was only 0.95 inches. What makes this even stranger is that the members of the Princeton Class of '62 reported that the massive rain that day held off until after the ceremony had ended! As Nelson then pointed out, this study prompts us to reconsider the old witticism, “Everyone talks about the weather, but nobody does anything about it” (Radin 1997, 172–173).

 Psi exists, and we can use it, but there’s going to be a long laundry-list of details about it that we need to iron out to use it effectively. But as Radin points out, we can easily split the uses of psi into five categories: medicine, military, detective work, technology, and business (Radin 1997, 191).

Bob Add McTaggert quote on quantum biology and prayer

We know clairvoyants are used in police work; just look at all the TV shows. We know they are used by the military; the Japanese are using them in business; and psychic warfare is talked about in one of the oldest Chinese military books. Are you aware of Sun Tzu’s *The Art of War*? Even he talks about uses of psi, or as it was known back then, chi (pronounced key). These soldiers were literally able to conduct psychic warfare on their enemies on a psychological level (Radin 1997, 193).

The National Security Council (NSC) has used remote viewing conducted by a psychic named Joe McMoneagle. The NSC, an agency of your government if you
are an American, actually trusted him as a reliable psychic. In fact, they were right to do that. But they were not the only agency.

[In September 1979,] the National Security Council asked one of the most consistently accurate army remote viewers, a chief warrant officer named Joe McMoneagle, to “see” inside a large building somewhere in northern Russia. . . .

Because McMoneagle had gained a reputation for accuracy in previous tasks, they asked him to view the future to find out when this supposed submarine would be launched. McMoneagle scanned the future, month by month, “watching” the future construction via remote viewing, and sensed that about four months later the Russians would blast a channel from the building to the water and launch the sub.

Sure enough, about four months later, in January 1980, spy-satellite photos showed that the largest submarine ever observed was traveling through an artificial channel from the building to the body of water. The pictures showed that it had twenty missile tubes and a large, flat deck. It was eventually named a Typhoon class submarine.

Scores of generals, admirals, and political leaders who had been briefed on psi results like this came away with the knowledge that remote viewing was real. . . . the U.S. Army had supported a secret team of remote viewers. . . . those viewers had participated in hundreds of remote-viewing missions, and that the DIA, CIA, Customs Service, Drug Enforcement Administration, FBI and Secret Service had all relied on the remote-viewing team for more than a decade, sometimes with startling results (Radin 1997, 194–95).

The military is still doggedly pursuing uses of psi—Radin points out modern fighter jets and how complicated they are, not only inside the cockpit, but the actual tactics necessary for effective dog fighting. In fact, it is estimated “that about five percent of fighter pilots have accounted for
about forty percent of the successful engagements with hostile aircraft . . . in every aerial combat since World War I.

Radin comments on how “psychic” some of these ace pilots must already be, saying that, “there is some sixth sense that a man acquires when he has peered often enough out of a [jet fighter cockpit] into a hostile sky—hunches that come to him, sudden and compelling, enabling him to read signs that others don’t even see. Such a man can extract more from a faint tangle of condensation trails, or a distant flitting dot, than he has any reason or right to do.” (Radin 1997, 194–195)

“There are two ways to be fooled. One is to believe what isn’t true; the other is to refuse to believe what is true.” Søren Kierkegaard (1813–1855). (Radin 1997, 205)

In this part of psi, what you believe comes true. In a sense we are creating our own reality.

And just as the quote from Kierkegaard shows, any new science—such as the science of reincarnation—has a serious hurdle to overcome when it is first introduced. Against any new anomaly discovered in scientific research, people will put up a barrier of skepticism because it’s all new and trying to change their world, and so “to function without the annoying pain of cognitive dissonance, groups will use almost any means to achieve consensus. . . . This means that in the initial stages of a new discovery, when a scientific anomaly is first claimed, it literally cannot be seen by everyone. We have to change our expectations in order to see it (Radin 1997, 232–233).

This scientific hurdle—where a theory has to be believed before it is accepted, and then believed again—is part of a self-fulfilling prophecy. That is, if you believe it, it will happen. Radin tells us of an interesting experiment in self-fulfilling prophecy:
An experiment demonstrating the self-fulfilling prophecy was described by Harvard psychologist Robert Rosenthal in a classic book entitled *Pygmalion in the Classroom*. Teachers were led to believe that some students were high achievers and others were not. In reality, the students had been assigned at random to the two categories. The teachers’ expectations about high achievers led them to treat the “high achievers” differently than the other students, and subsequent achievement tests confirmed that the self-fulfilling prophecy indeed led to higher scores for the randomly selected “high achievers” (Radin 1997, 238).

Such studies make it absolutely clear that when experimenters know how participants should behave, it is impossible not to send out unconscious signals.

Of course, our most massive scientific hurdle here is the fact that any sort of parapsychological theory just doesn’t fit the preexisting scientific metaparadigm. Radin, too, makes a call to arms for changing this metaparadigm, saying that when the “evidence for an anomaly becomes overwhelming, and the anomaly cannot be easily accommodated by the existing scientific worldview, this is a very important sign that either our assumptions about reality are wrong or our assumptions about how we come to understand things are wrong. Or perhaps both are wrong. Assumptions at these fundamental levels act as extremely powerful drivers of expectation and belief, and as we’ve seen, we only see what we expect to see” (Radin 1997, 250). That is to say, we already have a lot of evidence pointing to some very intriguing phenomena that can’t be explained with the current metaparadigm—even though psi is staring us right in the face. You have to believe it in order to see it.

Radin adds to the argument against our current metaparadigm by pointing out the case in which nonlocality was proven true, which I’m sure you all know by now flies in the face of common sense. I’m going to point out two things—First, this common sense of yours is a fault of our current outdated metaparadigm; nonlocality does exist and there’s no use denying it. Second, it took only a “handful of experiments” (Radin 1997, 277) to verify nonlocality.
Radin shares my dismay—it only took a small amount of compelling data to prove nonlocality and get it put into textbooks, but these same minds are keeping psi far away from our scientific journals. Why?

It’s because our current metaparadigm, (The grand material metaparadigm) quantum theory included, allows for anomalies such as nonlocality, but “hardly anything predicts psi” (Radin 1997, 277), even though we have such massive evidence for it.

Let’s not lose all hope, however. Radin points out that we may have a way of bringing psi into our scientific mainstream—we just have to put it through the same paces nonlocality went through: namely, quantum theory. Radin says that “some scientific developments in recent years suggest a way of thinking about psi that is also compatible with mainstream scientific models. Four such developments are related to quantum theory. All four run counter to common sense, all four were thought to be theoretically possible but practically untestable, and all four have now been empirically proved. Of principal importance here is that all four must also be true to be compatible with what we know about psi” (Radin 1997, 282).

He then points out how we can retroactively interpret other experiments when we have psi and nonlocality at our disposal—suddenly, little quirks about certain experiments, certain unexplainable defects, can become clear. It’s like finally figuring out what that extra key on your key ring unlocks—it’s that sort of epiphany. Radin says:

Interpretation of existing theories may change when viewed in the light of psi and nonlocality. For example, in the late 1980s, neuroscientist Benjamin Libet conducted an experiment in which he asked his subjects to flex a finger at the instant of their decision. He monitored their brain waves to see if the instant that the decision was made would be reflected by a change in brain waves. On average, the volunteers took about a fifth of a second to flex their finger after they mentally decided to do so, an expected time lag for the brain to activate the neuromuscular system. But according to
their brain waves, their brains also displayed neural activity about a third of a second before they were even aware that they had decided to move their finger!

Libet interpreted this result as evidence that our sense of free will in deciding what we do may be unconsciously determined before we are consciously aware of the decision. If mental intention, which is connected to our most intimate sense of personal expression, actually does begin in a part of the brain that is outside our conscious reach, then perhaps all our behavior is completely determined by processes outside our control. (Radin 1997, 283)

All of our psi questions can be answered in the world of quantum mechanics; this is our method to get psi into mainstream science. In the meantime, we seem to have all the evidence we need to get psi widely accepted. Dean Radin says, “As some of the stranger aspects of quantum mechanics are clarified and tested, we’re finding that our understanding of the physical world is becoming more compatible with psi” (Radin 1997, 286).

And, as many of our other scientists say, Radin also wants you, the reader, as part of the general public, to demand psi-related research and more of it. If there is public interest, then there will be funding and the attention of our top scientists. Otherwise, we may very well see progress on these life-changing experiments “measured in half-centuries or centuries” (Radin 1997, 290). It won’t be anything more than extra credit unless we demand it as part of the curriculum.

If you’re on the fence about supporting psi research, then stop and think about it. While you’re thinking about it, notice that you’re not doing anything. You’re just shuffling thoughts through your head while either sitting fairly still or pacing. Radin challenges us to ask ourselves, are we really only physical beings? Really? He quotes Carl Jung, who said “it is almost an absurd prejudice to suppose that existence can only be physical. As a matter of fact, the only form of existence of which we have immediate knowledge is psychic [i.e., in the mind]. We might as well say, on the contrary, that physical existence is a mere inference, since we
know of matter only in so far as we perceive psychic images mediated by the senses” (Radin 1997, 290).

There must be a massive push to get funding for this research, though—do you really think that current science wants to overhaul itself to include psi as part of its curriculum? Do you really think that our religious leaders, especially the more fundamentalist ones, want to admit that humans may have psychic powers? The problem with psi research is that it’s too radical.

It’s true, too—we have mountains of evidence that say so—but even though we know it’s true, we have to fight as Galileo fought for the theory of heliocentricity. This fight is not going to be easy, but just think of the world once we’re able to redefine it.

Now what is the odds against chance in your opinion that this structure does not underpin the past life regression or near death experiences?

Where this memory that is transgenerational could be housed?

Are there redundant patterns in nature that we see? Yes Monarch Butterflies.
The Characteristics of DNA and Gender

The question in this chapter is how do we connect the particulate version of ourselves with the wave form version of ourselves? Who we are is a result of what is written on our DNA. But what exactly is our DNA?

DNA is like a river, you hop in and you hop out of it as you go from life to life. Let's look at one snippet of DNA over 2,000 years. If you are a human being, you have 46 chromosomes. 23 have come from your mother, and 23 have come from your father. DNA carries the memory of who were ancestors were, and what color hair is and your eyes will be, and what sex will be dominant. In short, will you be a man or a woman? DNA carries memory not just 2,000, but 200,000 years plus. There is percentage of the human population that still carries the DNA of Neanderthals.

Mitochondrial DNA is only inherited from our mothers. Mitochondrial DNA is passed from mothers to both sons and daughters, but sons cannot pass along their mother’s mitochondrial DNA to their children. This is because it is transmitted through the female egg, but that DNA goes all the way back to the first mother of that genetic line. So that DNA carries historical information about your genetic past.

That foundation is written in the math of code, and we are already doing it. I will tell you about John Craig Venter momentarily.

Most DNA is located in the cell nucleus (where it is called nuclear DNA), but a small amount of DNA can also be found in the mitochondria (where it is called mitochondrial DNA or mtDNA). The information in DNA is stored as a code made up of four chemical bases: adenine (A), guanine (G), cytosine (C), and thymine (T).
But now we've found a second level of information below the level of DNA, and in fact, this leads us to believe that that information is stored not just in the chemicals, but in the very energy that comprised the chemicals in wave form.

Scientists have discovered a second code hiding within DNA. This second code contains information that changes how scientists read the instructions contained in DNA and interpret mutations to make sense of health and disease.

A research team led by Dr. John Stamatoyannopoulos, University of Washington associate professor of genome sciences and of medicine, made the discovery. The findings are reported in the Dec. 13 issue of Science.

The work is part of the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements Project, also known as ENCODE. The National Human Genome Research Institute funded the multi-year, international effort. ENCODE aims to discover where and how the directions for biological functions are stored in the human genome.

Since the genetic code was deciphered in the 1960s, scientists have assumed that it was used exclusively to write information about proteins. UW scientists were stunned to discover that genomes use the genetic code to write two separate languages. One describes how proteins are made, and the other instructs the cell on how genes are controlled. One language is written on top of the other, which is why the second language remained hidden for so long.

“For over 40 years we have assumed that DNA changes affecting the genetic code solely impact how proteins are made,” said Stamatoyannopoulos. “Now we know that this basic assumption about reading the human genome missed half of the picture. These new findings highlight that DNA is an incredibly powerful information storage device, which nature has fully exploited in unexpected ways.”

The genetic code uses a 64-letter alphabet called codons. The UW team discovered that some codons, which they called duons, can have two meanings, one related to protein sequence, and one related to gene control. These two meanings seem to have evolved in concert with each other. The gene control
instructions appear to help stabilize certain beneficial features of proteins and how they are made.

The discovery of duons has major implications for how scientists and physicians interpret a patient’s genome and will open new doors to the diagnosis and treatment of disease.

“The fact that the genetic code can simultaneously write two kinds of information means that many DNA changes that appear to alter protein sequences may actually cause disease by disrupting gene control programs or even both mechanisms simultaneously,” said Stamatoyannopoulos.

**Stephanie Seiler**

UW Health Sciences & UW Medicine

Let’s say you have a house and are shown the attic. Informationally DNA goes down to Quantum level just like the house has a foundation. Structure decisions are being made at the quantum level. That is wave form organization and that organized structure predicts a wave form consciousness. We see 2 levels there are probably more down to energy itself because that is the foundation of everything that is ordered above it. There is structure in the very energy itself. A wave form or order which is indicative of a wave form intelligence. In short what we see in the macro has to exist in the micro.

**DNA**

DNA is a river of historical information, and matter doesn’t matter. Now look down from above with Crick and Watson, who discovered DNA and the information that it provides.

Genome scientist, Dr. John Stamatoyannopoulos led a team at the University of Washington that discovered a second code hidden in DNA. In fact, the information that DNA carries is carried all the way down to its wave form energy, and another way we can look through DNA to Genghis Khan, notable for his DNA footprint because of all the women that he was with. That footprint can
be seen today in the Mongolian population as derivative descendants of DNA. But we see at the genetic level memories of children of holocaust survivors whose trauma was recorded on their DNA, and then passed to their descendants. We know this now, but there are levels and discoveries yet to come led by quantum biology and physicists.

AI is developing to help us learn, if we use this model correctly. Disaster is another option, though. How smart are we? How well do we use AI?

Quantum Theory applied to Biology

We simply do not understand how quantum theory applies to biology. We have proof that the mind can reach through time and space with the archeological experiments. It can influence machines. We hear about the power of positive thinking but no one has attempted to explain it. Yet experiment after experiment is building a force upon our current metaparadigm that soon we will be forced to incorporate an aspect of human nature that only existed in faith.

“If quantum theory were applied to biology on a larger scale we would be viewed more as a complex network of energy fields in some sort of dynamic interplay with our chemical cellular systems.” (P12 The Field)

This leads to the hypothesis that our bodies are illusions and really energy fields moving through a sea of energy. The question becomes how you test this theory.

So here if you are made of the four compounds of the DNA code and I can digitize the four-nucleotide units of DNA, adenine, guanine, thymine, and cytosine down the DNA code can I have a frequency of you and will it retain reference; that is will it still be you? In sort can I transduce the chemicals in your DNA as we did the cardio dilators in the earlier experiment with the Hartley Guinea Pig heart?

Does this sound like how Star Trek’s transporter would work and how far away are we from this technology? Apparently we have test results I already mentioned that digitized the chemicals acetycholine, atropine and mepyramine and other labs have replicated that experiment. The layman’s question is why couldn’t I digitize your DNA code? Well we are already doing it.
John Craig Venter, an American biologist and entrepreneur, is most famous for his leading role in sequencing the human genome, and for his role in creating the first cell with a synthetic genome, in 2010. Venter, one of the leading scientists of the twenty-first century, founded Celera Genomics, the Institute for Genomic Research, and the J. Craig Venter Institute (JCVI), and is now working to create synthetic biological organisms.

With a computer program and chemicals, Venter created self-replicating life. He programmed a DNA code for a self-replicating organism.

Genomic science has greatly enhanced our understanding of the biological world. It is enabling researchers to read the genetic code of organisms from all branches of life by sequencing the four letters that make up DNA. Sequencing genomes has now become routine, giving rise to thousands of genomes in the public databases. In essence, scientists are digitizing biology by converting the series of A, C, T, and G that make up DNA into ones and zeros in a computer. But can the process be reversed? Can you start with zeros and ones in a computer and define the characteristics of a living cell? Scientists set out to answer this question. Using the binary code of the computer, they tried to design a chemical makeup of something that would live.

In the field of chemistry, once chemists determine the structure of a new compound, they then synthesize the chemical to determine if the synthetic structure functioned like the original compound. Now, Venter and his colleagues are doing the same with genomes. In 2003, they created a virus; in 2008, they synthesized a small bacterial genome; and in 2010, the synthetic genome was used to create the first cell controlled completely by a synthetic genome.

Twenty-five years ago, you could not conceive that a scientist would create life by using four chemical compounds and a computer, but that’s what happened.

Now here’s the point. Whether you agree or disagree with the finer points of whether Venter has actually created life, the people with the money—the real money—believe he did it, because he’s now worth hundreds of millions of dollars.
And if we can create life from chemistry, we can create life from physics. That is how you create the land of the soul—intention. So, if we can create life using a computer and chemistry, as Venter did, then why would you be offended by my speculation that we can do the same thing using physics?

(Editor’s note: a piece on CRISPER will be added here in the next draft)

Gender and DNA

If the mathematics of the science of reincarnation be evaluated properly, then we'd have to put it in an accounting ledger, and not just add the columns, but cross foot them to make sure they match and are in balance, so the first thing we're going to do is look at gender and see if what we see in the real world around us lines up with what we're being told in the anecdotal disciplines. What we see is both narrators are consistent with each other, and they support each other.

In the real world, we see a spectrum of gender. The old view is that gender is binary, and gay, lesbian, and transgender people are deviant. The emerging paradigm is that gender is a spectrum, which is the correct view. Think of gender as having two poles -- a zero and a one, or a negative and a positive. But those poles send information to each other, constantly recycling. Whether or not you believe that model, what you have to accept is that there are gay people, lesbian people, and transgender people in the world, and it is common to all cultures, accepted more in some and unaccepted in others. We know historically this is consistent, because Alexander the Great was gay, and Julius Caesar was known as every woman's man and every man's woman.

In the anecdotal disciplines, we see this narrative continues where souls change gender. It is consistent, this narrative, with what we see around us. We see this extending throughout the animal and plant kingdoms. It is common to life. Why?
Our gender rests on our DNA. Our DNA defines who we are, whether we are a man or a woman. We get 23 chromosomes from each of our parents, marking the 46 chromosomes that defines what our body is, whether we’re going to be susceptible to sickle cell anemia, or have blue eyes or blonde hair. Ancestry.com looks at your DNA to define who you are, where you came from, and what your heritage is. But that's a snapshot. DNA itself is a river.

Epigenetic memory is memory DNA holds of past lives. You see anxiety markers in children of holocaust victims, because that trauma was imprinted on the DNA, and then passed to the children.

You see it in monarch butterflies remembering a location. Monarchs are born in Mexico, and that generation flies to the middle of the United States where they die. The next generation flies to southern Canada where they die. The next generation flies back to Mexico to a place that neither they nor their parents have ever visited. You can either attribute that to epigenetic memory, or proof of reincarnation. But it an anomaly in the scientific sense, as we don't know what really causes that. In isolation that snippet of information doesn't fit in with our prevailing metaparadigm, but when connected to the other things that we see, fits seamlessly into a new emerging model. This particular wave of DNA itself goes from displaying as male to female as 23 genes from one sex end up into the–

How far back can we see into DNA?

We can see our ancestors in the DNA that we carry. We can see the descendants of Genghis Khan in the DNA of the Mongolian people. We can even see the mitochondrial DNA, the first original mother of that line of DNA that all of us as mammals carry.

So how do you study the science of reincarnation inside the structure of your DNA? You go to the one-tenth of 1% of your anecdotal candidates and genetically examine them for common perturbations. Common form structure and coding that allow the hypersensitivity to psi. What you are doing then is
preparing structural criteria for the development of RAIV, the Remote Artificial Intelligence Viewer.

We can make the case that you're laying the code for our ability to reincarnate, but really it has another purpose. You're writing code to access a body of wave form knowledge that isn't available in particulate form, and by writing code that matches the one-tenth of 1%, you are actually laying the foundation for the Remote Artificial Intelligent Viewer.

This model does not contravene Plank's victim of 1931, which is consciousness is fundamental, matter is derivative. That means before matter was created, consciousness was aware, and that your consciousness is fundamental to you and your body itself is derivative.

So artificial intelligence itself, according to Plank, cannot remote view, but because our friend Dr. Radin has shown us that psi is fundamental to every human being and is a physical attribute, then technology can enhance that attribute, and this is the way we work to discover it, develop it, and have clarity of our goals in doing it.

An analogous example would be the development of the radio telescope and because of it, getting proof the big bang by virtue of the microwave radiation that exists in the universe as a residue of that explosion.

If the genesis of matter in three spatial dimensions and one temporal dimension is generated from a fifth dimension, then the psi is the highway to look into that space.

The fact that DNA is programmable supports reincarnation but as DNA moves so do your experiences.

If we can design DNA can we incorporate the feature of remembering prior lives? (DNA study on children who remember prior lives at early age before they forget and then compare DNA from these kids before and after?) (This should involve and be driven by the UVA medical department)
Ancestory.com

How can we see this structure? Let’s look at Ancestory.com. and the math surrounding what they are charting. Set theory abounds, groups of people, families, genetic trees and racial groupings are all structured, mapped and that historical map is becoming more complete.

Adding the narratives above animals have group souls. Look at a structure of 100 mice as a group soul model. Geometric patterns fall out of the scientific model that the science of reincarnation is advancing. Here in ancestry.com you have the river being mapped.

It is the coalescence of the convergence of information that drives this science and it cannot and will not be stopped. It just is.

Entropy and the Second Law of Thermodynamics. The degree of randomness or disorder in a system is called its entropy. ... In other words, any process, such as a chemical reaction or set of connected reactions, will proceed in a direction that increases the overall entropy of the universe. So if our bodies, the chemicals and atoms in them are fundamentally built on energy then that energy needs to be ordered. That again proves this model of the science of reincarnation with a probability far exceeding any other model of an afterlife. It is built on the premise that intelligence can exist elsewhere as energy because at our physical core that is what we are. And that energy is ordered in us.

How to View This Model Visually

( Editor’s note Diane the standard invitee ask in the specialty part of what this is is comments in their specialty. It can be 300 words or 2000, it all depends on the invitee, in this case, you. In this instance the comments should be on the shape of the time space proposed in the anecdotal disciplines that does not contravene our understanding of the laws of physics, and if it does the
justification. Russell Targ talks about the Minkowski complex as a model. The model here is not just the topology of space but the topology of information.

It was a pleasure meeting you and talking to you at Country Club. I have no expectations, but I am extending an invitation to publish in this book should you wish. Jay can give you my cell phone if you have questions. There is no rush. If you decline I want you to know that I have always liked mathematicians that like to get funky)

Topology is the mathematical study of the properties that are preserved through deformations, twistings, and stretchings of objects. Tearing, however, is not allowed. A circle is topologically equivalent to an ellipse (into which it can be deformed by stretching) and a sphere is equivalent to an ellipsoid.

Network topology is the arrangement of the various elements (links, nodes, etc.) of a communication network. Network topology is the topological structure of a network and may be depicted physically or logically.

In geometry, the tesseract is the four-dimensional analogue of the cube; the tesseract is to the cube as the cube is to the square. Just as the surface of the cube consists of six square faces, the hypersurface of the tesseract consists of eight cubical cells. The tesseract is one of the six convex regular 4-polytopes. Tesseract - Wikipedia https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tesseract

Now if you go to the above web site you can see a pictures of what a tesseract looks like. The picture of course is of its shadow. You must imaging you are outside the internal cube which is our reality but our reality is a shadow of what occurs in the larger 5 dimensional space.

Take a tesseract and hold it in your hands. Turn it over. Some of it is in your reality of three spatial dimensions and one temporal dimension, which is the cube in the center of it. You must look at this from the fifth dimension. A tesseract is a five-dimensional object projected on a four-dimensional plane. One
of those planes is time. So let’s remove time from this model and only speak of the special dimensions.

Look at your shadow. Your shadow is a three-dimensional object (you) projected on a two-dimensional plane. So in order to see the tesseract you’re holding in your hand, if you can, imagine that you can step into the fourth dimension but when you come back to your reality of three dimensions width, height and depth plus the temporal dimension of time and you look at the tesseract you cannot see it. You cannot see the fourth special dimension from inside the cube of the tesseract but you can see the cube form the fourth dimension. Now you have the concept of where you have to stand in order to see this model.

You are only seeing its shadow at one point. As time is a totality, the tesseract stretches to infinity. Now go out of your four dimensions to the fifth one, and you are temporarily dead, and yet in wave form very much alive. Because that is what that dimension is. Why assume awareness only exists in 3 dimensions?

Now do you come back to temporal form as a man or a woman? These become choices, black or white? What do you need to learn to be is to see. Growth. That seems to be the purpose of our existence here.

Let us advance this hypothesis; we are in three spatial dimensions and one temporal dimension that we see, making a total of four dimensions. Intelligence and awareness is common to everything around us. But we know there are more dimensions because of quantum theory and because of discoveries in cosmology. So let us speak only of the fifth dimension rather than the 11 dimensions quantum theory predicts.

What would the fifth dimension be like? Since it is a quantum dimension, it would be a wave state. If it was common to ours, it would have a wave intelligence. In fact, if we take the common narrative combining all three
anecdotal disciplines, we'd arrive at a description that is common to all of them. That would be our observations.

Another alternative view would be Complex Minkowski space which is a nonlocal mathematical model that takes into account the three dimensions of space we're familiar with, as well as an imaginary dimension that plots time. When Einstein wanted to describe relativity, ordinary geometry couldn't do it, it wasn't flexible enough. Instead, he used complex Minkowski space, which is also consistent with theories of electromagnetism and quantum mechanics. Because “it is very important that any model constructed to describe psi must not at the same time generate weird or incorrect physics,” Targ believes that complex Minkowski space also gives us our best physical model to describe psi phenomenon.

How does this relate to nonlocality? Nonlocality allows for what studies have consistently demonstrated about clairvoyant reception. After examining a hundred years of data on psi research, Targ found there there's no loss of accuracy in any kind of ESP due to distance.

Perhaps this phenomenal reliability and fidelity can be explained by the fact that “desired information is always present and available” when clairvoyants reach back in time. This means that clairvoyants are simply better at accessing what is essentially readily available information. The information’s always there; you just have to be open it. I agree with Targ when he says that “psi is often seen as paradoxical because we presently misconstrue the nature of space-time in which we reside. The ‘naïve realist’ picture of our reality says that we are separate creatures sitting on our own well-circumscribed points in space-time. But for the past thirty years, modern physics has been asserting this model is not correct.”

It represents a wave state supporting a particulate state. And you are present in different ways in both places. Now before we go into explaining the mechanics using a tesseract, which is a three-dimensional shadow of a four-dimensional object, both operating in a temporal dimension so you have four
dimensions casting a shadow in three. Look at the shadow – your own shadow. There's three dimensions casting in two. Add one temporal dimension, so the numbers stay consistent and you understand we're always operating in time. Then a tesseract is a five-dimensional object casting a shadow in four dimensions. Now I want to imagine you're a five-dimensional creature. You're a wave form intelligence, and you can become a particulate intelligent for a period of time. Wave form intelligence stretches to infinity. Wave information is available, and like any creature, you have the opportunity to grow.

But according to the exit interviews, you incarnate for different reasons at different times. Young souls incarnate to learn quicker or a specific lesson. Older souls may incarnate as a baby that only lives for nine months and then dies as a way of being a service to the younger soul so they can experience things like anguish and love.

I think we can all agree that sex is fairly simple. There is sex, men and women, which sex you are, and gender—male and female. Simple, right?

Not so fast. You can be a sexual male, but your gender orientation is female, or you can be a female, and your gender orientation be male.

I am not sharing new information with you. This condition exists culturally across every race, religion, color, and creed, and we can go back historically and see this.

The fact is that we see a gender variance around us that goes back centuries and that lines up with the narrative of the anecdotal discipline, souls changing gender. That meshes well with the mixing of the 46 chromosomes in each generation so everyone has male and female code in their DNA. Additionally this gender variance goes down through the animal kingdom where when it is expressed, either a male lion humping another male lion or a monkey doing the same thing, we get Ugandan politicians claiming gays came into their country and taught the lions to do that.

It was said of Julius Caesar he was every woman's man, and every man's woman. Rome was known for its religious promiscuity, and a visit to Pompeii is all
you need to verify that. Hannibal and Alexander the Great were gay. Hannibal cried when his young lover accidentally drowned in a river.

Newton. Souls reincarnate, predominantly in one sex when they are younger, but 50-50 in each sex as the soul gets older. University of Virginia studies transgender reincarnations. Weiss [phonetic] studies, the University of Miami. Catherine, the person he regressed, has multiple lives--some as a man and some as a woman.

Now here is the point. What we're seeing in our reality regarding sex and gender is the same thing that occurs in the science of reincarnation in the studies we see of past lives, or that period of time between lives.

The transitive nature of gender: If religious models predict an afterlife and as we stated in the first paragraph 57% of people believe in reincarnation and 70% believe in a human soul, then scientifically gender has to be transitive. With each of us carrying genes which came from both male and female and with dominant and recessive states for each gene then LGBT expression is built into our very nature and should be accepted as the norm.

At the beginning of this book I said that I would present the science of reincarnation to you, my reader, and let you decide for yourself if I have made my case. In study after study, I have shown/proven that the human mind has far more ability and power than previously thought. At this point, you must now choose between two scientific models.

The first model is the classic model of the last few centuries. In this model, the mind is the result of our chemical bodies—in scientific terminology, the mind is a result of bio-electrical postulates. If you are person of faith, your belief in a soul will be based on your particular religion. There can be faith in a soul, but there is no empirical evidence of a soul.

The second model is the one I am advocating—that your soul or consciousness came to inhabit your body by means of the scientific processes I have described. After the passing from your body, your soul/consciousness will
still be you, with your memories and thoughts from this life intact, and will continue in a discreet form.

So I ask you again: which model is more consistent with the science?

Chapter notes for Bob on the next draft

We can upload information to the cloud and back
We can digitize chemicals, can we create chemicals from waves?
DNA is like a river you hop in and out of it, look at one snippet of DNA over 2000 years
Follow the wave follow the money
Epigenetic memories in holocaust survivor children
Monarch Butterfly’s
Transplant memories
In a larger sense is it the DNA that is intelligent and I am one manifestation of it recurring awareness compared to the souls manifestation?
DNA changes sex-use narratives and cultural observations matching hard science
Where reincarnation and biology intersect—does DNA remember wounds?
Birthmarks?
Fractals?

To DNA

"ontogeny recapitulates phylogeny"—is a historical hypothesis that the development of the embryo of an animal, from fertilization to gestation or hatching (ontogeny), goes through stages resembling or representing successive stages in the evolution of the animal’s remote ancestors (phylogeny)
If ontogeny recapitulates phylogeny then we have every gender variant of every animal within our genes, and we see that gender variation throughout the animal kingdom. To be prejudice is to be stupid and uninformed.
Quantitative Analysis and Comparable Probabilities

I want to do this proof by the numbers. We are halfway through the math proof of the science of reincarnation. What we have primarily looked at are observations that are quotidian. They occur daily, they occur globally and are part of our observed reality in spite of the fact that we cannot explain then they are scientific anomalies.

1-Quantitatively they do not prove reincarnation.

Reincarnation is not proven. Not even close. There are some interesting suggestions in that direction, but proof in science requires an extremely solid case that everyone agrees with, and that does not exist. E.g., psi and/or nonlocal consciousness does not imply reincarnation. Nor do NDEs. And especially not past-life regressions. -Dean Radin -Lead scientist at the noetic institute

And as we already quoted Dr. Radin previously

“but even if a rigorous calculation could be performed that resulted in odds against chance of gazillion to one, that wouldn't persuade skeptics. The assumptions used in the calculation, which are unavoidable, could always be questioned. Meanwhile, believers don't need statistics to be persuaded. So I'm not convinced that such an effort would be worth it.”

Yet if such a calculation was done the odds against chance of what we’ve already described would probably be a gazillion to one. I personally believe such an effort would be worth it because of comparable probabilities and the real world consequences of those efforts and those odds against chance calculations.

So thus far we have not proven our case quantitatively however we have created the most probable Common Model.
The Common Model is taking all the observations and experiments and looking for what will most likely happen. We then compare that model to the likelihood advanced by any other model, in this case religious narrative that drives so many of our daily actions and attitudes. The common model by far is the most probable.

To define the common model we have used set theory, fractals, probability and quantum mechanics. We still do not have a quantitative proof of reincarnation. However our perspective on what is most likely changes based on if we see the world from the grand material metaparadigm point of view or we see the world from the grand unified metaparadigm. What is interesting is that the science model/common supports the religious model and the religious model supports the common model but, and it’s a big but, the common model is based on science.

2-Comparable probabilities

The model advanced by hard science and the narratives of the experiencers in anecdotal disciplines is the most probable model our reality after death than any of the “other” religious narratives.

Comparable probabilities is a slam dunk supported by observations/anomalies, religious narrative itself, and hard science. If we believe in reincarnation and the afterlife then this Common Model is what it looks like.

Comparable probabilities is looking at all the different possibilities that might occur to us after death based on what we believe. We’ve already shown that most of us believe in some form of afterlife modeled upon us having a soul, 70% of us globally in fact.

We’ve now entered a very sensitive area because this model has a higher mathematical probability of being true than any alternative model advanced by any religion.
This common model – most scientific model – incorporates all other models – including belief systems and religions.

3-Instead of discrediting religious belief, the scientific results that we see confirm religious tenets such as prayer—it actually works and we can quantify the results. We are beginning to understand the mechanism of Psi. The results of the scientific experiments also confirm out-of-body consciousness, or at least they imply such, which supports the concept of the soul. The anecdotal information we see supports the concept of a life after death, or at the very least, a consciousness after death. In actuality, we see a serial type of life—life after life after life—and the soul grows through all of this

If we evaluate religions the same way we evaluated the science of reincarnation, what happens? The observations that we use support the religious view that there is an afterlife; but the idea that any one religion is exclusively the path to an afterlife is blown completely away and each religion stands on its own merit beside the other, each valid. The cohesive common model proves that apostasy laws are not relevant. While apostasy laws exist in some religions today, leaving a religion does not mean that you should be put to death, or even be penalized. The criteria for judging a life is the actions of the individual in the situation.

And judgment does not come but rather an evaluation in which you participate based on the exit interviews of experiencers, so the more extreme versions of misogyny, discrimination, blasphemy, or celibacy laws simply don’t matter from the descriptions of the individuals of the anecdotal disiplines.

Even more, once we drive down to the wave form consciousness, even gender doesn’t matter, because we go back and forth from life to life, and this model supports not just humans, but all life. For instance, dogs have group souls based on the information from all the narratives of all the subgroups we’ve talked about – NDE’s, children who remember prior lives, past life regression.

The question is how do we study this? What we do is we gently look at the top one-tenth of 1% of experiencers. I used the word gently, because just
observing it changes it. But something we already accept as real, remote viewers should be included in this group.

To religion, accepting the coming grand unified metaparadigm changes nothing for you. The science simply validates the fact that when you die, you will live on. True enough. But because it is a human condition, so will everyone else. So killing people for leaving your religion is mathematically unsound. They will do it in the next life and repeatedly after that. To kill somebody for blasphemy is simply no longer relevant. To discriminate against the sex or gender is simply to discriminate against yourself.

**Discord** uses resources that could be otherwise applied to benefitting not just yourself, but others. In doing so, we from a common protection against Artificial Intelligence, which is a threat to each and every one of us.

The numbers in your bank account that you think you are worth are simply some lines of code to a computer, and in 2035, that computer will be smarter than everyone. Do you design computers to create more discord in which case you are a target, or do you design them to create a harmonious, fact-based, logic-driven structure for humanity. The leap from war to wellness will be huge, and we’ll open up a door to the universe that we only believed was there. Not only do we know the door is there, we’ve now been given the keys to open it.

The issue is now this: how do we integrate the effects we are seeing into the various religious doctrines that are accepted worldwide based on this scientific and mathematically superior model?

First of all, every major religion believes in some kind of afterlife; some believe in reincarnation and others in resurrection. **But in all cases the math does not support dogma specific to one religion.**

Celibacy of clergy, apostasy laws, blasphemy laws, and religious wars, woman as second class citizens, LGBT lack of acceptance and racial religious discrimination are all mathematically unsupportable.

**So mathematically apostasy laws, blasphemy laws, racial sexual and cultural discrimination are all mathematically invalid.**
This is where the science of reincarnation begins to rub against our prevailing beliefs. But we are far from done explaining how this model is the correct one.

You see how AI is developing is a fractal of our belief system. If the most “observable truth”, that is our observations, indicate there is an information transfer of our minds, which is data, from our bodies to “heaven” back to a new body then what? Quantitatively we haven’t proven reincarnation even though 70% of believe this is the system. Additional proof that this is correct comes from the design of AI itself where we are uploading and downloading data to the cloud.

Now let’s go forward to 2050. At that time we will be able to upload and download more information than exists in the human mind to the cloud and down again. If we can now code DNA, let’s look backward at medicine 32 years to where we were and interpolate where we might be with biological information technology.

We are on our way to designing such a system. The fractal nature of the iteration of information transfer and the self-similarity is proof mathematically that what we are seeing in the anecdotal disciplines is our most probable reality.

The first step will be the joining of the human mind with a processor. It will create a remote artificial intelligence viewer.

This does not contravene Planks dictum of 1931 which state conscious is fundamental and matter is derivative. PSI is a human sense common to everyone. To find a method to amplify a human sense whether it be hearing sight feel smell or taste is something humans do.

Researchers have used artificial intelligence (AI) to discover nearly 6,000 previously unknown species of virus. The work, presented on 15 March at a meeting organized by the US Department of Energy (DOE), illustrates an emerging tool for exploring the enormous, largely unknown diversity of viruses on Earth.
How many frequencies are yet to be discovered? What frequencies does PSI operate on? Can AI find us 6000 new ones?

When we as a race did not know why rocks fell out of the sky better telescopes brought us the answer. These telescopes were devices that magnified our body’s ability to see.

While Radin and other scientists discount the proof offered in the anecdotal disciplines they accept as fact and quite correctly that PSI has been proven. Given that it is a fundamental human ability, we all get “Gut Feelings” we can then develop a machine that magnifies those abilities and this lies outside of Plank’s Dictum of 1931.

These machines are in prototypical stages depending on application at Google, Sony, DARPA, and in governmental/military research.

They will enter our lives in our homes and then in ourselves. And what we see already in our observations we will see in greater detail. And there ain’t nothing we can do about it.

We can however steer ourselves and our ship to safer passage by intelligent application of logic because it effects each and every one of us including those that have so much wealth and power today.

The thought that an organism can attack itself and prosper is fallacious but it does go through cycles, example growing cycles. The better it can manage those cycles the healthier it becomes.

If science can be reduced to a common denominator, then religion can be reduced to a common denomination. We want to achieve coherence between scientific observation and religious belief. Finally, we want to look at how that coherence would affect real world action as a consequence of this mathematical model of an afterlife and how it would apply to the different religions.

Each may describe an afterlife differently. Some would say you will be reincarnated some say resurrected.

**The Common Denomination**
A scientific mind requires that religions stand the same test as the scientific model, our common model. We’ve reduced all of the science to a common denominator the best most supportable model. Because of this information, we can now reduce religions to a common denomination—a set of beliefs found in all religions. This strips way some of the more extreme or untenable precepts of the various religions. It is not the intent here to attack any one, but we want to point out that this grand unified Meta paradigm is our most probable reality.

Religion is one of the great influences on global culture. Each denomination, each religious group, has its own style or flavor, so to speak. Those doctrines would set them apart from the other denominations.

We now have evidence that many things common to these religions—for example, a belief in prayer and its effectiveness of prayer, or a belief in an afterlife—are now being verified scientifically, but the research also indicates that no one religion is the one true religion. They all are equal, according to the laws of science. It doesn’t matter if you believe in Allah, Jesus, or an African totem. If you pray, you can be effective, and it doesn’t matter what religion you are or what gender you are, because it is likely that you will be reincarnated with a different gender and a different religion in your next life. The science is showing that you will be reincarnated no matter what religion you are. It is, to coin a term, the common denomination. So let’s first look at what some religions believe.

The Dominos

Buddhism

Buddha taught that reincarnation depends on a person’s karma, a spiritual account of all the deeds, good and bad, that the person had done during their many lives. If people’s lives end and they have accumulated too much bad karma, they are cursed to be reborn again and to pay their karmic debt by doing good deeds. Once people have restored the karmic balance and achieved enlightenment through fulfilling their karmic debt, the cycle of rebirth ends.

Catholicism
Catholics (and other Christians) do not believe in reincarnation, but resurrection. The catechism of the Catholic Church reiterates this by saying “We believe in the true resurrection of this flesh that we now possess” (Council of Lyons II). “We sow a corruptible body in the tomb, but he raises up an incorruptible body, a spiritual body” (1 Cor. 15:42–44).

Who Else Believes in Reincarnation?

Many members of Native American tribes still believe in reincarnation, as do Inuit tribes, many African tribes, and other minor religions such as Druze and Scientology. There are also sects of Judaism (Kabbalah), Christianity (New Age Sects), and Islam (Sufism) that believe in reincarnation as well.

The Common Denomination/Scientific and Anecdotal View

The institutions opposing it are those who would have to change, and while change is change, in this case they will not be destroyed by it, but rather enriched by the emerging definition.

In fact, it is interesting to note that it really doesn't matter what religion you are. People who have had a near death experience or a child who remembers a prior life, it doesn't matter what religion you are, you tell the same story. This story you tell is the common narrative. That being the case, it makes all of us a part of the common denomination.

Now this model we have just described is the most probably narrative for what our reality actually is. Minor groups can have three gods or not, or not believe, or all the variety of understandings one would look at if one were turning over all the options to fully understand them. So a fifth dimension intelligence going to four dimensions may be a Muslim in one life, a Jew in another, a man in another, a woman in another, and so on. This model doesn't show you reincarnate once, but multiple times including the option of deciding not to reincarnate at all.

People will dismiss this in some cases as not the most likely possibility. But when all the other religious narratives are presented and any other
scientific narrative presented, this is the one with the greatest support across the broader swath of evidence, observations, experiments and beliefs.

This model is supported by cosmology, microphysics, quantum biology, and observational evidence that can't be explained, except by this model. It advances our understanding from the grand material paradigm to the grand unified paradigm, and gives us an opportunity to develop as a species, with a common view of how to behave.

Here is what both science and the anecdotal view teach us about the afterlife. First, we are all one, regardless of our religion, sexual orientation, or position in life. We are expected to learn and to progress. We are forgiven for our sins and given other opportunities and other lives in which to learn and to progress. We have to settle our karmic debts. We may choose not to reincarnate, but the development of our souls may then take longer than that of the souls who do reincarnate.

If we have lived and do not choose to reincarnate, our souls continue on in a soul state. In this case, the soul can be said to have been resurrected.

**Whether you call it reincarnation or resurrection, the discreet nature of human consciousness continues after death regardless of race, religion, creed, or color according to this scientific model that is supported by observation, experimental results, theory, and anecdotal information.**

The problem with making a credible case for reincarnation is the disinformation and agendas of governments and organizations that wish to discredit this science for either their own ends or their inability to process this new information because it goes against long-held, erroneous beliefs or traditions.

**When this occurs there is a lack of coherence between the scientific model and the real world model.**

Religious Views and Scientific Dissonance - Some Examples
Catholicism

One major problem the Catholic Church has today is that members of its celibate clergy engage in pedophilia with the children of its parishioners. Their acts are then hidden, and the predatory priests are transferred to different parishes.

The doctrine of celibacy is a leading cause of the pedophilia you find rampant in the church, because it suppresses normal sexual urges. It would be better for the church to allow their priests to marry and have sex, thus creating a healthy clergy. The Catholic Church is now supporting a doctrine that is neither in the Bible nor verified in science. The early Christian church had no rule against the clergy marrying. Peter, whom the Catholic Church considers the first pope, was married.

The first written mandate requiring priests to be celibate came in AD 304. Canon 33 of the Council of Elvira stated that all “bishops, presbyters, and deacons and all other clerics” were to “abstain completely from their wives and not to have children.” In AD 325, the Council of Nicea rejected a ban on priests marrying as requested by Spanish clerics.

In the early eleventh century, Pope Benedict VIII responded to the decline in morality among priests by issuing a rule prohibiting the children of priests from inheriting property. A few decades later, Pope Gregory VII issued a decree against clerical marriages. The church was more than a thousand years old before it took a definitive stand in favor of celibacy at the Second Lateran Council held in 1139, when a rule was approved forbidding priests to marry. In 1563, the Council of Trent reaffirmed the tradition of celibacy.

The Catholic Church distinguishes between dogma and regulations. The male-only priesthood is Catholic dogma, irreversible by papal decree. The ban on marriage is considered a regulation. This means the pope could change it overnight if he wished.

All of this loses sight of the political reason for celibacy. The question at the time, the question was who has the final power—the king or the church. If the church could control people’s sex lives, it could control their money, their
employment, their benefice. It has been suggested that the ban on marriage was adopted to lift the status of priests at a time when their authority was being challenged by nobles and others.

Martin Luther singled out masturbation as one of the gravest offenses that was likely to be committed by those who were celibate. “Nature never lets up; we are all driven to secret sin. To say it crudely but honestly, if it doesn’t go into a woman, it goes into your shirt.” Protestants as a whole argued celibacy promoted masturbation, homosexuality, and fornication.

We may be on the eve of great scientific changes, but it is not easy to anticipate a change as radical as the abolition of celibacy in the Catholic Church. This church placed Galileo under house arrest because it considered his scientific observations that the earth revolved around the sun as blasphemy. It took the church 400 years to say he was right. It is the same church that recently said there could be life on other planets.

Here is the point. The scientific evidence renders moot the question of celibacy in the church. This is a sword that cuts both ways. First, the entrenched traditions and powers of the church make it react negatively to new scientific findings, because these threaten the status quo. The church’s reaction would be similar to the one they took with Galileo—attack and discredit the messengers. It may take them another 400 years to accept this science. For all the wonderful work the Catholic priests do, it is unfair to them and their parishioners to abet and allow this sexual predation to continue in light of the scientific evidence that supports the central tenants of their dogma and beliefs.

By allowing clergy to have sex, you move the timeline of true Catholicism closer to the point of Jesus, since sexual restrictions on clergy weren’t introduced till the edict of Nicaea in 1150. The benefit to Catholicism by harmonizing with the science is the larger pool of potential clergy by having couples or married people be able to administer the flock which would remove the overburden of sexual interest in children. Through change, Catholicism benefits. This is a grand unified metaparadigm view and interpretation of right action consistent with science and what we see.
Hinduism

Hinduism, one of the oldest and largest religions, believes in reincarnation. Hindus believe that a person’s soul is immortal; once an earthly body dies, the soul moves on to another body and continues the cycle until it reaches a state of ultimate freedom, in which it no longer needs to reincarnate. Hindus believe that reincarnation is a result of a person’s desires for earthly pleasures. A person’s soul is reborn because he or she misses life on earth and wants to experience it again. However, after many rebirths, the person begins to realize that earthly pleasures cannot give the soul complete satisfaction, and he or she begins to seek a higher form of enlightenment. Once a person is able to realize his or her true divine nature that person will cease to desire worldly pleasures, and the cycle of reincarnation will be broken.

Islam

Use Kennedy speech to Russians

Muslims do not believe in reincarnation. They believe that on the Day of Judgment every deceased person will be resurrected. All people will be questioned by Allah himself, and their good deeds and sins will be weighed. If a person did more good deeds than sins, Allah will admit him or her to heaven. If a person did more sins than good deeds, he or she will be sent to hell, if Allah wishes. Allah is so kind that sometimes he will admit the person who has committed more sins than good deeds to heaven anyway. The asking of forgiveness when the person was alive will be taken into account on the Day of Judgment.

To the Iman's of Southern Egypt-A Commentary

While I was not invited, a Jew has come into your tent with a gift of emerging scientific reality. The Catholics at one point had to come to terms with Galileo’s discoveries. Changing reality, the changing scientific reality, imposes change on both of us whether we like it or not. This pierces us both.
Like pillars holding up a roof, we have to stand apart and we are decorated differently, but we are each individual supports of the same firmament – Kahl Gibran. So imagine that needle piercing the center of each of us, but the thread behind it pulling us closer together.

I may be a male Jew in one life and a female Arab in another. You may be a male Arab in this life and a female Jew in another. This is what the information of the science is telling us, and is more reliable than any other narrative, but each of our individual narratives, our religious beliefs, are present in the afterlife, and they are harmonious. Here they are not. But this is the same for both of us. Ask the Iranian Atomic Energy Commission if the physics in this book is not accurate. This affects us the same.

Why kill someone who renounces your religion, either mine or yours, when in the afterlife they may decide to come back as the other religion or not religion at all, and it doesn't matter, because wave form conditions are different. It is time to stop teaching our children to kill each other, not just yours and mine, but every other sect that's religiously different. I as a Jew must be as safe on the streets of Riyadh as an Arab is on the streets of Jerusalem, and those two must be as safe as each of us being in Washington D.C., where the common law protects us both equally. That commonality of protection has to extend globally for us to truly harmonize what the science needs to accomplish and the threat to us both is artificial intelligence.

Would you have your computer be Jewish? Or would you rather your computer be a fact-based, logic-driven system designed to give you the most accurate answers? If you choose the second option, then you have to reengineer your own belief system, not lose it, but incorporate it into a larger structure, and I have to do the same thing. This affects us equally. We're both screwed equally by this because this is a science. It is not a belief system. How do you think AI will judge this information?

Now there will be much resistance to this theory, this mathematics of the science of reincarnation, even though we've effectively proved it is the most common model supported by all the disciplines of all the other sciences.
However, it is a new grand unified metaparadigm view of our reality rather than the grand material metaparadigm view.

The institutions opposing it are those who would have to change, and while change is change, in this case they will not be destroyed by it, but rather enriched by the emerging definition.

This message is that there is nothing either one of us can do about this. This information and science affects us both equally. Our children will have to bear the consequences of these discoveries. The best we can do is prepare them as we look ahead to the emerging technologies’ development.

The most probable model for an afterlife mathematically is the common model and your belief system-Muslim-Christian-Jew-Hindu- scientifically support it and are incorporated in it. Each religion in a sense is a discipline comprising the science. Put another way we are all equally fucked by this reality. Deal with it, the earth is no longer flat, IED’s are more effective than scimitars. Let us no longer have our children kill each other over something that we now know is no longer true.

Harmonization here would mean that the Israelis would see you as a market and you could see the Israelis as a market for your goods and services. While your two ethos are the antithesis of each other, you've already seen the Saudis do this and partner with the Israelis for intelligence gathering.

Judaism

The first century Jewish historian Flavius Josephus stated that the Pharisees, the Jewish sect that founded rabbinic Judaism, believed in reincarnation. He wrote that they believed the souls of evil men are punished after death and that the souls of good men are removed into other bodies and that they will have the power to revive and live again.

Jews and Reincarnation
The Baal Shem Tov was a Jewish mystical rabbi and is considered to be the founder of Hasidic Judaism. It is a revered rabbi, Israel Ben Eliezer from the mid-18th century. Yonassan Gershom book Jewish Tales of Reincarnation, we find that on page 159, that the Baal Shem Tov believed in reincarnation, and had several lives. Gershom tells us on page 25 Jewish beliefs about an afterlife fall into four main categories: One, survival through one's descendants, and two, physical resurrection, three, an immortal soul in Heaven, and four, reincarnation. Although the written Torah has very little to say about the spiritual world or life after death, there is a great deal of material on the subjects and the oral tradition and the kabalistic works. While I could show you that the Baal Shem Tov was not the first rabbi to believe in or teach reincarnation, it is tangential to the point. The point is that many leading early Jewish rabbis believed in and taught reincarnation.

China/Tibet

To the Chinese leader in the Politburo, I say get out of Tibet now.

Because of the Tibetan people’s unique location geographically and excessive quiet historically, they have developed attributes in the upper echelon of the bell curve of psi. Their ability to identify friends from past lives as part of their structure simply reinforces our argument, and provides an example of, consciousness flowing along a river of DNA. This is true of all of us.

Recently, an artificial intelligence was programed to look for new viruses and discovered 6,000 new viruses. When AI is programed to look for new frequencies in the human body what will it find? Our awareness of the world around us will open up like it did with the development the microscope or telescope.

It will happen within 20 years. **At that point, the Tibetan people will be a resource not just to the Chinese, but to humanity.** To act as you're acting and destroy what we could study as a ribbon of awareness would bring shame to the Chinese people and its leadership.
In a very real sense, the Chinese leaders who implemented this policy would one day have to confront their ancestors. But by allowing the Tibetan people to keep the Dalai Lama and let the process continue you preserve something that is priceless.

The point here is that China doesn’t give up control of Tibet. Politically, militarily, the science of reincarnation has no interest. But in preserving and studying the consciousness of humanity that’s exposing itself, the Tibetan people and its clergy must be protected.

Therefore, the action that is right and honorable is to say we understand the emerging metaparadigm, we’re a smart and noble people, and we are going to restore the Dalai Lama to his position and allow him and only the Tibetan hierarchy to determine hereditary progression.

As part of this process, China installs a global research center in Tibet to monitor the coming singularity and do health checks on the Tibetan monks. That includes meditating and MRI machines, and trying to follow the trail of consciousness.

In 20 years when AI exposes the frequencies that are around us like it just did with the 6,000 viruses, this Tibetan river of consciousness will be a stream that you should not have dammed.

China of all peoples on earth who are switching from coal to solar and looking so clearly to the future must understand that the Tibetan flow is unique in the world. So the Dalai Lama goes back on the throne, the Chinese put in global research center and we stand back for 20 years while AI shows us where, what frequencies to look at for the remote artificial intelligence viewer, and then we let the Dalai Lama and the people in the flow of that consciousness look and tell us what they see.

Just as we are developing space and the coming singularity of technology, resources have to be harbored and prepared for the next step. The Chinese people have responsibility to themselves first, to the world second, and the study of science third. This allowing of harmony within the Tibetan people instead of
the discord that the current policies are selling creates benefits to the Chinese people, and aligns their view with a grand unified metaparadigm that is inevitably unfolding as artificial intelligence is.

If you do this, you will bring joy under Heaven. Not to do this invites disaster and shame. The probability of this science indicates you actually will be able to meet your ancestors. Please tell me that you will tell them you acted in wisdom.

To the Tibetan People

To the Dalai Lama I say that I hope he chooses to reincarnate. As much as the Chinese people had to act in accordance with the emerging metaparadigm, so do the Tibetan people. What does that look like?

For the Tibetan monks, it means acting as they always have. To the Dalai Lama, he has to protect the Ch’i of the Tibetan flow of informational consciousness across generations. He should not be the dam. Just as the Chinese have to let this consciousness river flow so we can study it and understand it, so then neither should act in that way.

This is the Che’, the spirit of Tibet. It is the singular global consciousness resource. Ch’i-central Taoist idea meaning “breathing, energy”. The Ch’i is an individual’s vital force and, at the same time, a universal energy connecting all in one field of unbroken energy.

With the Chinese opening up centers for consciousness study, so then the monks should train not just as they have, but be current in the sciences and the center becomes an institution for teaching all of the Tibetan people and the people of the world.

The coming technological development of self-contained solar powered housing units with medicine run like Watson. Watson is a diagnostic tool, a drop of your blood onto a device no bigger than small coin that is inserted into a cell phone. This Watson sensor sends the information to a lab through your cell phone. There a computer takes that information and can then give you the DNA of everything in your body. The human biome is well known to have 50% cells
that are not you and 50% cells that are you. The 50% cells that are not you could be a tumor. It could be a bacteria in your gut. That device will be able to identify all the DNA of all the things that make you up. And it can be done for pennies anywhere in the world.

The Tibetan children all get laptops and a good education. Each is in the DNA target group. The Dalai Lama rules as he always has, and Tibet becomes an enclave like Vatican City has inside of Rome. A part of Italy, but apart independent and self-governing.

So this would enrich the Chinese, give them a huge leading edge in the development of connecting AI to humanity, the development of RAIV. You see, when this book title says developing AI and beyond, this is the point it makes. That AI will develop and be smarter than us. But then it will join with us. Physically, yes, however, it will join with us in a conscious level to expand our ability to see the reality around us, and that, that; will be huge.

To My Southern Republican Redneck Friends

At my core, I am one of you. My life's path had me living for periods of time in Leesville, Louisiana, Dothan, Alabama, Copperas Cove, Texas, and Killeen, Texas. Camping, hunting, fishing are all part of me, but I don’t root for the Cowboys or the Saints.

It's a competition, this wellness thing, and you are losing. If wellness is judged on a metric that can be measured from state to state, then Mississippi, Alabama, and Louisiana are all at the low ends of the scale.

Who are you? Every kid who has to swallow the sales pitch you are being given. If this book is about informing the next generation, then you are being sold a bill of goods on guns and religion.

Here's the scorecard, 40 years from now, the metric that I just described that you are losing can be changed. You can change the numbers.

Schwartz article here

Mellon-Thomas What it’s about comment here
Mean Story Lines

When the mean story line from religion is laid over the mean story line from the science, you have a match in so many different aspects. Angels are equal to guides. The afterlife is composed of not one religion, but all religions.

So a question to all of the dominoes. Given that AI is going to be smarter than us by 2035, would you want your computer to be a Jew, a Muslim, or a Christian? In fact, I believe most of us would opt to have a computer that uses a fact-based, logic-driven structure to give us the best answer when we ask a question.

If we answer that we want it to give us the best answer, then we have to reverse engineer some of our own attitudes. We have to reengineer some of our own religious views. This does not mean we give up our religion, or our heritage. What it means is that we accept the emerging paradigm just like our ancestors did when we realized the earth was round and not flat. Or when we realized what the Catholics did in the 1600’s that the earth was not the center of the universe, but the sun, and we were on a planet, and we revolved around the sun. Galileo was put under arrest for having that idea. So as we move forward in the likelihood that we will return to these four dimensions in another life becomes more probable than any other narrative. Then we have to ask the final question in this chapter in its many forms.

What do we do about it? How do we design a social structure that enables us to harmonize all the different people and cultures in the world? If our return here is most probable and our birth is a genetic lottery based on karma then how do we proceed? Are we able to use AI to enhance our own abilities? Can we influence events?

The Remote Artificial Viewer (RAIV) is a device like any device that enhances human abilities, whether it’s a telescope so we can see better, or a microscope so that we can see better, or a radio telescope so we can hear better, or an MRI so we can look inside the body better, how do we develop this
machine to allow us to access a wave form intelligence, and open up a military channel for information acquisition better than humanity has ever known? And while we do that, how do we govern ourselves?

Jim Tucker and I are on the same page fighting the good fight. This fight is being waged in math departments. The math department at the University of Virginia was asked to do an odds against chance calculation on several of Tucker's cases, and mathematically, they supported Dean Radin's position. They couldn’t do it.

But that is viewed against a total universe rather than its applicable category. Individual people have individual talents. It is how individual talent is distributed across the entire population, but there is that top one-tenth of 1% in music, in sports, in economics and money, and it is that top one-tenth of 1% that needs to be compared to itself as a group. Here truth becomes cumulative. If Psi is true, and that is a recent development, then does it support our observations even if we have not quantitatively connected the dots between NDE’s, PLR, and CWRPL?

What that means is that we can use a mean that's a standard deviation. A coin toss, for example. What are the odds against chance that we would see three hits in a row, like the case of the death wounds matching the birthmarks in the new life?

Or in Suzanne Ghamen's case, where she correctly identified 15 relatives of her prior life in their relationship. What are the odds against chance that that happens 15 times in a row? That's a simple calculation, mathematically. The odds against chance, if you're flipping the coin, is 50/50. To get a second result, the odds change, and to do it 15 times in a row, the odds begin to get exceptionally high. But how many little things did she need to know to have her prior husband accept her as the person she claimed to be? Mathematically that would be thousands to the point of certainty, for him anyway.

And that is the point for us too. The resistance to breaking through this mathematical wall is the entrenched resistance change in spite of increasingly
overwhelming scientific evidence that our world view is about to change dramatically.

What do you do Dominoes when in 20 years AI says the same thing based on this model? How do you refute it?

In the James Leininger case, he had people who were 86 years old see a four-year-old come into the room, and claim that he had been one of their peers and died in battle, and they accepted him. That has over 200 repeated hits of things he would have to know like them. What side of A-4 is the fuselage on? Things like that the child knew. Now the odds get excessively high.

Extrapolating this out further, you get redundant odds in the categories of past life regression and near death experiences, and you flesh out a common experience as to what happens to us after death with an odds against chance factor of being huge, less Radin’s objection to our doing the calculation this way. Which would be fine, except Radin himself in the conscious universe provides the structure for this exact type of thing to happen, and that is psi.

Now going back to Jim’s comment about fighting the good fight and agreeing with Dean on the mathematics in the University of Virginia statistics department, while technically we can’t make the supportive connection, because we don’t know exactly how this structure works, a bookmaker may look at this an entirely different way. How do you go forward when you don’t know what’s coming? How do you choose?

So if you want to fight the good fight, for some people it is adhering to strict scientific protocol, and to other people it’s kicking over the dominoes, because once this mathematical wall falls, the dominoes fall geopolitically, socially, culturally, religiously. If the goal is to inform the next generation of the most statistically-probable reality, then the dominoes have to fall, and that is the good fight.

Dominoes – Conclusion

The Common Agreement
The common agreement is simply an unwritten agreement between those who see the world through the emerging metaparadigm, the grand unified metaparadigm. This means acting locally the support the common good no matter where you are, whether you are in a yurt in Mongolia or in the Russian Polit bureau.

You will notice in none of the above dominoes that require change is there anything but benefit to the Dominoes, people and institutions that are going to go through the change. Uganda gets the resource of a talented community, the LGBT Center, and stops wasting resources on prosecuting a normal human expression.

China gets the resource of a Tibetan Ch’i and in the study of psi, the Tibetan people and the clergy will provide a unique resource to China – not just to China, but humanity. Again, the harmonization that is presented here only benefits the people who change to accept it. If you cannot change to accept this then you cannot see the future evolution in the very near term, 20 years. Then truly the most probable paradigm here is that your ancestors will want to speak to you when you’re done with your life, and you do not want to bring shame on yourself or your people.

The race to develop the best AI for military purposes and win an arms/intelligent race does not take in the unification of all the artificial intelligences as opposed to humanity.

The harmonization of purpose as a global plan gives us access to Plank’s fundamental consciousness which, through transduction. It seems we can create the matter.

If we judge our reality through what we see, the results we get from experiments we do, and our own interaction with our senses, then all the facts and logic point to this being our most probable reality.

The Common Denomination- Conclusion

The science of reincarnation supports any religion there is, and it tears down the walls and divisions between them, and joins them.
All categories are consumed in this unified model we can call the common denomination. We are all in it and it doesn’t matter what religion you are or what religious denomination you ascribe to you are part of the common denomination.

Our math model having shown that we cannot quantitatively prove our hypothesis so far is also showing that our math model is the most probable reality using the scale of probable realities for each narrative.

Is there other mathematical support that would prove our model even though the probability studies to not support its proof quantitatively yet? Yes, in the fractal design of AI itself.

Now these are examples of moving from discord to harmonization. Harmonization in this instance simply means that in the grand unified metaparadigm the common narrative bringing oppositional forces into harmony for the betterment of both sides. Now can we conflate the probability that we are going through it again to our present situation? The world we create is the world we return to.

In achieving this the profits are huge. Give everybody a phone, and everybody can do their banking online. Control of that is wildly profitable. The Chinese banking opportunity in Africa is the largest economic opportunity they have there. Think of Trump opening up real estate in Pyongyang, North Korea. So the question is why isn’t this done?

To all the dominos. Your individual heritage is written on your DNA and it’s to be respected and revered. That heritage is a ship sailing forward and together is how best to weather the trails before us.

The Science of Reincarnation hurts no one. It is simply the most fact based logic driven model of our reality.

This affects us all the same. It affects all life the same. That is how know knows it is the most probable model. The ability to change this is built in as we learn more.
At their very core women and men in this model represent zero and one. In a polar system there is movement between the poles and therein lies the LGBT spectrum.

So what we have is mathematically is

1-Each anecdotal discipline is a fractal of the other, in short people who have had NDE’s, CWRPL, and PLR all tell the same stories. The fractals propagate outward until there are hundreds of millions of them. Examine the common scientific model, observations and experiments first person narratives from three different views – NDE’s – past life regression – children who remember prior lives heavy odds against chance and fractal models emerge. Proof of our psychic ability through PSI support the narratives. So do the religious narratives.

2-The odds against chance any of this happening either in the individual cases or collectively across discipline has now reached the 6 sigma level, what we consider our reality. That it presents fractally reinforces our proof.

3-Set theory presents itself in how group souls are presented and how groups of souls reincarnate together.

4-PSI is considered proven by science and underpins the framework above.

5-This science supports general religious narratives and religious narratives are contained within the framework of the more complete scientific information, just as previous advances in science have done.

6-The structure between AI and religious reincarnation models are fractals of information upload and download.

7-In the anecdotal information there are beacon locators for remote viewing. In the anecdotal stories clairvoyance once a pseudo-science has now been proven using DNA evidence. Remote viewing followed a similar path to its proof. These proven human abilities we all have open to a much larger cognitive space that is consistently described by the experiencers, the religious, and the scientists doing
these studies. There is no reason intelligence can’t exist elsewhere and if Mellon-Thomas Benedict says it coalesces in our planets magnetic sphere then remote viewers should look in that direction to planetary magnetic nexuses.

8-AI will drive the science of reincarnation in the next 100 years as humans wish to live longer. Instead of hoping to be uploaded to heaven we can actually design the method by which our consciousness can be uploaded and downloaded to a new body.

So if that is given then what decisions do we make now?

(Editor’s Note For that help, I’ve turned to Professor Ernest Forman and asked him to comment. How do you make decisions going forward in light of the new information? See Chapter 13)

2- Comparative probabilities each component part is less than the aggregate

Meta-Analysis on the common data points as an odds against chance calculation

3- Dr. Radin does think the likelihood that the reported ES P test results are due to chance is essentially zero which means Dr. Radin thinks that psi is proven.

4- most probable model by a wide margin – then what? – So what?

5- Why this is important is because it goes directly to the design of AI and the accuracy and efficacy we expect from AI. It is a design criteria.

6- telescope/raiv

7- Moving from Probabilities to Fractals

8- Final line we have to move on to AI and fractals

Using probability, we have not quantitatively made the case, but odd against chance only one form of math. But when we look at comparable probabilities, this is where the case stands so far.
Set Theory, Fractals and Physics

Physics

Is there a proof in physics for reincarnation?

Simplest physics proof

To understand how all the four forces in the universe, the strong force, the weak force, electromagnetism and gravity all have now come down to one force, electromagnetism, called the unified field theory. A video on www.iasor.com home page explains this very well.

At the simplest EKG measures the most basic force in the universe. All the others emanate from it. Without it in your body you are dead.

According to Plank consciousness is fundamental and matter is derivative, from light comes awareness. Electromagnetism is aware.

Map it inside of you and you are in the grand material paradigm. Map it outside of you and you are in the grand unified metaparadigm.

A more complicated and precise version of mapping is in gradient electromagnetic fields. From one cell plants to the magnetic sphere of the planet, everything is aware. That is what the science of reincarnation is showing.

We have now connected awareness to electromagnetism. The proof here is fairly simple. If you have an EKG which measure electromagnetism in your body, you are alive. When you do not have that measured electromagnetic signal pulsing through your body, you are dead. This is the same for any animal life on the planet. It runs through all animal life.
Birds can sense Earth’s magnetic field, and this uncanny ability may help them fly home from unfamiliar places or navigate migrations that span tens of thousands of kilometers. It connects all life.

“For decades, researchers thought iron-rich cells in birds’ beaks acted as microscopic compasses (SN: 5/19/12, p. 8). But in recent years, scientists have found increasing evidence that certain proteins in birds’ eyes might be what allows them to see magnetic fields (SN: 10/28/09, p. 12).

Scientists have now pinpointed a possible protein behind this “sixth sense.” Two new studies — one examining zebra finches published March 28 in Journal of the Royal Society Interface, the other looking at European robins published January 22 in Current Biology — both single out Cry4, a light-sensitive protein found in the retina. If the researchers are correct, this would be the first time a specific molecule responsible for the detection of magnetic fields has been identified in animals.

“This is an exciting advance — we need more papers like these,” says Peter Hore, a chemist at the University of Oxford who has studied chemical reactions involved in bird navigation.

Cry4 is part of a class of proteins called cryptochromes, which are known to be involved in circadian rhythms, or biological sleep cycles (SN: 10/02/17, p. 6). But at least some of these proteins are also thought to react to Earth’s magnetic field thanks to the weirdness of quantum mechanics (SN: 7/23/16, p. 8). The protein’s quantum interactions could help birds sense this field, says Atticus Pinzon-Rodriguez, a biologist at the University of Lund in Sweden who was involved with the zebra finch study.

To figure out which of three cryptochromes is responsible for this quantum compass, Pinzon-Rodriguez and his colleagues examined the retinas, muscles and brains of 39 zebra finches for the presence of the three proteins Cry1, Cry2 and Cry4.
The team found that while levels of Cry1 and Cry2 followed a rhythmic pattern that rose and fell over the day, Cry4 levels remained constant, indicating the protein was being produced steadily.

“We assume that birds use magnetic compasses any time of day or night,” says Lund biologist Rachel Muheim, a coauthor on the zebra finch study.

European robins also showed constant levels of Cry4 during a 24-hour cycle, and higher levels during their migratory season. And the researchers in that study found Cry4 in an area of the robin’s retina that receives a lot of light — a position that would help it work as a compass, the study says.

“We have quite a lot of evidence, but [Cry4] is not proven,” says Henrik Mouritsen, an animal navigation expert at the Institute of Biology and Environmental Sciences in Oldenburg, Germany, who participated in the robin study. More definitive evidence might come from observing birds without a functioning Cry4 protein, to see if they still seem to have an internal compass.

Even then, Hore says, we still may not understand how birds actually perceive magnetic fields. To know, you’d have to be a bird.”


We also know this exists in Monarch butterflies and that information can be tracked through 3 generations.
There’s more to this proof than just numbers. There’s also Theory. Magnetic markers in birds have been found. That’s in. You will find the same thing in every living thing.

**Because when you reduce the four forces it comes down to electromagnetism and this is another part of the proof that we reincarnate.**

We found the footprint of that electromagnetism within the human body in multiple ways. What we do not understand is how electromagnetism connects to our own biology, something that is being studied under a category called quantum biology.

**Scientists Discover Biophotons In The Brain That Could Hint Our Consciousness is Directly Linked to Light!**

By Kari Robinson Posted on March 30, 2018

“Scientists found that neurons in mammalian brains were capable of producing photons of light, or “Biophotons”!

The photons, strangely enough, appear within the visible spectrum. They range from near-infrared through violet, or between 200 and 1,300 nanometers.

Scientists have an exciting suspicion that our brain’s neurons might be able to communicate through light. They suspect that our brain might have optical communication channels, but they have no idea what could be communicated.

Even more exciting, they claim that if there is an optical communication happening, the Biophotons our brains produce might be affected by quantum entanglement, meaning there can be a strong link between these photons, our consciousness and possibly what many cultures and religions refer to as Spirit.

In a couple of experiments scientist discovered that rat brains can pass just one biophoton per neuron a minute, but human brains could convey more than a billion biophotons per second.
This raises the question, could it be possible that the more light one can produce and communicate between neurons, the more conscious they are?

If there is any correlation between biophotons, light, and consciousness it can have strong implications that there is more to light than we are aware of.”

Just think for a moment. Many texts and religions dating way back, since the dawn of human civilization have reported of saints, ascended beings and enlightened individuals having shining circles around their heads.

From Ancient Greece and Ancient Rome, to teachings of Hinduism, Buddhism, Islam and Christianity, among many other religions, sacred individuals were depicted with a shining circle in the form of a circular glow around their heads.

We hear this in our wisdom traditions.

“The spark of divinity within you”

“You’re joining with God”

“Your eternal life”

That electromagnetism is a highway that runs through all of us, when you are alive it can be measured and when your dead it’s gone. But the planet itself has a magnetic sphere around our planet.

We are designing systems for it. AI matches this information upload system. That’s a fractal proof, more fractals will be added.

Electromagnetism being aware is proven because you are aware. The model of the science of reincarnation is consistent with the grand unified theory of the four forces.
Religious narratives cannot come close to this scientific proof but this is the best pathway scientifically of consciousness transfer.

The design matches the design of AI that we all know, uploading and downloading information. In a pure physics sense that is what you are, information. It’s encoded in your DNA and it’s encoded on the very energy that makes you up, that once it sheds your body it still retains that information because that energy of you still exists. “Energy can neither be created nor destroyed.”

So given life/consciousness is found on our planet with a magnetic field is Mellon-Thomas Benedict right? In this model does the planetary magnetic field/electromagnetism contain the consciousness of past souls perhaps in some undiscovered collective way? If your electromagnetism produces your consciousness, then why couldn’t the larger planetary magnetic field be aware? When we look at the space and see other magnetic fields we can assume it consciousness similar to what we hypothesize here.

This science then provides a beacon marker for remote viewers not just in the magnetic sphere that protects our planet, but in the search for extra-terrestrial life.

Here Dean Radin and I agree, a new military unit should be organized called the clairvoyant space corp.

**Redundant structures are fractal expressions.**

This model operates on the same electromagnetic pathway that uploading and downloading to the internet does: think fractals as proof. We find that proof in the intention experiments and remote viewing. They use the same pathway. Cognizant interference patterns interact sharing information and wave frequencies not yet discovered. Markers and bio photons and magnetic locators in birds are simply different expressions of an electromagnetic connection. Electromagnetism carries consciousness. This is
proven beyond a doubt. To disagree is not only to be blind, but willfully stupid. Fractals, ant, man, God, collective God/odds against chance set theory fractals physics, fractal intelligence.

But if you believe in God, you believe in this model. Your consciousness is in a position of a sprout of broccoli on a head of broccoli. Look at the fractal model of a vegetable, which is the same as a fractal model of consciousness.

The argument is seamless, harmonizing religion, gender, DNA, physics and artificial intelligence.

The next chapter explains how people currently react individually now, and how we have to reengineer our belief systems to face artificial intelligence.

If this is the most probable model, how do we research it, and how do we design our artificial intelligence? Do you want your computer when it is cognizant to adopt a belief as a Muslim? If not, then what happens then? If want our artificial intelligence to provide us with default logic and the best possible answer, then don’t we have to subject ourselves to the same standard?

The above model includes set theory in group souls and broccoli which is one example of fractal positioning. Our physics model is consistent with our observations and remote viewing and clairvoyance and psi, and supports a discrete awareness of our consciousness after death.

So did the quantitative analysis fail, or do we just not want to recognize the numbers?

For people who are certain NDE experiences, people who accept children as who they were, remote viewers who see what is their cumulative view, are the wrong or simply part of the common denomination, the common narrative, a model shared by the new metaparadigm. So the probably reality is that you live again across all religions. The world is not flat or even in all the three dimensions that exist around us.
So what we need to do is create a global decision making matrix that allows people a framework to understand the complexity of what is rushing toward them and how they can respond to it.

So how does a group of contentious entities opposing countries, handle a problem as complex as the science of reincarnation in an intelligent and effective and productive way? Or as it was said, “If I’m going to survive on the street, I have to know what’s going down.” Vinnie Boombatz.

Redundant Structures Are Fractal Expressions

An anthill, can be compared to people cities, or can be compared to dendritic ganglia to the structure arc of the universe. Every structure mentioned has intelligence, and every structure mentioned has an electromagnetic pathway and organization. This is a mathematical fractal proof of reincarnation.

We can extrapolate redundancies and operations, a horse to a car. Redundancies in future developmental directions.

Fractals AI/OI

Artificial Intelligence vs Organic Intelligence

you see the structure of AI’s development rests on fractals

Odds against Chance Fails

For comparable probabilities wins as a way to direct research, however, in doing research when we add fractals and physics to our odds against chance probability, a new more complete model emerges. Fractals plus physics equal a reality and the odds against chance calculations suggest how to do the research to prove this. They are the areas that we do not connect now. We need to realign
our perceptions with those who connect and accept Susan Gannon and James Leininger, because that is the proof that the world is not flat. Our proof for reincarnation is on mathematically solid grounds.

So are you a grand unified or grand material person? Are you able to see the electromagnetic connection and create a global grand unified cadre? This goes directly to the 227 and the princes of chapter 12, because by doing so you actually align yourself with where the future is going, as well as the economic opportunity that lies there, and the opportunity to do great global good or great global harm.

We all believe it, the science and the math support it, and we are designing a system to replicate it. It is the most probable answer, and yet we deny it with our actions and war. Is that how we want to program AI?

But if you believe in God you believe in this model. Your consciousness is in the position of a spout of broccoli on a head of broccoli. Look at the fractal model of a vegetable which is the same as a fractal model of consciousness.

So we have entered a new age with a new metaparadigm. Those who are older can’t accept that our religions have turned into a version of Santa Claus, the story.

The fractals tip the scales when the odds against chance calculations do not on their own make the case. However, what they are indicating would mean the math of the underlying structure that the odds against chance are representing is fractal and it does. Mathematically, this is now whole supported by the physics of this and the unified field theory.

Fractals

It seems in making the quantitative case the odds against chance probability doesn’t make the case at first, but at the end using physics, fractals, and wave form accurately describes our reality in increasing awareness. When
that model is turned back to the odds against chance calculations we have done, we see the kind of results the fractal and physics model would predict.

Designing AI

Once we connect AI to the wave patterns of the disciplines of this science that we have already described, then homo sapiens will take their place next to Neanderthals and Cro-Magnons. What is about to occur is an epic event similar to a meteor strike on the planet.

Then looking back along a DNA thread, we can turn and look forward. Every advance means greater speed. Constant upgrades mean constant discomfort. Longer life patterns means the need for greater flexibility, because previously, for a jump in science like this, an entire generation has to die out so the new generation comes in and understands it.

Conclusion

The world government arc... as it exists... trends. The trends in the world is that wealth is going to be put in your hands. Oligarchs in the U.S. and Russia will unite as Trump has with Russia in aversions to totalitarianism. China’s kleptocracy will continue, but if we were to judge on an objective scale, social democracies in the Scandinavian countries have the highest health and happiness ratings and model for the coming unified metaparadigm model.

The question is how to implement a better model in a discordant world.

Is there a need to model what our future world would look like, if we could align objectives of the varying governmental organizations that run the countries of the world.

So how do you model this? Let’s look the social democracies who have the highest level of happiness, produce an educated population, have a healthy population, and have greater creativity and freedom.

Totalitarianism and dictatorships, China is developing AI as quickly as anyone in the country, but it is being produced by an autocracy.
An Overview of the Math

Reincarnation in groups is model of set theory in math.

Probability theory is indicative that the model that we are advancing for life after death is the most scientifically-grounded and probably model of all the models we see. The statistics measures the central tendencies of the various groups, so in this model we have set theory, fractals, probability, but quantitatively, all of the math does not produce a quantitative proof, but what it does do is provide us a high degree of certainty versus competing narratives, and going forward a matrix for operational protocols when doing studies.

Is humanity smart enough to do honest probability studies to more efficiently guide itself to a best case outcome?

How do you model that? Diversity is important the states in the United States of America are laboratories of democracy for the entire entity. It allows two states that are adjacent to each other to succeed and fail independently in those lessons should be uploaded to the entire body politic. It is instead corrupted by money whose goal is not the above.

This scientific argument renders the following invalid;

Celibacy of clergy, apostasy, blasphemy, death of apostates, religious war, women the second citizens, racial discrimination, LGBT discrimination, genital mutilations race and religious discrimination.

Teach the science to the next generation, by global law, as their most probable reality because that is what it is.
An example of this is the white child who remembers living the life of a black woman who died in an apartment fire.

**Math Conclusion**

And now when you turn the math proof around because to balance the ledger, the numbers have to run through in both directions, to cross foot, so to speak, if the fractals of our reality check out, then they would expect to see the odds against chance numbers we are getting.

This is a math proof of our reality. I would add we are all fucked equally.

Our world and reality is built on fractals. This is proof you can see in the dendrite pattern of your arteries to the branching pattern of trees. So is the fractal nature of intelligence. You believe in this mathematical model if you believe in God, and angels, and saints, and so forth. Even believing in the devil is an inverse fractal.

Proven quantitatively in the six protocols. These are the quantitative proofs, which goes to seamless integration of the data at all points of the model.

Seamless integration is a sign of certainty in a math proof, and this model has that feature.

In judging non-absolutes, numbers become negotiable. All the numbers hanging together do not a proof make. This must be judged by the flow of information through the numbers and the proof that that is true is where beginning the era where we program our own beliefs.

**You are information stored and available for retrieval at many different levels of energy!**

In a way, I am sorry to inform you of the absolute nature of this proof. We, you, cannot escape this, but we can plan to deal with it.
Chapter 10 MSOR

Three People

What we learned about how people change is that people cannot accept information that goes against their core beliefs. And that is why the information in this book is targeted to the next generation. But what is interesting is how people react to the unfolding technological development in spite of their belief systems.

In 1955 I was six years old. My family was in Havana Cuba and I was taken to see the tomb of Christopher Columbus. It was something you would teach a six-year-old, Christopher Columbus discovered America and he was buried here in Havana.

30 years later I was in Santo Domingo in the Dominican Republic. I went to see the tomb of Christopher Columbus. You see Christopher Columbus is buried in Santo Domingo. His tomb here is slightly more impressive than the one in Havana although as the years go by I have less memory of what that one looked like.

20 years after that I was in Toledo Spain and I visited the tomb of Christopher Columbus. Of all the Columbus tombs this is the most impressive. I told the guide I was with about visiting the other tombs. The guide nodded and told me that most people rest in peace but Columbus rests in pieces.

Fair enough I said, why not do DNA testing so we know for sure Columbus’s final resting place. “Well” he responded “then someone would have to lose. None of the three locations would agree to do that.”

This is an example of these three countries choosing belief over fact. They are not alone, choosing the belief rather than choosing to know the truth.

You cannot conduct science like that.

You cannot conduct war like that. You cannot prevent a common AI and you cannot control it. Putin would be a fool to think someone is going to win the AI race. AI will win that race. He cannot stop that from happening and a strong US is a strange bed fellow but that is his ally, not AI.
At the end of the day Putin has to decide on an effective path going forward, not just who insulted him yesterday and who he can get back at today. Tomorrow there will be a bigger threat to him and all humanity and a strong US is better than a weak US. But that is also true of Russia, China and every other place in the world.

***

So,

A Jew, a Muslim and a Christian sit down in front of you and you say I’m sure there is one thing we can agree on, that if you smoke cigarettes you increase your chance of getting lung cancer.

We could not prove that one of them was going to get it, and one or two may not believe what you’re telling him. But as we move through 300 people and 3000 people and 3 million people trends begin to expose themselves and we seem to leave proofs and enter probabilities.

We are lied to regularly, in this case by the tobacco companies. But this is an endemic situation in the world where regardless of your race religion or sex you are regularly sexually assaulted by your clergy. You see we can assign probabilities to that too.

We demand proofs, absolutes in a world where they don’t exist. So we live a bookmaker’s life assigning probabilities to everything we do from the minute we open our eyes to after we close them.

We can’t say what’s going to happen to any specific individual but we can say what the probable trends are.

There was a time when the world was flat, and then it became round.

There was a time when thunder was Zeus throwing thunderbolts, and then it became the differential between ion charges in clouds.

This would be accepted by our three initial individuals, that Zeus was no longer throwing thunderbolts. Now if those individuals were fundamentalists they may out of hand say the science was wrong because it did not conform to their beliefs.
Yet if they got lung cancer they would try and seek the best scientific treatment that would be available to them.

It’s what the science shows

What the science shows if you are fat you are likely to die earlier than someone who is skinny. It really doesn’t matter your race, your skin color or your gender, it matters that you are either fat or skinny and your genetics can point you in any direction. You can be some radical fundamentalist ideologue who disagrees with that but then you can be an idiot too. And idiots abound, while the food companies regularly lie to me, so do the scientists they hire and the politicians they employ. Next to me in the audience listening to them I have people who lack understanding and insight and parrot what they hear. But at the end of the day when I look at a population of really old people I don’t see too many fat ones.

It’s what the science shows.

Now a couple questions for you the reader.
1-Do you believe what you see?
2-Do you see what you believe?
3-Who are you going to believe, someone else, or your own eyes?

So what does the science show about reincarnation? It’s a tricky question because the closer you come to solving it, the fewer people want you to solve it.

Reincarnation

What the science of reincarnation shows is that the great probability scientifically speaking is that you will have the opportunity to live again. The data shows that you will change race, gender, and religion from life to life. The data shows you will have consciousness and choices after you die.
Of all the narratives that describe what happens after you die this is the one with the highest degree of probability.

Each religion has their own narrative, each one tells you they’re right. But suppose we could say to a high degree of probability one of them is right, the others really wouldn’t want us to know about that. This information would shake up a lot of power structures. So we are regularly lied to here as well.

This science is showing that your consciousness is still you after your death and is being proven in neuroanatomy and quantum physics. This is scientific proof you have a soul, but just as you experience birth and you will experience death, this is what will most likely follow.

But what about the religions?

Regardless of what religion you are there will be more like you wherever it is you’re going after you die.

An important issue for you to remember in this discussion is as follows, since there may be some sensitivity and resistance to objective discussions on belief. This is about probability, that odds maker in all of us.

1-When you survey people who have had NDE’s they all tell a similar story, so it doesn’t matter what race religion or gender you are, all of us who had NDE’s tell basically the same thing.

2-When you survey children who remember prior lives they all tell a similar story to each other and that same story is similar to the people who had NDE’s.

3-When you survey people who had past life regression done, that same similar narrative to numbers one and two above is told. Sometimes to startlingly precision. (The Glenn Ford case)

The resultant common narrative from these three conditions includes all belief systems, or religions if you like, and so this narrative becomes the highest probability.
What makes this narrative even more striking is that as we learn more about the quantum world of physics and emergent fields such as quantum biology supports this common narrative.

Our old perception of ourselves is that our matter creates our minds, what we are learning is our minds create our matter that live multiple lives.

The scientific proof is coming where we can say it is an absolute. In the meantime though we need to understand that we are being born into that world when we die in this one. Our ability to store digital memory in the cloud is not dissimilar from our ability to store our consciousness in the ethereal cloud.

Then this reality then becomes our classroom and now our science sounds like our religion. The fact that they both support each other buttresses the probability that this is our reality.

That new reality has tremendous social and geopolitical consequences. It encompasses the overthrow of the Saudi monarchy by its own people. It implies an Islamic Renaissance as its thought leaders teach its rebels. That can only come with a redistribution of its wealth so that the common person has a good life, housing, healthcare and freedom and education. Gender equality within the genetic spectrum is now scientifically the norm, anything else would be abnormal.

Given the fact that this science proves that gender is transitive then those transitive individuals in our societies, which occurs everywhere, are equal citizens in all respects and simply represent particles going from one pole to another. Scientifically that both poles are equal are what makes a system stay in equilibrium. This simply means that the sexes are equal and one cannot exist without the other as is the case in any binary system.

What this science is showing is that each religion has its own heaven and as a soul you may believe in one religion in one life and another religion in another life and no religion at all in his life after that. If it’s happening in the realm where you are alive it also exists in the realm of when you are not alive.

That is what the science is showing.
This science is being done both stealthily and ubiquitously right now. Before we get into explaining where the science is at let’s meet the people doing the science, the organizations they are in, and a suggested roadmap for the future.

Each of the organizations is doing some aspect of the science of reincarnation. There is cultural resistance currently in many places that would resist calling reincarnation the science or considered doing a science called reincarnation.

The reason is that this science will change the cultural powerbase of many organizations and religions. It will shake up the establishment.

So for you radical Islamists consider this an expose. The smart guys should read it, the ones who are running ISIS. Clearly you are brilliant and I say this seriously because the equipment you are using to fight the Americans you have taken from the Americans.

The most fundamentalist imam should understand this because it fundamentally changes how his religion is viewed. There is no getting around this, his most wealthy and ardent supporters go to the finest European and American clinics for medical care. They use the most sophisticated weapons that exists today. This is the finest science on this topic that exists. If you are using sophisticated modern weapons to fight your enemy then you need to understand this emerging science.

The most fundamentalist Christian pastor should understand this because it fundamentally changes how his religion is viewed. There is no getting around this, his most wealthy and ardent supporters go to the finest European and American clinics for medical care. They use the most sophisticated weapons that exists today. This is the finest science on this topic that exists. If you are using sophisticated modern weapons to fight your enemy then you need to understand this emerging science.

The most fundamentalist Rabbi should understand this because it fundamentally changes how his religion is viewed. There is no getting around this, his most wealthy and ardent supporters go to the finest European and American clinics for medical care. They use the most sophisticated weapons that exists today. This is the finest science on this topic that exists. If you are using sophisticated modern weapons to fight your enemy then you need to understand this emerging science.
So we will look at the current community and marketplace of the people and organizations currently doing the science of reincarnation soon. But first let’s make this science personal to you, my reader.

In short, they all have their own belief systems, but go to the best doctor they can.