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The Mathematics of the Science of Reincarnation

Designing Artificial Intelligence and Beyond

Truth: not the words of the teacher

From James Madison’s personal seal at his home in Virginia

A brief introduction

Here at the beginning of this book you the reader of this book and I the writer, need to have a common understanding about what we are going to do and how we are going to go about it and what the goal of this book is.
The goal of this book is to present the most probable mathematical model for what occurs to us after death. At the same time it will present the second most probable model and after that all other models pertinent to the evidence based on probability, fractal geometry, information and spatial topology, and scientific and mathematical protocols.

What you choose to believe is the most probable reality after I present the best scientific information in this regard is solely based on your ability to evaluate both the material and the mathematics.
My job is to both present the information and evaluate it. That means I have to judge the structure of how I make the decision as to what is most probable. In short I have to judge my decision making process in this regard. You have to do the same if you are going to come to your own best conclusion. I have to judge the structure of how I make the decision as to what is most probable. In short I have to judge my decision making process in this regard. You have to do the same if you are going to come to a default logic conclusion, meaning you don’t let your prejudices or cognitive biases interfere with your search for the truth. In short you have to be aware of your heuristic, how you judge the information presented. You have to judge your own heuristic.
A heuristic technique is any approach to problem-solving, learning, or discovery that employs a practical method not guaranteed to be optimal or perfect, but sufficient for the immediate goals. Where finding an optimal solution is impossible or impractical, heuristic methods can be used to speed up the process of finding a satisfactory solution.

In psychology, heuristics are simple, efficient rules, learned or hard coded by evolutionary processes that have been proposed to explain how people make decisions, come to judgments, and solve problems typically when facing complex problems or incomplete information.
Interfering with the heuristic method is our cognitive biases. One example of that is the halo effect, a type of immediate judgment discrepancy, or cognitive bias, where a person making an initial assessment of another person, place, or thing will assume ambiguous information based upon concrete information. A simplified example of the halo effect is when an individual noticing that the person a photograph is attractive, well groomed, and properly attired, assumes, using a mental heuristic, that the person in the photograph is a good person based upon the rules of that individual social concept.

Another example of the halo effect is believing something a respected teacher taught you. Because you respect this individual so much what he says must be of course true. Yet in your decision to believe what this person says, you suspend your own analytical judgements.
The assumption of ambiguous information happens anytime you choose belief over facts. Sometimes you have to dig out the facts, sometimes they are misrepresented and sometimes it is in our best interest to find out what they are.

That is what you the reader and I the writer are going to try and do here. We may come to different conclusions at the end of the book because our heuristic methods are different, but we will look at the same evidence, evaluate it, evaluate our own heuristics and come to our own individual conclusions.

The premise of this book is simple. We are going to look at the probability of reincarnation from an exclusively scientific perspective to assign probabilities as to whether humans reincarnate. We are going to use the scientific method, observations experiments and hypothesis using double blind experiments to achieve the most fact based and logic driven probabilities in order to ascertain our reality.
In order to ascertain what probability percentage we could apply to the likelihood of humans’ reincarnating we have to break the larger math problem down to its component parts and assign probabilities to every subset. You cannot average percentages however collectively they are important indices.

What constitutes mathematical proof? The numbers have to cross foot. In accounting systems the numbers “prove” if they all add up. **Cross-footing** is a method **accountants** use to verify that all the numbers add up. **In accounting** lingo, summing a column of numbers is called **footing**. To **cross-foot** is to ensure that the sum of column totals equals the grand total.

That means each direction we look at the numbers they say the same thing. Each column has to add, all the columns have to add to the same amount all the individual numbers do. If this is done is accounting the number prove.
There is no room for beliefs in our results, but we will look at belief systems to try to understand their specific logic as to why they may or may not believe in reincarnation and what factual basis they may use to support their belief. No data is off limits in this pursuit.

That includes the possibility that we may one day be able to program reincarnation where we are able to take our consciousness across the threshold of death and back to a living and completely new body.

While that may seem an outrageous assumption factually today DARPA, The Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency is working on a neural link to be able to upload information directly into the human brain as is Elon Musk’s organization with the development of their neural net. We have already in 2016 been able to link a camera to the optic nerve so the blind can see. We are now working on linking a processor directly to the brain. This book will explain where we are with that development, how that process works and how the upload and download of information mirrors the process of reincarnation itself.
Regarding the development of AI (Artificial Intelligence) by 2020

IBM is promising us a brain in a box. The difference between human and artificial intelligence is that on the human side we measure SOPS, synaptic operations per second, on the AI side these transactions are measured as FLOPS, floating point operations per second. That means to create a brain in a box we will have artificially created something that is 2 liters, has 1 kilowatt of power and can do 10 million transactions a second. The same size wattage and processing power of a human brain. By 2035 Artificial Intelligence will be smarter than humans.

When that is the case, that AI will be smarter than humans, what will it believe? How can we design a belief system for AI without analyzing our own belief system? Will it choose to be a Muslim? A Hindu? A Christian? When AI is smarter than us does it have a religious belief system at all? **Will this emerging scientific model be it?**
I can take people who have had a near death experience into a lab as I can children who remember prior lives but I can’t take Jesus or Mohammed into a lab for a quantitative analysis. Will AI when it is smarter than we are look at this evidence as objectively as we are trying to? Or will it do that analysis better?

By 2050 we will be able to upload to the cloud and download to a new computer more information than exists in the human mind. But by looking at the observations and experiments that we have before us right now we can make the case that this is already happening to us.
By mirroring human intelligence in the development of artificial intelligence we are seeing the systems operate similarly. The uploading and downloading of information which we use every time we use a computer mirrors what we believe but haven’t formally proven, reincarnation itself. The fact that they are iterations of information transfer and are self-similar creates a basis for them being fractals. How many fractals do we see? The difficulty at looking at this model objectively is our own belief systems, not what is true but what we believe is true.

Right now there is a mathematical proof for reincarnation that is more probable than any other alternative scenario and our most probable reality. That means that this model is more probable than any religious narrative about an afterlife.

Let us look at what people believe regarding reincarnation and an afterlife.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Religion</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Christians</td>
<td>2.18 Billion</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslims/Islam</td>
<td>1.6 Billion</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Religious</td>
<td>1.2 Billion</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindu*</td>
<td>1.1 Billion</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primal-Indigenous</td>
<td>450 Million</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Traditional</td>
<td>450 Million</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buddhist*</td>
<td>500 Million</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jews*</td>
<td>16 Million</td>
<td>.002%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sikhism</td>
<td>20 Million</td>
<td>.0036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These religions support reincarnation.

A survey conducted by the Global Research Society and the Institute for Social research found that 57% of people believe in reincarnation.

According to data released by the Pew Forum not only do 25% of Americans believe in reincarnation but 24% of American Christians believe in reincarnation.
In the UK 53% of people believe in life after death and 70% believe in a human soul.

Why?

There are many beliefs, but what is reality? What reality would a scientific examination of all aspects and facts produce? This is the Science of Reincarnation. If we apply the scientific method to the study of reincarnation, we have observations, experiments, and theories. What does that objective model look like? How do we measure it? Could our ancestors have made the same observations and come to believe in reincarnation?

Over the last 40 years new studies have changed our view of our own bodies as well as of reincarnation and this book is an overview from a scientific perspective.

Criteria for our analysis

Let’s begin by defining the parameters of what reincarnation is and how we search for the criteria. Our search for reincarnation begins with our death and ends several years after our re-birth. Our
consciousness would need to remain discrete after our death, meaning that you continue to be you, conscious and aware, after your death. In our study, we need to find out if our consciousness has the ability to leave our bodies in a measurable and repeatable way for scientific validation. We would then need to correlate all the related data points to have a complete model. This model would need to be consistent with the other sciences as well.

So what is our reality? What is the best scientific explanation for what we see and what we believe?

If we are to prove reincarnation is as natural as birth, the “soul” or our disembodied consciousness, would need to be discrete after the body dies.

**We would need to:**

- Look at the human body to see where the soul or mind might be housed. We now have quantum biology as an emerging field that might provide some answers. We now know the human body completely replaces itself every two
years down to every atom in your body, memory is the only thing that is constant and this is an example of body replacement. In a way, this is reincarnation, as your mind has shed its body entirely and does so every two years over the course of your life, yet our belief about reincarnation does not take in this new information about how our bodies operate.

- Prove that the mind can reach outside the body. In fact, clairvoyance was proven using DNA evidence that would stand up in a court of law.
- Have cases we can measure, using odds against chance probabilities, of people claiming to be aware after death. There are in fact millions of people who have died and been resuscitated.
- Examine children who remember a prior life. There are children who remember a prior lifetime verifiable by written history and events we can verify, but more compellingly
they are believed by the people who knew them in a prior lifetime. What are the odds against chance of that happening repeatedly?

- Understand why people can be regressed, a form of hypnotism that allows access to memories of a prior life
- Compare those narratives and then do an odds against chance meta-analysis of all the data.
- Evaluate the impact this information will have on the development of AI and how using a math based model will support the design and the development of AI.
- Show how this information can help us reimagine how we should govern ourselves.

Once we see a fractal patterns emerge from the information we will know our reality whatever the information may show. This can be considered a math proof of reincarnation.

**What this book will attempt to do.**
This is an analysis of all the pertinent data points to ascertain what our reality is. What would leading mathematicians and scientists say about this data? What would a book maker say if he were giving odds about the right answer? Would he handicap one religion as opposed to another, as to which belief system is closest to the truth?

**What happens to religion if this is true?**

Any religion who preaches an afterlife is immediately validated by the science model. The emerging model proves consciousness after death. Thomas-Mellon Benedict, who had one of the longest near death events, reported that all religions were present in the afterlife and could be visited. Other people who have NDEs corroborate his account. But does that prove life after death? Not yet; but the numbers we are going to present in this book are beginning to add up to improbable odds as to the likelihood we are facing after we die.

**Finally in light of this new information how should we govern ourselves?**
If we accept the data presented here then the most likely scenario will be our return through the process of reincarnation. How should we prepare the world we return to, and for that matter ourselves?

The math that supports the Science of Reincarnation is the math that supports life. Uploading a file to the cloud and downloading it to another computer is the same type of iteration and self-similarity as what we are observing in children who remember prior lives and NDEs. It is also the math that supports the religious belief in an afterlife.

**It is imperative to know what the truth is.**

Once AI is smarter than us it will be AI that controls the nukes in Korea or America or anywhere else, and that is a threat to us all. We need the collective intelligence and courage to know the truth.

One cannot speak truth to power if power has no use for truth. But you cannot speak truth to power if you do not know the truth. This book will look to provide the mathematical model so we can know what the truth is. From the truth you derive power. So what is the most probable model, what is our truth?
To start, there are no villains in this information; it treats us all the same; as humans.

To prove reincarnation mathematically we have to break a large problem into component parts.
"You only live once," he said.

"How do you know?" I asked.

In 1850, French farmers came to the French scientists in Paris and said, “Do you see these rocks? They fell from the sky.” The French scientists knew the farmers were lying. After all, they could look at the sky and see there were no rocks. It would be another hundred years before we understood the science of meteorites.

The thought of rocks falling out of the sky was a scientific anomaly at the time, something the science of the time could not explain. The scientific paradigm of a science explains the science and predicts where the science is headed. The scientific paradigm of astronomy at that time could not incorporate this information into its explanation of what we saw and regarded as reality, and therefore the scientists regarded the information as false and the farmers as liars.

So anomalies are things that don’t fit into our understanding of reality. Sometimes things happen that force the anomalies into the
paradigm, which forces the paradigm of a science to change to incorporate the new information. What happened in the case of meteorites was the invention of more powerful telescopes.

The meta paradigm is the paradigm which incorporates all the scientific paradigms into one overriding explanation of our reality. The meta paradigm defines how we perceive our reality.

The first meta paradigm was the Genesis meta paradigm. Science was based on God having created the heavens and the earth. The Genesis metaparadigm died in 1859 with the publication of The Origin of Species by Charles Darwin. The theory of evolution defeated the perception that the earth was made in six days. We saw the truth in the emerging sciences like geology and archeology.

The second metaparadigm is the Grand Material Meta Paradigm. By 1905 “The Grand Material world view now held unquestioned sovereignty. Its premises...are (1) the mind is the result of physiological processes; (2) each consciousness is a discrete entity; (3) organic evolution has no specific goal; (4) there is only one space time
continuum, providing for only one reality. Its rules concerning the separation of the researcher and the experiment and the Covenant of Replicability became the only acceptable basis for science. All else was at best un science and at worst mysticism and quackery.”

As science has advanced, new anomalies are weighing on the Grand Material Meta Paradigm. Near Death Experiences suggest death may not be our end. Children who remember prior lives are now being catalogued and narratives compared. Experiments in non-local consciousness are becoming better and providing interesting proof on parts of our larger “Do we reincarnate?” math problem.

The growing weight of these current anomalies is shaping something called the Grand Unified Meta paradigm. It states: “The communication of the world did not occur in the visible realm of Newton, but in the sub atomic world of Werner Heisenberg. Cells and DNA communicated through frequencies. The brain perceived and

made its own record of the world in pulsating waves. A substructure underpins the universe that is essentially a recording mechanism of everything, providing a means for everything to communicate with everything else. People are indivisible from their environment. Living consciousness is not an isolated entity. It increases order in the rest of the world. The consciousness of human beings has incredible powers, to heal ourselves, to heal the world—in a sense, to make it as we wish it to be.”

(P223, The Field, Lynn McTaggart)

This scientific revolution of changing the meta paradigm impacts religion significantly as well as our view of ourselves.

“Far from destroying God, science for the first time was proving his existence—by demonstrating that a higher collective consciousness was out there. There need no longer be two truths, the truth of science
and the truth of religion. There could be one unified vision of the world.”²

Change like this does not occur in isolation. The changing of the scientific Meta paradigm forces change throughout the individual scientific paradigms, including but not limited to politics, medicine and societal structures.

If we accept what the current scientific model is showing us then laws prohibiting apostasy and blasphemy should be immediately ended. This scientific model also means Catholic healthcare organizations cannot impose their beliefs upon those who come to their facilities. They have to offer birth control, do abortions where the mother’s life is threatened, and offer full health care even when it contravenes their canonical beliefs. This now is a scientific argument not an argument of belief.

So before we get too far ahead of ourselves, we have to look at and understand what our increasing knowledge base is doing to our collective worldview. We are back to anomalies.

Sometimes in science we see an effect before we understand the cause, like the meteorite example. These effects that we don’t understand and can’t explain with our current understanding of science are called anomalies. Understanding anomalies changes our understanding of our environment and ourselves. We have to let go of our old understanding and integrate the new information into our worldview. Once that is done new sciences unthought-of in the preceding generation begin to emerge.

This is the case with the emerging Science of Reincarnation. More than half the human population believes in reincarnation or an afterlife. But what is the proof? Is there any scientific information that shows our mind can go from one body to another?

The Science of Reincarnation is a science. A science is made up of parts called disciplines. Each discipline examines a different part of the
science. All the disciplines together make up the paradigm of the science. The science is made whole when each discipline interacts with the other. A scientific paradigm explains the science based on the total of the disciplines and posits where the science is headed. To make our math case we need to go through all the disciplines and calculate odds against chance or explain why an experiment is proof. There are two types of disciplines, anecdotal and technical. Anecdotal are field reports or observations and technical are experiments. We start with anecdotal.

**Observations – The anecdotal disciplines:**

Observations are examining things in the real world. Sometimes we cannot explain what we are seeing. The anecdotal disciplines are observations that cannot be explained by our current understanding of science. They are scientific anomalies, something our current understanding of science cannot explain.

1-**Near death experiences.** NDEs are becoming more and more common as the quality of medical care increases. NDEs are events
where someone dies, is clinically dead for a period of time, and then is resuscitated. It is estimated that over 4% of Americans have had a NDE which is more than 13 million people, or 300 million globally. People who have had NDEs describe a common process. They have an out of body experience (OBE) where they look down upon their own body.

2-Children who remember prior lives. This is a child between the ages of two and five who claims to have lived a prior life. Studies began more than 50 years ago at the University of Virginia to study children who made these claims. These children also had memories from before they were born describing the environment through their perception of it. The University of Virginia is coding 2,500 cases with 400 variables, seeking trends.

3-Past life regression. A past life regression is when someone is regressed, or hypnotized, or enters a meditative state where they claim to access information from a prior life. Our science cannot explain why we have these observations. They are anomalies, things our science cannot explain. However as a group, when they describe the
environment, they describe a common landscape. The odds against chance that this would occur is improbably high, suggesting a root cause among the three different types of observations. All three of these disciplines describe the same thing, that reality that we inhabit after our deaths.

Is this then our reality? We are going to see how the pieces of this puzzle fit together to suggest that we actually do reincarnate. We are also going to see where the “suggestions” fail to prove reincarnation and what might be done to help clarify whether this might turn into a proof.

These three disciplines describe it from three different viewpoints: going to be born, having just died, or being hypnotized or regressed to a life before you were born.

One can actually meet and talk to the people who experienced one of these phenomena, and there are hundreds of millions of them. They can include your next door neighbor. To meet them you simply go to their conventions. Near Death Experience researchers and
experiencers go to the annual IANDS convention, for remote viewing there is the IRVA convention and for past life regression just go to a Weiss or Newton event. The largest organization is The Near Death Experience Research Foundation, www.nderf.org.

So what are you made of? Let’s start with you the reader of this book.

The body we currently occupy is really a human biome. That means 50% of your cells are other organisms, for instance bacteria in your intestines. Of the remaining cells that are “you” all the matter in those cells changes completely every two years. Your stomach lining changes every day, your skin cells change every two weeks, even the enamel in your teeth and the calcium in your bones changes completely every two years.

Your consciousness, not your body, is what is permanent about you until your death. So where is your consciousness located? Does the fact that you replaced all the matter in your body in the last two years
mean your consciousness has moved to a new body? Do you still have
the same body you had when you were six?

You are primarily water--95%, and chemicals--4%. Really the 4% is
binders to hold the sack of water together. You already reincarnated if
you move on to a replacement body.

But does the fact that your mind will move from body to body
through your lifetime indicate that once you die it will migrate to a new
body?

Much work is being done to locate consciousness and memory. It
has been found that memory has been stored as a waveform
throughout your body.

An example of that is Transplant Memories. As our medical
technology has developed we are now able to transplant body parts
from a donor body. An example of transplant memories is the Clare
Sylvia case where she received organs from a recently deceased
teenager who died in an auto accident. She then reported memories
from his life she could not have known as well as developing new tastes in this instance for Chicken McNuggets and beer and other women.

(Editor’s note: A brief passage to be added on transplant memories including those memories when the body is clinically dead during operations)

Dave ask me about this

But is there scientific proof of wave form action that would affect us like this prior example is claiming? Can we take that into a lab?

In France they used a state of the art surgical technique for heart transplants and kept the heart of a male Hartley guinea pig alive on a purpose built scaffolding. They then applied acetylcholine and histamine, two known vasodilators, then atropine and mepyramine, both antagonist to the others and measured coronary flow, and such mechanical changes as beat rate.

“The only unusual aspect of the experiment was that the agents of change weren’t actually pharmacological chemicals but low frequency waves of the electromagnetic signals recorded using a
purpose designed transducer and a computer equipped with a soundcard.”

In short they digitized a chemical like atropine, and sent its electromagnetic signal,

“Which take the form of electromagnetic radiation of less than 20 kilohertz, which were applied to the Guinea pig heart, and were responsible for speeding it up, just as the chemicals themselves would.”

If the chemicals we just referred to can be digitized can you? They retain “discreteness”, they are simply the wave form of the particulate. Are you able to do the same thing? To look at this quantitatively the chemicals have a particulate presence and a wave presence each compatible with the other. Can we break consciousness into similar component parts? Anyone who believes in an afterlife believes we can.

---


4 Ibid.
But if these cells are made up of energy then where and how is our memory stored? Especially since the cells change all the time. So the questions are really where are “you” stored? How are you stored there?

Another even more important question. If we can transduce a particle to a wave and have it retain its “discreteness,” can we transduce a wave to a particle and have it retain its “discreteness? If we can we have just laid the first block in the quantum foundation of designing reincarnation.

There is much evidence to say that what is regarded as “you” as your body changes is not stored in the cells but in the energy of “you,” and that energy however directed there is manifested in those cells as effect much as we see the effect in the hearts of the Hartley guinea pigs when we direct wave energy at their hearts.

We see this not just in wave experiments, or our understanding of how the mechanics work, but very mathematics that support it which lay in the fractal geometry of its makeup.
So in this chapter we have explained the new emerging Science of Reincarnation and shown that every atom in your body changes every two years but your memory, who you are, stays the same. We have explained the disciplines that make up the science and now we are finally going to be able to do the math this book promised.

We have now proven that your body is replaced every two years completely, down to the atoms in the enamel in your teeth and the calcium in your bones. Your stomach lining changes every day. You shed your skin every six weeks. These changes go on over the course of two years until you complete new body. You no longer have the body you did when you were four, or six, or 20. Yet you have your memories intact. The information of who you are and what you have done is more permanent than cells that house that information. You have in fact taken the information that is you and moved it to a totally new body.

Then Dean Radin offered these thoughts:

*Hi Bob,*
"Proof" only makes sense in mathematics, logic, and alcohol. In the scientific world, in field studies, and in case reports, there is no proof. There is only evidence, and evidence is always in the eye of the beholder. That's why controversies persist -- the same body of evidence might be highly persuasive to some, but not to others.

For example, "Our bodies are 50% other organisms and that they are completely replaced down to every last atom every 2 years. That would seem scientific proof that our mind is able to migrate to a completely new body...

Not really. Just because the material in our bodies is dynamically recycled, the informational patterns that constitute our physical structure can (and does) remain highly stable throughout our lifetime. If memory and personality are encoded in us physically (which most neurobiologists believe), then just because every couple of years we have a new set of atoms provides no evidence that the mind is separate from the body.
It's tempting to use suggestions deriving from the many different lines of evidence to make an air-tight case for survival or reincarnation. But a devil's advocate could easily poke holes in each line of evidence, and in so doing they can take what seems like a strong overall argument and make it collapse like a house of cards. Indeed, this is the rhetorical approach that most skeptics specialize in. In some cases their counter-arguments are probably sound; in others they are almost certainly wrong.

Some controversies are just ahead of their time; they clash too strongly with the status quo and as such, they're relegated to the fringe. But science marches on. Someday the mainstream will catch up. Meanwhile, efforts like what you're proposing, presented conservatively, can help sustain serious interest in reincarnation research long enough so that when the future scientific worldview becomes more compatible with the existing evidence, then it will be perceived as obvious.

Best wishes,
Now before we move on, Dean Radin is correct. Before we leave this chapter and begin our analysis there are couple of cogent points to carry forward. Proof is quantitative. There are times in life however when the absolute cannot be known but new information allows us to discard old thoughts. This science of reincarnation is driving that process.
Now before we go farther you have to decide on your heuristic structure. You, the reader, have to decide on your structure for evaluating information. This will be a theme throughout this book. The questions will be posed in several ways, Are you a grand material metaparadigm mindset or a grand unified metaparadigm mindset? That will decide how you evaluate this information.

So your heuristic is your structure for making decisions. But sometimes new information begins to change your heuristic.

Heuristic is defines as follows

Dave define huesitic in a style that would flow with the narrrantive of the book

A heuristic technique (/hɪəˈrɪstɪk/; Ancient Greek: εὑρίσκω, "find" or "discover"), often called simply a heuristic, is any approach to problem solving, learning, or discovery that employs a practical method not guaranteed to be optimal or perfect, but sufficient for the immediate goals. Where finding an optimal solution is impossible or impractical, heuristic methods can be used to speed up the process of
finding a satisfactory solution. Heuristics can be mental shortcuts that ease the cognitive load of making a decision. Examples of this method include using a rule of thumb, an educated guess, an intuitive judgment, guesstimate, stereotyping, profiling, or common sense.

Heuristics are strategies derived from previous experiences with similar problems. These strategies rely on using readily accessible, though loosely applicable, information to control problem solving in human beings, machines, and abstract issues.[1][2]

The most fundamental heuristic is trial and error, which can be used in everything from matching nuts and bolts to finding the values of variables in algebra problems.

Here are a few other commonly used heuristics, from George Pólya's 1945 book, How to Solve It:[3]

- If you are having difficulty understanding a problem, try drawing a picture.
• If you can't find a solution, try assuming that you have a solution and seeing what you can derive from that ("working backward").

• If the problem is abstract, try examining a concrete example.

• Try solving a more general problem first (the "inventor's paradox": the more ambitious plan may have more chances of success).

In psychology, heuristics are simple, efficient rules, learned or hard-coded by evolutionary processes, that have been proposed to explain how people make decisions, come to judgments, and solve problems typically when facing complex problems or incomplete information. Researchers test if people use those rules with various methods. These rules work well under most circumstances, but in certain cases lead to systematic errors or cognitive biases.[4]

Now the structure you use to establish your mindset is from your teachers. With teachers you get and admire their strengths, but you also get their weaknesses. In short, you are biased to certain information and slant that information to your heuristic. An objective
scientist has to weigh the value of his own bias. An example would be a person who against modern medicine on a religious basis going to a doctor to treat cancer or a homophobic politician who is a closet pedophile.

The **halo effect** is a type of immediate judgment discrepancy, or cognitive bias, where a person making an initial assessment of another person, place, or thing will assume ambiguous information based upon concrete information.\cite{1}\cite{2}\cite{3} A simplified example of the halo effect is when an individual noticing that the person in the photograph is attractive, well groomed, and properly attired, assumes, using a mental heuristic, that the person in the photograph is a good person based upon the rules of that individual’s social concept.\cite{4}\cite{5}\cite{6} This constant error in judgment is reflective of the individual’s preferences, prejudices, ideology, aspirations, and social perception.\cite{3}\cite{6}\cite{7}\cite{8}\cite{9} The halo effect is an evaluation by an individual and can affect the perception of a decision, action, idea, business, person, group, entity,
or other whenever concrete data is generalized or influences ambiguous information.\[10][11][12][13]

The halo effect can also be explained as the behavior (usually unconscious) of using evaluations based on things unrelated, to make judgments about something or someone. The halo effect specifically refers to when this behavior has a positive correlation, such as viewing someone who is attractive is more likely to be seen as successful and more popular. When this judgement has a negative connotation, such as someone unattractive being charged more firmly for a crime than someone attractive, it is referred to as the horn effect.\[14]

The halo effect was named by psychologist Edward Thorndike in reference to a person being perceived as having a halo. He gave the phenomenon its name in his 1920 article, “A Constant Error in Psychological Ratings”.\[15] In “Constant Error”, Thorndike set out to replicate the study in hopes of pinning down the bias that he thought was present in these ratings. Subsequent researchers have studied it in relation to attractiveness and its bearing on the judicial and educational
systems. Thorndike originally coined the term referring only to people; however, its use has been greatly expanded especially in the area of brand marketing.

In order to ascertain our most probable reality in places where absolute scientific proof is unavailable to properly focus our resources on the area most promising and we must do this collectively so that individual cognitive biases are overcome. This is a big point in science and if done improperly throws most of the science away as waste and even worse retards the growth of humanity and the benefits to its members.

Now there is much scientific information to say you cannot change your heuristic once it’s set. “You can’t teach an old dog new tricks” “An entire generation of scientists have to die off for a science to advance.” “Once people believe something they only listen to what supports that belief.”
But there are situations where belief does not change because the new conditions scientifically support that belief, but there are new conditions. The world is no longer flat.

That is the science of reincarnation. In order to find the truth we have to be objective and that is more than deducing the result, it is avoiding all scientists’ cognitive biases to deduce the truth. A science has to encompass everyone’s truth to be real. And finally then you can be on the most fact based logic driven path to knowing what is the truth and what is real and what is not. That choice is up to each and very reader passing this point. It is not just the science you are reading that you have to evaluate but your own structure to evaluate it, your own personal heuristic.

And now in this day and age a mental monkey wrench has been thrown in the works and that is AI, with its own heuristic. You don’t want your computer to have a cognitive bias because then you get a wrong result, you could say the algorithm is wrong or you could say shit in shit out. It’s the same thing.
What we need to do after analyzing the disciplines in the science of reincarnation is do a Comparative Probabilities Analysis against the competing theories and see which is most likely. While this is not proof it takes us in the most probable direction to find that proof. To do that we must make our beliefs stand up to the truth. In a comparative probability analysis the questions become do you believe what you see? Do you see what you believe?

How would a cold blooded fact based logic driven Artificial Intelligence evaluate this information in 2035, 17 years from now when it is smarter than us? Do you see the danger here to you personally? What is needed in that case is a bookmaker and odds maker to calculate the odds about the way to bet.

We invite the public to post on reincarnation science any thoughts comments or questions as this project develops. The best comments or analysis will be included in these coming chapters from our contributors.

And we would like to find a bookmaker.
Takeaway: your body replaces itself every two years but “you” are the same.
Chapter 3 The Anomaly of Near Death Experiences

It would seem more improbable living once than living twice. By living once, you proved you could do it.

This chapter will be broken into four parts. The first part is what a near death experience is, who discovered it, and the basic features of what one experiences when one has a near death experience. The second part will compare those experiences with what people in other countries and other religions have experienced and compare and contrast those narratives. The third part will be to discuss Mellen-Thomas Benedict’s near death experience and why it is pertinent to the first and second parts of this chapter. In the fourth part we will try to calculate an odds against chance probability for the first and second part and relate it to the larger context of scientific information. We will then stack those probabilities when we try to do an odds against chance probability on what we see. This will be the odds our reader
calculates for himself on the information and the connected way it’s presented.

A near-death experience occurs when someone who is clinically dead via an accident or an operation is brought back to life. These are interesting because the people who have them typically report a similar experience. Since the information they give is anecdotal, it is difficult to apply hard science to what is occurring. Dr. Raymond Moody’s book, *Life after Life* was the first to describe a near death experience. Dr. Moody was a cardiologist.

Dr. Moody has aggregated anecdotal information from people who either have had near death experiences or have gone through clinical death and were later revived. What is striking about his research is the remarkable similarities in the stories of these people. Accordingly, I now provide excerpts from his book to give you an overview of these people’s experiences and to show the common denominator that Dr. Moody has found in his case studies. We will show later this same
common denominator appears in other events. Dr. Moody separates these death and near-death cases by the way in which the people arrived at them:

“The experiences which I have studied fall into three distinct categories:

1. The experiences of persons who were resuscitated after having been thought, adjudged, or pronounced clinically dead by their doctors.

2. The experiences of persons who, in the course of accidents or severe injury or illness, came very close to physical death.

3. The experiences of persons who, as they died, told them to other people who were present. Later, these other people reported the content of the death experience to me.” (Moody 2001, 8).

Dr. Moody then goes on to indicate the many similarities between these varying accounts:

“Despite the wide variation in the circumstances surrounding close calls with death and in the types of persons undergoing them, it
remains true that there is a striking similarity among the accounts of
the experiences themselves. In fact, the similarities among various
reports are so great that one can easily pick out about fifteen separate
elements which recur again and again in the mass of narratives that I
have collected. On the basis of these points of likeness, let me now
construct a brief, theoretically “ideal” or “complete” experience which
embodies all of the common elements, in the order in which it is typical
for them to occur.

A man is dying and, as he reaches the point of greatest physical
distress, he hears himself pronounced dead by his doctor. He begins to
hear an uncomfortable noise, a loud ringing or buzzing, and at the same
time feels himself moving very rapidly through a long dark tunnel. After
this, he suddenly finds himself outside of his own physical body but still
in the immediate physical environment, and he sees his own body from
a distance as though he is a spectator. He watches the resuscitation
attempt from this unusual vantage point and is in a state of emotional upheaval.

After a while, he collects himself and becomes more accustomed to his odd condition. He notices that he still has a “body,” but one of a very different nature and with very different powers from the physical body he has left behind. Soon other things begin to happen. Others come to meet and to help him. He glimpses the spirits of relatives and friends who have already died, and a loving, warm spirit of a kind he has never encountered before—a being of light—appears before him. This being asks him a question, nonverbally, to make him evaluate his life and helps him along by showing him a panoramic, instantaneous playback of the major events of his life. At some point he finds himself approaching some sort of barrier or border, apparently representing the limit between earthly life and the next life. Yet, he finds that he must go back to the earth, that the time for his death has not yet come. At this point he resists, for by now he is taken up with his experiences
in the afterlife and does not want to return. He is overwhelmed by intense feelings of joy, love, and peace. Despite his attitude, though, he somehow reuni-tes with his physical body and lives.

Later he tries to tell others, but he has trouble doing so. In the first place, he can find no human words adequate to describe these unearthly episodes. He also finds that others scoff, so he stops telling other people. Still, the experience affects his life profoundly, especially his views about death and its relationship to life.” (Moody 2001, 10–12)

There are even cases in which these people encounter someone (or something) while in their transitional state:

Meeting Others

“Quite a few have told me that at some point while they were dying—sometimes early in the experiences, sometimes only after other events had taken place—they became aware of the presence of other spiritual beings in their vicinity, beings who apparently were there to ease them through their transition into death, or, in two cases, to tell
them that their time to die had not yet come and that they must return to their physical bodies.” (Moody 2001, 45)

Remember the old cliché of “going into the light?” Apparently, that light is an actual being, or at least one that’s real enough to be a common experience among an overwhelmingly high percentage of Dr. Moody’s patients. To all of these patients, its existence and its benevolence are undeniable.

**The Being of Light**

“What is perhaps the most incredible common element in the accounts I have studied, and is certainly the element which has the most profound effect upon the individual, is the encounter with a very bright light.

“Despite the light’s unusual manifestation, however, not one person has expressed any doubt whatsoever that it was a being, a being of light. Not only that, it is a personal being. It has a very definite personality. The love and the warmth which emanate from this being to
the dying person are utterly beyond words, and he feels completely surrounded by it and taken up in it, completely at ease and accepted in the presence of this being.” (Moody 2001, 49)

If the question hasn’t occurred to you by now, here it is in the words of Dr. Moody himself: “The most obvious is the difficulty of explaining the similarity of so many of the accounts. How is it that many people just happen to have come up with the same lie to tell me over a period of eight years?” (Moody 2001, 126).

Don’t you think that there are only a handful of answers to this question? Could any of those answers exclude the possibility of something beyond the cessation of physical life? Below, I have provided Dr. Moody’s ideas on the data he’s collected. See if you agree with his analysis. But first I have another question. How is it that people from the three anecdotal disciplines all come up with the same lie over, not just eight years, but 2000 years?
“Let us, therefore, hypothesize that death is a separation of the mind from the body, and that the mind does pass into other realms of existence at this point. It would follow that there exists some mechanism whereby the soul or mind is released upon death. One has no basis upon which to assume, though, that this mechanism works exactly in accordance with what we have in our own era somewhat arbitrarily taken to be the point of no return. Nor do we have to assume that it works perfectly in every instance, any more than we have to assume that any bodily system always works perfectly.”

(Moody 2001, 138)

According to Dr. Moody, there exist realms outside the one in which we live, and the mind will enter these realms upon a person’s death. This establishes that the mind—the individual consciousness (as defined before, the soul)—is likely a separate entity from the body; therefore, a physical death does not mean a permanent one. What we can gain from this compelling theory is that if the death of the body
does not mean the death of a person’s consciousness, then a person’s mind can potentially manifest itself in a different body down the road. If death isn’t permanent, then reincarnation is possible.

The reports collected from people who have had near-death and clinical death experiences contain a significant amount of common elements. The majority of these reports speak of an out-of-body experience and an encounter with a being of light that, usually, talks to them. The uniformity of the collected experiences, despite the large variance of their respective sources’ circumstances, suggests that the experiences are not merely fictitious or dreamlike hallucinations, but reports of an experience in an actual realm separate from our own, inaccessible to the clinically alive, and indicates also that death is not permanent because the mind is able to travel independently of the body.

The establishing of an impermanent death can be used to supplement the theory of reincarnation, for if the mind is able to travel
independently of the body, then the possibility exists that it can travel
to another body following the first body’s cessation.

The NDE is a global phenomenon that is common to every culture.
It is an example of a scientific anomaly something we can observe,
something that repeats itself, and something it’s becoming more and
more common as our healthcare improves. The following is an example
of how near death experiences express themselves in other cultures.

1-In 45 Hindu near-death accounts, Pasrich and Stevenson found
no evidence of a tunnel experience which is frequently found in
western accounts of the near death experience. However, another
near-death researcher, Susan Blackmore, reported accounts of tunnel
experience in her research of eight Hindu near-death experiencers.

2-Only one account contained an out of body experience, which is
another aspect that is frequently found in western accounts. Osis and
Haraldsson did find several accounts of out of body experience in the
Indian near-death experiences they researched.
3-Consistent with western accounts, some Hindu near-death accounts include a life review. However, whereas in western accounts the life review often consists of seeing a panoramic view of a person’s entire life, Hindu accounts consist of having someone read the record of a person’s entire life called the “Akashic record.” In Christian circles, this is equivalent to reading from the “Book of Life” as known from the Christian doctrine of resurrection. In Hindu circles, it is a traditional belief that the reading of a person’s Akashic record occurs immediately after death. This concept is widely believed by Hindus all over India. However, the panoramic life review, which is commonly mentioned in western accounts, does not appear in accounts from India.

4-As in western accounts, Hindu near-death accounts sometimes describe the meeting of religious deities and deceased loved ones.”

(Near death experiences and Hinduism, Kevin R Williams

Now that we have an overview, what follows next is one of the best individual NDE commentaries. Mellen-Thomas was dead for a long enough time before he was revived to have had a deeper experience than most. He reports he visited a variety of heavens, or after lives.

What he was able to see was all the after lives. In short, his narrative or commentary corroborates the other commentaries already mentioned. Additionally it is a comprehensive view rather than an individual view, as in a Christian man dying and seeing Jesus. He did, but what he saw was reflected through his own feedback loop.

Where one individual in a Western country or in an eastern country has a near death event and describes their cultural view of an afterlife, Mellen-Thomas was dead long enough to visit not one afterlife but many. His story corroborates the other descriptive narratives of an afterlife, but he saw them all not just one.

In 1982, Mellen-Thomas suffered from terminal brain cancer and died; but miraculously lived to tell about it. While showing no vital signs
for 90 minutes, Benedict had perhaps the most transcendental NDE ever documented. While on the Other Side, Benedict journeyed through various afterlife realms and was given access to Universal Intelligence by which he was allowed to absorb a tremendous amount of spiritual and scientific knowledge including the nature of reincarnation. Benedict's enthusiastic curiosity during his NDE took him far into the remote depths of existence - and even beyond - into the energetic void of nothingness behind the Big Bang. He was shown in holographic detail the evolution of both Mother Earth ("Gaia") and humanity and experienced the cosmology of our soul's connection with Gaia. [https://www.near-death.com/reincarnation/experiences/mellen-thomas-benedict.html](https://www.near-death.com/reincarnation/experiences/mellen-thomas-benedict.html)

“As I began to move toward the Light, I knew intuitively that if I went to the Light, I would be dead. So as I was moving toward the Light I said, ‘Please wait a minute, just hold on a second here. I want to think about this; I would like to talk to you before I go.’ To my surprise, the
entire experience halted at that point. You are indeed in control of your near-death experience. You are not on a roller coaster ride.

“So my request was honored and I had some conversations with the Light. The Light kept changing into different figures, like Jesus, Buddha, Krishna, mandalas, archetypal images and signs. I asked the Light, ‘What is going on here? Please, Light, clarify yourself for me. I really want to know the reality of the situation.’ I cannot really say the exact words, because it was sort of telepathy. [https://www.near-death.com/reincarnation/experiences/mellen-thomas-benedict.html](https://www.near-death.com/reincarnation/experiences/mellen-thomas-benedict.html)

“The Light responded. The information transferred to me was that your beliefs shape the kind of feedback you are getting before the Light. If you were a Buddhist or Catholic or Fundamentalist, you get a feedback loop of your own stuff. You have a chance to look at it and examine it, but most people do not. As the Light revealed itself to me, I became aware that what I was really seeing was our Higher Self matrix.
“We all have a higher Self, or an oversoul part of our being. It revealed itself to me in its truest energy form. The only way I can really describe it is that the being of the higher Self is more like a conduit. It did not look like that, but it is a direct connection to the Source that each and every one of us has. We are directly connected to the Source. So the Light was showing me the higher Self matrix. I was not committed to one particular religion. So that is what was being fed back to me during my life after death experience. As I asked the Light to keep clearing for me, to keep explaining, I understood what the higher Self matrix is. We have a grid around the planet where all the higher Selves are connected. This is like a great company, a next subtle level of energy around us, the spirit level, you might say. [https://www.near-death.com/reincarnation/experiences/mellen-thomas-benedict.html](https://www.near-death.com/reincarnation/experiences/mellen-thomas-benedict.html)

“I asked God: ‘What is the best religion on the planet? Which one is right?’ And Godhead said, with great love: ‘I don’t care.’ That was incredible grace.
“They come and they go, they change. Buddhism has not been here forever, Catholicism has not been here forever, and they are all about to become more enlightened. More light is coming into all systems now. There is going to be a reformation in spirituality that is going to be just as dramatic as the Protestant Reformation. There will be lots of people fighting about it, one religion against the next, believing that only they are right.

“Everyone thinks they own God, the religions and philosophies, especially the religions, because they form big organizations around their philosophy. When Godhead said, "I don't care," I immediately understood that it is for us to care about. It is important, because we are the caring beings. It matters to us and that is where it is important. What you have is the energy equation in spirituality. Ultimate Godhead does not care if you are Protestant, Buddhist, or whatever. It is all a blooming facet of the whole. I wish that all religions would realize it and let each other be. It is not the end of each religion, but we are talking
about the same God. Live and let live. Each has a different view. And it all adds up to the Big Picture; it is all important.”


“The mystery of life has very little to do with intelligence. The universe is not an intellectual process at all. The intellect is helpful; it is brilliant, but right now that is all we process with, instead of our hearts and the wiser part of ourselves. The center of the Earth is this great transmuter of energy, just as you see in pictures of our Earth's magnetic field. That's our cycle, pulling reincarnated souls back in and through it again. A sign that you are reaching human level is that you are beginning to evolve an individual consciousness. The animals have a group soul, and they reincarnate in group souls. A deer is pretty much going to be a deer forever. But just being born a human, whether deformed or genius, shows that you are on the path to developing an
individual consciousness. That is in itself part of the group consciousness called humanity.

“I saw that races are personality clusters. Nations like France, Germany and China each have their own personality. Cities have personalities, their local group souls that attract certain people. Families have group souls. Individual identity is evolving like branches of a fractal; the group soul explores in our individuality. The different questions that each of us has are very, very important. This is how Godhead is exploring God’s Self - through you. So ask your questions, do your searching. You will find your Self and you will find God in that Self, because it is only the Self.” [https://www.near-death.com/reincarnation/experiences/mellen-thomas-benedict.html](https://www.near-death.com/reincarnation/experiences/mellen-thomas-benedict.html)

What are the odds against chance of all the individual NDE’s experiences being our reality? They all tell a common tale. How do you connect the dots?
The dots: NDE’s, Children who remember prior lives and past life regression, Why do they all tell a common story?

Calculate the odds against chance of this being our reality in the afterlife and not just Mellon’s testimony or NDE’s in general but the matching narrative of the kids and past life regression.

Near death experiences, how many are there?

“Recent well conducted studies reveal that about 4.2% of the American public has reported a near death experience. The population in the U.S. is a bit more than 315 million. So over 13 million people have reported having an NDE. To give that context, it is equivalent to all the Jewish people, all the Mormons, and Muslims as well, and most of the Buddhists. And that is but a fraction of it. The near death experiencer population is almost certainly much larger than 13 million because research has also revealed that many people do not immediately report experiences. Often they do not speak of it at all until years or decades later, which is a problem for researchers, and why prospective studies,
such as that of Dutch cardiologist Pim van Lommel in the Lancet 2001 are so important. Experiencers initially often keep quiet for fear of being ridiculed or embarrassed. As one experiencer noted, “I couldn’t talk about it, or I would’ve been committed to an institution.”

Cherie Sutherland, a visiting research fellow in the School of Sociology at the University of New South Wales, a near death experiencer herself, this study, which showed that, “when people tried to discuss the NDE, 50% of the relatives and 25% of friends rejected the NDE, and 30% of the nursing staff, 85% of the doctors, and 50d% of psychiatrist’s reacted negatively.” (Six Protocols, Neuroscience and Near Death-Stephan Schwartz)

The largest NDE research site in the world in 23 different languages is The Near Death Experience Research Foundation. http://www.nderf.org/

A rate of 4.2% extrapolated on a global scale that is a potential 290 million near death events. That is a large enough sample to meet
our mathematical standard about whether we believe something. The thing to believe is that this event happens, everyone pretty much says the same thing and this is not proof. Matching this calculation with the children who remember prior lives and past life regression, the probability is becoming greater that we have a cohesive picture of what perception in a wave state consciousness is like.

Criticisms of assuming that near death experience extend this proof to a consciousness continuing afterlife are that these impressions are caused by the neurochemicals and electrical events in our mind as we die. Yet these same impressions exist in children. The “minor” issue with the children exhibiting these same impressions of the afterlife/wave state consciousness condition is that they remember things verified by people who were living at the time and we have thousands of those cases. In the coming chapter we will use the James Leininger case and the Suzanne Ghanem case.
Another issue becomes the fact that children who remember prior lives and people who undergo past life regression also describe a similar landscape. Yet aside from some randomized concurrent data points there is no conclusive proof connecting some of these disciplines. And yet others are connected.

Some believe that education is about making informed choices when not all the facts are available. That honestly states where the science of reincarnation is today. There is more research to be done and this type of research should move to the very top of our “to do” list because it is research into the very core of who and what we are.

Science is about calculating probabilities when we don’t have certainty so we can gauge the probable truth since we don’t know the absolute truth. What is new about the science of reincarnation is that it is connecting in a formal teachable way the aggregate probabilities of all the events we are seeing. In short, what is our most probable reality
and when do odds against chance matter? When does the math inform us of our most probable reality?
Chapter 4 The Anomaly of Children Who Remember Prior Lives

Why do we believe in an afterlife at all?

In order to communicate the math that we are going to go through to a wide range of readers we have to make something exceptionally complex simple and easy to follow. So as we go through the different anomalies and beyond we are going to continually calculate the odds against chance probability of each category. But we have to introduce a new form of math and that is fractal geometry or fractals.

The defining source for fractal geometry in nature is entitled the Fractal Geometry of Nature by Benoit Mandelbrot. Nature expresses itself in fractals. The best way to visualize this is leaves on a tree. Each one is similar to the others and each one is an iteration of the same thing.
But when Mandelbrot looked deeper into fractals he saw that the branching patterns of a tree were the same as the branching patterns of your veins or the branching patterns of your lungs. In fact everything in nature is measured in fractals. Your heartbeat has a fractal pattern. When you look at something, your eyes have a fractal pattern of how they look at the object and move around the edges of an object to observe its detail.

While we are going to continue with the odds against chance probability of something occurring, we also need to see if there is a fractal pattern emerging. This fractal pattern will be proof of our reality: Mathematical proof of our reality.

This is when what we see--the observations, the experiments,—fractally line up with what we see in nature. In short, are the events-similar and iterations of each other? All of the children’s stories we will examine in this chapter are iterations of each other and all are similar, but what does the fractal pattern show us? The stories in this chapter are compelling and comforting. But do not be misled by the dialogue of
each of the stories because each story has components in it that are similar to the others. They begin to describe a landscape that is similar to all stories in the anomalies we are looking at and along that fault line lies our reality.

So let’s look at the cases in this category of children who remember prior lives. They fall into several subcategories. The first category is children who remember prior lives but also remember their own deaths. These deaths are unique in that the death wounds on the body of the prior life match birthmarks on the new body in the new life. The second category are those children who remember a prior life and have an unquenchable desire to return to their prior family. When they do, they are able to recognize people from their prior lives. Those events defy calculating a reasonable answer in using odds against chance probability in each individual case.

Taken collectively, they point to a much more solid result in terms of calculating the mathematics of our probable reality as to what occurs after death. So we are going to look at these cases and let you do your
own calculations as to what our probable reality is. If you disagree with my conclusions, then propose your own alternative reality.

What we have to ascertain as we look at these different mathematical models and still several more to come is what our most probable reality is. We cannot and must not use one form of math for our proof. It would be disingenuous and unscientific.

Our most probable model has to fit seamlessly with our emerging understanding of physics and quantum biology to a degree of mathematical certainty. What mathematical model is the most probable? That was our initial stated goal and we are delineating how we are going about that model.

So now let us begin to look at the cases we are going to examine in this section. The first is the story of Kevin from Jim Tucker’s excellent book, *Return to Life*.

For more than 50 years, the University of Virginia has been studying cases of children who remember prior lives. Ian Stevenson first began the studies and Jim Tucker has continued that work. One case
they worked on together was Jim’s first case. In the following example, “we” refers to Stevenson Tucker.

Patrick, who was nearly 8, grew up in a compact house in a small Midwestern suburb. His mother had become convinced her son was his deceased half-brother, Kevin, returned to life. “Kevin had been born 20 years before. Lisa, a young mother, and Kevin, her first child, were doing well despite her split from his father, until Kevin begin limping at sixteen months of age. (p2 Return to Life). After a series of hospital visits Kevin was diagnosed with a metastatic neuroblastoma.

“An x-ray of Kevin’s kidneys showed a mass at the top of his left one. A skeletal survey found various lesions and an opaque area over his bulging left eye. On his fourth day in the hospital, Kevin was taken to the operating room. The doctor took a biopsy of the nodule above his right ear and inserted a central line, a large IV, in the right side of his neck.” (P3 Return to Life)

“Kevin returned to the hospital six months after his first admission. He was bleeding from his gums because the cancer had
infiltrated his bone marrow and it couldn’t make enough platelets. He also developed bruising around his right eye along with the faded bruising around his left. Lisa said he was blind in the left eye at that point. His disease was considered end stage by then, meaning the little boy would die soon, but along with a platelet transfusion, he did receive one day of chemo therapy and one day of radiation to his right eye socket. He was discharged and died two days later.” (p4 Return to Life)

Lisa had long separated from Kevin’s father. After Kevin’s death Lisa remarried and gave birth to a daughter but the couple divorced after four years and Lisa married again. She had a second son and then 12 years after Kevin died gave birth to Patrick.

“She soon noticed a white opacity covering Patrick’s left eye. The doctors diagnosed it as a corneal leukoma. Patrick was seen by an ophthalmologist and examined periodically. The opacity shrunk after several weeks but did not completely disappear. While his vision was hard to assess with any precision when he was very young, he was
essentially blind in his left eye just as Kevin had been blind in that eye at the end of his life.

“Lisa also felt a lump on Patrick’s head above his right ear at the same location where Kevin’s tumor had been biopsied. When we examined Patrick, we felt the nodule above his ear. It had migrated slightly behind his ear by the time he was five, but Lisa had said it was directly above the ear when he was born. It was hard, elevated, and more or less round.” (P5)

“Patrick was also born with an unusual mark on his neck. A dark slanted line that was about 4 millimeters long when we met him, it look like a small cut. It was on the front of his neck on the right. This was the area where Kevin central line had been inserted ... it was on the right side of his neck, where Patrick’s birthmark was (p6 Return to Life).

One of the most inexplicable features of the case was that Patrick limped once he got old enough to walk. He had an unusual gate in which he would swing out his left leg. This matched the way Kevin had
walked, since he had to wear a brace after breaking his leg. (p6 Return to Life)

“When Patrick was four years old, he began talking about Kevin’s life. The first thing he said was that he wanted to go to the other house. Patrick talked about it for a while and seemed desperate times to go there. Lisa asked him why he needed to return... he answered, don’t you remember I left you there. (P6 Return to Life)

“Another time, Patrick became excited when he saw a picture of Kevin. He had never seen it before because Lisa didn’t keep pictures of Kevin up in the house. His hand is shaking, Patrick said “Here is my picture. I’ve been looking for that” He was definite as he said, “That’s me.” (p7 Return to Life”)

“After we returned home, we wanted to calculate the likelihood that Patrick’s defects matched Kevin’s just by coincidence. Not even taking the limp into account, how likely was it that a child would be born with three lesions that match ones on a sibling? Ian had previously determined that the odds of two birthmarks matching wounds on
another body by chance were about one and 25,000. He began with the surface area of the skin of the average adult male being 1.6 meters. He then imagined that if this area were square in laid on a flat surface it would be approximately 127 centimeters by 127 centimeters. Since he considered a correspondence between a birthmark and a wound to be satisfactory if they were both within an area of 10 square centimeters at the same location, he calculated how many 10 centimeter squares would fit into this body surface area and found at 160 would. The probability that a single birthmark would correspond to a wound was therefore 1/160. The probability that two birthmarks would correspond to two wounds was (1/160) squared or 1 in 25,600.

Critics challenged that figure. For Patrick’s case, we decided to get some help. I met with two statisticians from the medical school and explained the situation to them. Though they seemed interested, one of them eventually send me a report declining to estimate the likelihood. He said any calculations would oversimplify a complex
system. He added “Phrases like “highly improbable” and “extremely rare” come to mind as descriptive of the situation.

Ian had been intrigued by birthmark cases for a long time. They drew on his interest in the interaction between mind and body that dated back to his mainstream days in psychosomatic medicine. The year before we met Patrick, he published Reincarnation and Biology, a 2000 page work, many years in the making, that covered over two hundred cases of children born with birthmarks or birth defects that matched wounds, usually fatal ones, on the body of a previous person.” (P10-11 Return to Life)

Now the University of Virginia’s calculation about odds against chance in this case are both right and wrong. The calculations that Ian Stevenson did here are correct. The critic is also correct because one case, regardless of the calculations of odds against chance cannot make the case that this proves anything. They did not add in the calculation that 3 matching wounds/birthmarks add to the odds against chance. If
they had then the odds against chance of this happening would be 1/160 to the third power or 1/4,096,000.

But this still doesn’t tell the mathematical story here. That is because the math analysis stands alone on one single case. But the math does not take into account the other 200 cases that were self-similar, which are fractal iterations. So it was not the odds against chance that were calculated wrong, it’s that the math problem itself that only used one type of math was incomplete. If we add only one matching wound or instance from the other 200 cases the odds against chance from finding 200 cases would be 1/4,096,000 to the 200th power. The odds against chance of Stevenson finding Kevin with 3 lesions and another 200 cases would exceed a billion to one, which is the six sigma level of mathematically determining our reality.

The criticism of not being able to do the calculation that the statistician explained would still apply to our calculation here even though as we build our analysis of the numbers the unlikely nature of this occurring begins to be astronomical. In short the numbers begin to
prove this is a certainty and our reality of being born again, not just once but multiple time. In short our lives are iterations, and we live multiple times.

But the University of Virginia has studied over 2500 such cases of reincarnation. So how do we calculate the odds against chance there and how compelling are these narratives? Even more importantly what happens to our calculations when we see the narratives from these children match the narratives of each other and what they were aware of and remembered from the time they were dead?

I believe the numbers should be allowed to speak. The numbers should be allowed to make their case. For the numbers to speak, they have to tell us what the odds against chance probability is of what we are seeing. The way you calculate odds against chance with a coin is to flip it. It will either come up heads or tails, so there is a 50/50 possibility that it will be heads and a 50/50 possibility it will be tails, or a one chance in two. There is a higher probability against heads or tails coming up twice in a row. There is an even higher probability for three
times in a row or four times in a row. That's a higher probability against it happening. When we get to 1,000% odds against chance, we can be fairly certain that that's our reality.

So we're going to look at different cases of children who remember prior lives, and give an example of calculating odds against chance of it happening. Against what happening? Them knowing facts about a prior lifetime that they could not know about.

In the case of children who remember prior lives, a child of two or three years old will begin talking about their prior family virtually as soon as they can talk. They will claim, for instance, that the parents that bore them are not their real parents or not their real family, and how much they know has no explanation in our current scientific metaparadigm.

Now I need to pause the narrative here to talk about trees, forests and fractals. The instance of Jim Tucker examining the case of Kevin is an iteration of a fractal. Kevin is one case of 2500 the University of Virginia has studied. It is like looking at one tree in the forest, a single
tree is an iteration, and the forest itself is many fractals or iterations of
trees.

Mathematically using fractal geometry, we know that if we cut
one large tree down and measure it’s branching patterns we can infer
from the ratios the number of large trees, medium trees and small
trees in the forest. This is how fractals work, whether we’re
determining coastlines or the architecture of our bodies.

What makes this significant is that the numbers are cross footing.
The odds against chance are so high and contradict our understanding
of life that we don’t know what to make of this. But when looked at
from the point of view of fractals we see the iterations in the other
disciplines and our observations begin to have meaning. For us to have
a fractal geometry of a model that the odds against chance are saying is
our probability is indicia of our reality.

Now I want you to see that Jim Tucker has felled one tree called
Kevin and he is measuring it. If we were scientists and had done this in
the forest we would measure the tree and begin to extrapolate the
number of trees and distributions within that forest and we would know our results to be accurate.

But we haven’t approached the math problem of the science or reincarnation from the point of view that the math problem should be approached from. Not just that the tree will give us measurements that truly and accurately portray the forest so Jim’s “tree” Kevin accurately portrays the landscape of consciousness. It produces exceptionally high odds against a chance number, however we connect them to nothing so we dispute them. In order to properly evaluate the numbers we need to step back from this instance and see the entire forest.

Now there is one other concept I need to introduce at this point. We are hunting in this forest. I the author, and you my reader, are hunting the truth. But what we are hunting is ourselves, our consciousness and what happens to it. In the Zen it is described as looking for the bull while riding the bowl. You can search forever but you will never find the bull if it is under you and you are unaware. What Jim has done is measure one instance correctly, but for the case to be
made that this is our reality that math has to extend across not just the anecdotal disciplines but match our experiments as well.

So let us continue explaining this particular anomaly. In the case of Suzanne Ghanem, she was able to identify 15 separate relatives and their relation to her prior incarnation. The odds against chance of this happening are exceptionally high, the equivalent of 15 heads coming up in a row on the coin flip. In the case of James Leininger, which we will look at shortly we have a situation where there are 200 or more things that he knew that he shouldn't have been able to know. That's 200 heads coming up in a row on a coin flip; high odds against chance that it happens. The higher the odds against chance, the more probable it is reality, even if it is unaccepted within the structure of our current metaparadigm.

Child Reincarnation Story of Hanan Monsour | Suzanne Ghanem

How Case Derived: Past Life Memories in Childhood

Researcher: Ian Stevenson, MD

From: Old Souls, by Tom Shroder
Article by: Walter Semkiw, MD, from Return of the Revolutionaries

Born Again: Reincarnation Cases Involving Evidence of Past Lives with Xenoglossy Cases Researched by Ian Stevenson

Planning Lifetimes: Hanan Predicts She Will Die & Be Reborn with Memories of her Past Life

Hanan was born in Lebanon, in the mid-1930s. When she was twenty, she married Farouk Monsour, a member of a well-to-do Lebanese family. The couple had two daughters, Leila and Galareh. Hanan had a brother, Nabih, who became prominent in Lebanese society, but died as a young man in a plane crash.

After having her second daughter, Hanan developed a heart problem and her doctors advised her not to have any more children. Not heeding the warning, she had a third child, a son, in 1962. In 1963, shortly after the death of her brother Nabih, Hanan’s health started to deteriorate. She then started to talk about dying. Farouk, Hanan’s husband, said that Hanan told him that “she was going to be
reincarnated and have lots to say about her previous life.” (1) This was two years before her death.

Before her Death, Hanan Tries to Telephone Leila.

At the age of thirty-six, Hanan traveled to Richmond, Virginia, to have heart surgery. She tried to telephone her daughter Leila before the operation, but couldn’t get through. Hanan died of complications the day after surgery.

Spirit Communication: An Announcing Dream from the Spirit Realm

Ten days after Hanan died, Suzanne Ghanem was born. Suzanne’s mother told Ian Stevenson that shortly before Suzanne’s birth, “I dreamed I was going to have a baby girl. I met a woman and I kissed and hugged her. She said, 'I am going to come to you.' The woman was about forty. Later, when I saw Hanan's picture, I thought it looked like the woman in my dream.” (2)

Hanan is Reborn as Suzanne Monsour & Tries to Telephone Leila
At 16 months of age, Suzanne pulled the phone off the hook as if she was trying to talk into it and said, over and over, “Hello, Leila?” The family didn’t know who Leila was. When she got older, Suzanne explained that Leila was one of her children and that she was not Suzanne, but Hanan. The family asked, “Hanan what?” Suzanne replied, “My head is still small. Wait until it is bigger, and I might tell you.” (3)

Past Life Memories: Suzanne Identifies & Names 13 Past Life Family Members

By the time she was two, she had mentioned the names of her other children, her husband, Farouk, and the names of her parents and her brothers from the previous lifetime—thirteen names in all.

In trying to locate Suzanne’s past life family, acquaintances of the Ghanems made inquiries in the town where the Monsours lived. When they heard about the case, the Monsours visited Suzanne. The Monsours were initially skeptical about the girl’s claims. They became believers when Suzanne identified all of Hanan’s relatives, picking them out and naming them accurately. Suzanne also knew that Hanan had
given her jewels to her brother Hercule in Virginia, prior to her heart surgery, and that Hanan instructed her brother to divide the jewelry among her daughters. No one outside of the Monsour family knew about the jewels.

Before she could read or write, Suzanne scribbled a phone number on a piece of paper. Later, when the family went to the Monsour’s home, they found that the phone number matched the Monsour’s number, except that the last two digits were transposed. As a child, Suzanne could recite the oration spoken at the funeral of Hanan’s brother, Nabih. Suzanne’s family taped the recitation, though the tape was subsequently lost.

Suzanne Still Loves Farouk, her Past Life Husband

At five years of age, Suzanne would call Farouk three times a day. When Suzanne visited Farouk, she would sit on his lap and rest her head against his chest. At 25 years of age, Suzanne would still telephone Farouk.

Suzanne Identifies Past Life Friends from Photos
Farouk, a career policeman, has accepted Suzanne as the reincarnation of his deceased wife, Hanan. To support this conclusion, Farouk points out that from photographs, Suzanne accurately picked out scores of people they had been acquainted with, and knew other information that only Hanan would have known.

In this image comparison, Hanan Monsour is on the left side and Suzanne Ghanem is on the right. A striking physical resemblance is noted, which supports the premise that facial features remain consistent from one incarnation to another. The image can be enlarged by placing your cursor over it. Use the arrow keys to scroll up and down on the image.

Another Ian Stevenson, MD, reincarnation case which dramatically demonstrates how facial features can remain consistent from one lifetime to another is the case of Rashid Khaddege | Daniel Jurdi.

*Understanding Past Lives & Principles of Reincarnation*
This extremely compelling reincarnation case, if accepted, demonstrates the following features:

**Physical Resemblance from One Lifetime to Another**: Suzanne Ghamen has the same facial features as Hanan Monsour, her past life personality. It is significant to note that Ian Stevenson first studied Suzanne in Lebanon when she was only a small child in the late 1960s. He revisited Suzanne in 1998 when he traveled to Lebanon with Tom Schroder, a journalist with the Washington Post who was writing a book on Stevenson. In 1998, Suzanne was now 35 years old and it was only at this point that Stevenson realized that Suzanne had the same facial features as Hanan Monsour. The point is that we are continuing to accumulate new evidence involving cases that have been evolving over decades.

**Spirit Being Involvement**: Hanan announced her upcoming birth to her future mother in a dream. In addition, Hanan's soul appears to have known that Hanan would die prematurely and be reborn with
memories of her past lifetime, as Hanan predicted this turn of events to her husband, Farouk.

**Relationships Renewed through Reincarnation:** As Suzanne, Hanan was reunited with the Monsours, her past life family, and demonstrated love and affection for Farouk, her past life husband.

**Split Incarnation:** Suzanne was born only 10 days after Hanan died. If it is assumed that the soul is involved in the development of the fetus, then the soul of Hanan was animating Suzanne's fetus while Hanan was still alive. This overlap of lifetimes occurred for almost the entire duration of Suzanne's gestation.

**Note to Readers:** Ian Stevenson, MD was a Professor of Psychiatry at the University of Virginia, who wrote in a very academic style, as his target audience was fellow scientists. On the IISIS web site, his past life cases are presented as children reincarnation stories to make his academic work easier to understand. For those interested in appreciating the scientific rigor of his research, such as his use of
multiple witnesses to establish corroborated testimony, please refer to the original reports written by Dr. Ian Stevenson.


One cannot just count an odds against chance of 15 to one for Hanan. Look at it from the members of her family who came to accept her. Yes she was able to identify 15 prior family members, however for them to accept her as Hanan, Suzanne had to not just identify them but communicate little known facts about her prior life as Hanan.

The heuristic method that each of those 15 family members had to use had to come to a six sigma level before they accepted Suzanne as the incarnation of Hanan.

James Leininger

James Leininger is the subject of a well-known American child reincarnation case. In early childhood, James had frequent nightmares of being trapped in a burning plane that was crashing. In further statements to his parents he said he’d been shot down in a plane near
Iwo Jima, had been based on a ship named ‘Natoma’, and had a friend named Jack Larsen. These and other details were found to match closely with the life of James Huston, Jr., an American pilot killed in action in March 1945. James’s parents wrote a best-selling book about their investigation, and the case received widespread media attention.

Overview

James Madison Leininger was born on April 10, 1998 in San Francisco, to Bruce Leininger, a human resources executive, and Andrea Leininger, a resume-writer, homemaker and former professional dancer. The family moved shortly thereafter to Dallas, Texas and then to Lafayette, Louisiana. James’s expressions of past-life memory manifested mostly between the ages of two and five, following the move to Lafayette. The combination of his detailed memories and the ability of the parents to verify them through painstaking research makes this a particularly interesting case, and it is one of the best-known of its kind in the Western world.

Memories and Behaviors
When James was 22 months old, as reported by the parents, his father took him to the James Cavanaugh Flight Museum in Dallas. There he was transfixed by the sight of the WWII planes, and at the end of the visit had to be forced to leave.1

Passing a toy shop when James was just shy of two years, his mother noticed a display bin filled with plastic toys and boats: she pulled out a little propeller plane and handed it to James, adding, ‘Look there’s even a bomb underneath it.’ He said, ‘That’s not a bomb, Mummy. That’s a dwop tank.’2 Talking about this with her husband later she learned that a drop tank is an extra fuel tank fitted to an aircraft to extend its range.

Shortly after turning two, James began having nightmares, as often as five times a week, in which he would scream and kick his legs in the air, crying ‘Airplane crash! Plane on fire! Little man can’t get out!’

At 28 months, in response to questions, he told his parents the little man was himself and that his plane had been shot by the
Japanese. About two weeks later, he added more details: his name had been James; he’d flown a Corsair; and he’d flown from a ‘boat’, whose name he gave as ‘Natoma’ – which despite sounding Japanese he insisted was American. Over the next three months, James added that he’d had a friend, a fellow pilot named Jack Larsen, and that he’d been shot down near Iwo Jima.

In play, James crashed his toy planes into furniture, breaking off the propellers. He also began expressing his memories in art, obsessively drawing naval-aerial battles between Americans and Japanese, in which planes were burning and crashing, bullets and bombs exploding all around. These were always WWII scenes, with propeller-driven aircraft, not jets or missiles. He named the American aircraft as Wildcats and Corsairs, and referred to Japanese planes as ‘Zekes’ and ‘Bettys’, explaining that the boy’s name referred to fighter planes and the girl’s name to bombers (this was correct).
He sometimes signed the drawings ‘James 3’, and when asked why, said he was ‘the third James’,\textsuperscript{7}(possibly a reference to him following James Huston Jr.).

When buckling himself into the back of the car he would often mime putting on headgear, a movement that his mother recognized during a visit to a local airshow, when he mounted the cockpit of a Piper Cub and put on the pilot’s headgear.

https://psi-encyclopedia.spr.ac.uk/articles/james-leininger

In this case there is over 200 consecutive hits as James not only identified the 80 year old man correctly but produced a body of information about their alleged common experience, so that they accepted him as the person he claimed because he knew at 4 years old the intimate details of having lived that life. He had a common memory with them.

The six minute video of this is on the web site www.iasor.org. It is interesting to see 80 year old men accepting Charles as their comrade
in arms, Frank, who had died over 50 years before. What was their method of calculation and their individual heuristic?

Finally there is one more case that I want to add to this section. That is the case of Luke, a white boy, who remembers a previous life as a black woman. He lived in Cincinnati and as a child he was very concerned about safety. He also began referring to himself as Pam. He used to say, “When I was a girl I had black hair,” or “I had earrings like that when I was a girl.” His mother in frustration said to him, “Who is Pam?” and he said, “I was.” He said, “I died and went to heaven and met God and he pushed me back down and I became a baby again and you named me Luke.”

So his mother went ahead and asked him how he died. He said “I died in a fire,” and he made a motion with this hand as though he were jumping off a building. “Where was this?” she asked and he told her “Chicago.” His mother asked what color was Pam’s skin and he told her she was black.
His mother searched the Internet and came across the fire at the Paxton Hotel. The Paxton Hotel was located in a predominantly black area of Chicago. In March 1993, a fire raced through the property trapping most residents on the upper floors and 19 people died including a woman named Pamela Robinson. Pamela died by jumping out of window.

While working with the documentary show *Ghost Inside my Child* on the Lifetime Movie network, Erica and Luke’s dad decided to put Luke to the test and while the cameras were rolling Luke identified a picture of Pamela Robinson and said “Here I am. I remember when that picture was taken.” Watch a five minute video on this case:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0JqhuL6NaCo

The reason we are presenting this particular case is because it typifies the sex change cases in this particular anomaly, children who remember prior lives. This thread of sex change cases will repeat itself through various anomalies and in a later chapter we will go in to greater depth in its significance.
Then Dean Radin said this:

“Bob, it’s not only difficult to develop a probability for case studies, whether one case or many, but even if a rigorous calculation could be performed that resulted in odds against chance of gazillion to one, that wouldn't persuade skeptics. The assumptions used in the calculation, which are unavoidable, could always be questioned. Meanwhile, believers don't need statistics to be persuaded. So I'm not convinced that such an effort would be worth it.

“The same issue comes up often about synchronicities. Some extremely odd coincidences do happen (someone, somewhere, is going to win the lottery even with odds of 100 million to one), and to the person experiencing the synchronicity it can seem wildly improbable. But when it comes to any type of uncontrolled, real-time event, it's nearly impossible to know what is and what isn't due to chance. That's why we try to take these phenomena into the lab, where we do know how to discriminate between chance and non-chance. So far we don't
know how to take reincarnation into the lab. So this type of evidence remains non-quantitative.”

Jim Tucker also shared his thoughts on this.

“Hi Bob. I share Dean’s concerns. Though I believe our cases offer compelling evidence for past lives, much of it is really not quantifiable. Even if it were, skeptics still wouldn’t believe it, as Dean says. Your goals are laudable, and we’ll all continue to fight the good fight.”

I agree with Dr. Radin again when he says that the odds against chance of a gazillion to one still wouldn’t get skeptics to change their beliefs. However, I believe that telling the entire story coalescing the best case scenario is important to inform future generations. Not to do that impedes information. So the choice is binary. Do nothing or present the best case as to what happens to us after death regardless of consequence, in short, the best scientific case, regardless of social consequence.

People of entrenched belief are not the target audience of cutting edge science. We have an obligation to inform our descendants of what
our best scientists think the most likely reality is. That common most probable reality will force many to do something very uncomfortable: change. So on this scientific precipice, I stand alone and am willing to watch the social and geopolitical dominoes fall to a new and more precise version of reality.

This speaks directly to the development of artificial intelligence, AI. How do you wish to design AI? If the answer is you want from your artificial intelligence the best answers based on a fact-based, logic-driven evaluation, then you want this structural paradigm for making those decisions. We can either choose that and reverse engineer some of our social decision making, or we can design an artificial intelligence dysfunctional in making evaluations.

Now I want to point out that we are harmonizing two different fractals in the same structural standard, our own intelligence and the artificial intelligence that we are developing, each a fractal of the other. If math supports and describes our reality, then a fractal design is scientific and mathematical proof.
To identify the fractals of information movement let’s look at this problem this way. Belief: a man dies and his soul is uploaded to heaven. You take content from your computer and upload it to the cloud. Then you download that information to a new computer. Then you download that information to a new body; that’s called reincarnation. Each is a fractal and each is an example of information topology.

Our observations of all three anecdotal disciplines indicate you are downloaded to a new body and the three disciplines corroborate and duplicate each other’s narrative to a six sigma level.

The detractors of this argument will immediately leap and say this is not a proof of two fractals, but in fact, as we make the case for the most probable reality, fractals will emanate outward, and it may be a holofractal design.

We can postulate; Hedy Lamarr was one of the three names on the patent for frequency hopping, a way to inform the torpedo if its target through radio waves that could not be blocked by radio jamming. That frequency hopping design could be apparent in the uncertainty
principle. We can only assign a probability to a particle of where it might be. We don't know where it really is. But it could be that it simply exists in ranges outside of the ones that we currently understand. In short, we don't have the whole map of frequencies because of our design. We are, after all, only seeing the reality we perceive through the sack of water that changes every two years. That is after all what we are.

So before we leave Dr. Radin's comments, the clarity and reality of this is it seems right meaning its cohesive, and the beliefs of the current generation will not be changed, but the new generation will be informed to the most accurate reality we can provide, and that is a scientific obligation.

In bearing that obligation, dominoes fall to the scientists, because that new generation will be led to the same edge of science, and with it will come funding, and that is an imperative for the military application for what we're discovering and explaining here with this new science.
It’s not the absolute model but it is the most probable model for what occurs after death. You have billions of people across the globe believing in some model with no apparent foundation. It doesn’t matter whether you are Christian, Muslim, Hindu, Jewish, or any other religion, there is no foundation of facts to support those beliefs except the science here which supports this.

So what have we proved and when did we prove it? What does our understanding today look like? What are the odds? We don’t have proof. But if I as a reasonable man were to handicap my odds what would an objective evaluation say?

Why is this so very important?

1-division creates adversaries

2-this is an inefficient model

3-coming changes in AI and space make this relevant for discussion

4-We have to find a way to harmonize a discordant system of managing humanity to achieve this kind of advance in awareness. This
represents the future growth of common consciousness and individual action.

Since this book is intended as an overview, it is the random sampling that we get in all disciplines that would lead to a single probability as to what narrative on the afterlife is most likely and why.

If this type of evidence for the children who remember prior lives remains non-quantitative, so be it. It is one part of the whole case and the numbers should be presented in their entirety, including ones that would have a high probability but fail the test of being quantitative. That information of failure needs to be included in the meta-analysis. That is a comprehensive including odds against chance probability and fractal geometry.

How to do not just an odds against chance calculation, but to do a meta-analysis of the entire science and then examine the consequences of the analysis? We’re going to call that the dominos. Where those social and political dominoes fall in the future and why it is inevitable and why it is imperative to be proactive in this endeavor.
In Chapter 6, we will meet with the successes that do meet a quantitative analysis but first in Chapter 5 we need to look at the last anomaly in this category of anecdotal anomalies.

So do we reach a six Sigma level when calculating odds against chance for this category? We run into the same problem with the University of Virginia math department did. We cannot scientifically state that this is proof. However if we were going to judge probability what is the likelihood of this is true?

Now it comes down to your own heuristic method so do we reach a six sigma level when calculating odds against chance for this category? Do you think this is our reality? While you may not, the 85-year-olds who met Charles believe it is reality because they accept him as the reincarnated form of Frank, their friend. In order to make this judgment they had to individually reach a mathematical odds against chance probability of the six Sigma level based on their own heuristic. How could they do this?
Because talking to Charles they shared the same inconsequential bits of information about their shared experience, how long it took to take a shower on an aircraft carrier, what the meals were like and what they liked of the meals. All the little things I’ve shared with someone as a common experience that tell someone else that someone else is real. This does not constitute scientific proof but it gives us some markers as to how to do the math and find what is real and what is not.

Then Titus Rivas sent me this.

Hi Bob

There is **Coherence in data of survival and reincarnation research**. 'It is very remarkable, that these messages from children correspond with messages from persons who had Near-Death Experiences, and were clinically dead.' *Vroeger toen ik groot was: vérgaande herinneringen van kinderen* Joanne Klink

1. Introduction
Physicalist (pseudo)skeptics also known as debunkers, proponents of a Super-psi explanation of the findings of parapsychological or psychical research into survival after death and reincarnation, as well as agnostics concerning the right explanation of findings, may sometimes stress the apparent divergence of these data. In their view, some results, taken at face value, suggest that we only survive death in an impersonal way and lose our personal identity, while merging with a transpersonal consciousness or divine soul. Other data, they claim, would point to the reality of personal survival in a spirit realm and of after-death communication. Finally, the findings of reincarnation research would suggest that only fragments of someone's mind or personality survive and get attached to a new body. All this is clearly incoherent and it would demonstrate that there simply is no unequivocal evidence for personal survival or reincarnation and that phenomena in these fields are therefore probably nothing more than an expression of various belief systems that shed no light on our personal fate after physical death.

Now, this is a very misleading presentation of the facts, because apart from some relatively minor differences within the data available, there are also important commonalities between these categories of experiences, which give us a very good reason to believe that they offer a coherent picture of postmortem existence. It may be important to note that some critics seem to be unaware of memories of a discarnate existence before the present life, and therefore sincerely believe that data from research into NDEs are inherently incompatible with data from other subfields, such as reincarnation research.

In what follows, I'll give a concise summary of some relevant issues.

**Concentrating on evidence with anomalous aspects**

Before we proceed, I think it is important to limit ourselves to data occurring in an anomalistic context, i.e, against the background of phenomena that cannot be explained within mainstream, orthodox physicalist theorizing, according to which all mental phenomena are the product of the physical brain. If there is nothing
(objectively or intersubjectively) anomalous about experiences, these could - at least in principle - be explained away in orthodox physicalist terms, which would automatically imply that they are not connected to a real, more than fictitious afterlife.

The kind of anomalous aspects I have in mind concern informational, psychogenic and psychological features of experiences that cannot be reconciled with the physicalist production theory about the brain-mind relationship. For instance, extrasensory perception in a near-death experience during cardiac arrest, the psychokinetic production of birthmarks corresponding with specific lethal wounds in cases of children who remember previous lives, and the strong, anomalous identification with a total stranger, also in such cases of the reincarnation type, respectively.

Debunkers typically hold that such paranormal aspects of the evidence simply do not exist and therefore overlook their theoretical importance. So they will probably find the rest of this paper irrelevant. More open-minded readers will understand why it is crucial to focus on types of evidence that contain anomalous aspects.

This has consequences for the status of most so-called channeled texts, which are not in any way connected to paranormal phenomena (other than the purportedly anomalous "channeling" itself), namely that they can't be given much weight from a parapsychological point of view. It is remarkable if the contents of some specific channeling texts (with no paranormal aspects) converge with other data, but there is really nothing more to it than that. In this sense, doctrines in channeled treatises are about as relevant for survival and reincarnation research, as passages in the Bible or any other holy book.

2. Convergent findings from case studies

Parapsychology in the original sense of psychical research uses several different methods, two of which are important here, namely naturalistic case studies and experimental or semi-experimental studies.
Case studies concern phenomena such as memories of an intermediate state between two incarnations among children who recall a past life, near-death experiences, spontaneous memories of a spiritual pre-existence outside the context of reincarnation memories, and apparitions of the dead.

Several authors such as Ian Stevenson (1987), Joanne Klink (1994), Neil and Elizabeth Carman (2013), Kirti Swaroop Rawat and the present author (Rawat & Rivas, 2005; Rivas et al., 2015, 2016) have repeatedly pointed at the remarkable commonalities between individual cases of near-death experiences, intermission memories, and pre-birth memories. These commonalities suggest that memories of an intermediate state between lives constitute a continuation of near-death experiences and, in their final phase, also match pre-birth memories without memories of a previous life.

This makes it important to concentrate on the phenomenology of intermission memories, as has been done by, among others, Poonam Sharma and Jim Tucker (Sharma & Tucker, 2004), James G. Matlock and Iris Giesler-Petersen (Matlock & Giesler-Petersen, 2016), Ohkado Masayuki (Ohkado & Ikegawa, 2014; Ohkado, 2015), and Dieter Hassler (2015).

As we can read in an overview, written by James Matlock (2017) for the online *Psi Encyclopedia*, we may distinguish five stages of the intermission experience. The first stage is a transitional stage following death, generally lasting until the body is buried, cremated, or disposed of in some fashion. The second stage is more stable and often passes in a fixed location. The third stage involves choosing parents for the new life. Drawing on surveys of pre-birth as well as past-life memories in Japan, Masayuki Ohkado identified a fourth stage, life in the womb, and a fifth stage, birth and its immediate aftermath."

Only the first three stages are part of a model originally presented by Sharma and Tucker (2004), because these concern stages of discarnate existence between death and reincarnation. However, the additional two stages identified by
Okhado form a bridge between intermission memories in the strict sense and very early memories of the present life, reaching far beyond normal childhood memories.

Sharma and Tucker point out that intermission memories indicate unusually strong memory, because subjects "not only claim to have memories of the intermission between the deaths of the previous personality and their own births, but they also demonstrate more ability to recall a variety of memories from the past life." This means that the absence of intermission memories does not imply an absence of intermission experiences, just like the absence of an NDE does not imply that a patient has not undergone any near-death experience.

In more than one stage, veridical perception of events on earth may occur, of the kind that we find in near-death experiences (Rivas, Dirven, & Smit, 2016; Rivas, et al, 2016).

Other examples of convergence concern the out-of-body experience during the first stage of the intermission experience, and an interest in observing the condition of the physical body or responses of relatives or friends to the subject's clinical or irreversible death.

The first stage often ends when the subject is directed by an "elder or an old man dressed in white" to a place where he or she then stays for the bulk of the intermission experience. Similar experiences are also a well-known feature of many NDEs. Though relatively rare, there are intermission memories that include other typical elements of NDEs, such as seeing a transcendent light (e.g. in the well-known case of Shanti Devi, see: Riawat & Rivas, 2005) and passing through a tunnel.

Concerning the second stage, which may once again involve further veridical perceptions of earthly reality, subjects may also recall having dwelled at physical locations such as a tree, a pagoda or somewhere near the place of death, and of having seen discarnate entities or interacted with them.

Such features are also well-known from reports about NDEs.
During the third stage, subjects report having had veridical perceptions of the future parents of the next incarnation. Election of these parents may be based on free choice or assisted by spiritual beings. This may be compared to some NDEs, in which the patient is shown various outcomes of choices to be made, including that of returning to the physical body or staying in the discarnate realm.

**Contact with other discarnate entities**

Both intermission and pre-birth memories and near-death experiences may include perceptions of unknown deceased people who are identified later on, for instance through photographs.

Furthermore, intermission memories may also involve contacts with the living, through dreams or apparitions, which are later confirmed by the people in question.

We may say that data from NDEs and pre-existence memories but also various other types of case studies, for example from studies into spontaneous after-death communications (ADCs), hauntings, and drop-in communicators during spiritualistic seances, all point in one and the same direction (Gauld, 1983), namely that communication with discarnate entities is a real phenomenon and not just the projection of subconscious processes.

**Other types of cases**

There are other fields of spontaneous case studies that also lend themselves to a systematic comparison. For instance case studies of deathbed visions (Osis, 1961; Haraldsson & Osis, 2012), terminal lucidity (Nahm, 2012) and shared death experiences (Moody & Perry, 2010). The first two categories directly relate to near-death experiences and the first stage of intermission memories, and may involve paranormal aspects, such as the veridical perception of a deceased personality previously unknown to the patient, or of a deceased loved one not
known to have died, or - in shared death experiences - of episodes of the dying person’s life.

The third category, that of anomalous terminal lucidity, generally confirms the ultimate existential independence of the mind or soul upon the brain, in accordance with cases of consciousness during cardiac arrest, that is not supported by cortical activity.

3. Experimental studies

Quite recently, Dieter Hassler (2015) convincingly showed that induced regression to past lives may sometimes contain veridical information. This demonstrates that not all instances of regression can be reduced to fantasy,

However, this does not imply that data from regression to an intermediate state need to be just as valuable as spontaneous cases of intermission memories. The main example that shows that is not concerns the work of hypnotherapist Michael Newton (1996), who has claimed to have discovered many things about the afterlife that are not backed up either by spontaneous intermission memories or by NDEs. A few examples are: so-called healing showers, staging areas, waiting rooms with soul cluster groups, and tribunals before which spirits evaluate how well they met the goals they set for their last human lives and formulate plans for their next. The five-stage structure of the intermission experience mentioned above is absent from Newton’s account.

Some of the differences between Newton's findings and spontaneous cases may be explained as being the result of a process of partial amnesia in children who remember an intermission period, but some other aspects of his data seem incompatible with such cases, and other hypnotherapists turn out to have collected divergent findings. James Matlock (2017) follows the late Ian Stevenson’s line of reasoning, when he explains the divergence between cases studies and experimental studies such as Newton's through the hypnotic state of
suggestibility which makes the subject susceptible to the hypnotherapist's explicit or implicit suggestions.

Similar things can be said about experimental studies into communications with the dead aiming at information about the afterlife. Mediums may be under the influence of one or more spiritualist or esoteric doctrines and this may greatly affect so-called channeled communications. Only if such communications match data from intermission memories and near-death experiences, do we have any reason to take them seriously, and it makes little sense to base one's model of the afterlife directly on channeling.

The fields of survival and reincarnation research need to focus on spontaneous cases studies rather than experimental studies (Rivas et al, 2016), to avoid undesirable and unnecessary noise. This may seem counter-intuitive, because of the traditional one-sided "scientistic" focus on experimentation, but so be it.

4. Real divergence?

It has been suggested that the afterlife is real, but uniquely personal, so that we should not expect any general features within our research findings. As we have seen, this is not in accordance with the available data.

Nevertheless, Matlock and Giesler-Petersen (0216) did find several differences between intermission memories from various Asian and Western cultures. For example. In Asian cultures the afterlife often seems to be experienced in a terrestrial environment, rather than in a separate spiritual dimension, which is common for Western cases. Also, interpretations of otherworldly non-human entities were interpreted differently. Asians perceived them to be the King of Death, minor deities, and devas, whereas Westerners thought they saw God, Jesus, and angels.
Such cultural differences are also known from NDEs, and they do not invalidate the hypothesis that both NDEs and intermission periods refer to a real discarnate existence. They do demonstrate though that cultural expectations may play an important role in the specific ways the afterlife is experienced. As Matlock and Giesler-Petersen put it:

"The cultural belief might somehow potentiate the actual experience of hanging around, and/or it might potentiate the memory of hanging around even if the actuality is equal in the Asia and the West. Maybe experiencers in the West don’t do it as much because of the expectation to “move on,” or maybe they do it as much but don’t focus on it and, therefore, don’t remember it as much—because it’s not as salient/important an aspect of afterlife to them as “moving on” is. Conversely, people who believe in reincarnation may expect, and therefore enact and/or pay more attention to terrestrial inter life aspects, beginning with disengagement from the physical body and one’s previous lifetime. [...] Asians expect to reincarnate, so they more frequently enact and/or recall material/terrestrial aspects of the inter life, beginning with the disengagement process from the previous body/lifetime; Westerners expect to go to heaven, so they more frequently enact and/or recall trans-material/heavenly aspects including spiritual, especially God-related, entities."

In other words, apart from universal stages in discarnate experience, the person's personal expectations also play an important role in the specific manifestation of the afterlife. In fact, this power of the person's own mind has been stressed by various traditions, such as spiritualism and Tibetan Mahayana Buddhism.

5. Ontological considerations

Any empirical theory is embedded more or less explicitly in a philosophical, ontological theory (Rivas, 2005). As I have said elsewhere before, the data from studies into cases of reincarnation and intermission memories can be accommodated within several ontological frameworks. For instance, the Advaita
Vedanta ontology states that each earthly personality is in essence a manifestation of a divine universal soul. An individual manifestation of God may continue its existence in a new earthly incarnation, which would also explain memories of an individual discarnate existence between two lives. Buddhism rejects the existence of a personal soul, both during physical life, and after death, so that they also reject the notion of personal reincarnation. Personalist substantialists (both with a dualist and with an idealist background) such as myself, state that there is an irreducible personal experiment or Self, which persists as an ontological substance during physical life and also after death and a possible reincarnation. For us, the so-called fragmented nature of past life and intermission memories in young children does not entail that our souls or personal identities have become fragmented, but simply that the full expression or accessibility of our stored memories is somehow limited (presumably mainly through the interaction with the child’s brain).

It depends on the general analytical tenability of any ontological theory whether a specific interpretation of data may be correct or not. This is something that needs to be determined at an ontological level, as it cannot be established through experimental research itself.

Summing up, there is every reason to believe in the coherence of survival and reincarnation data (Nahm & Hassler, 2015; Rivas et al, 2016; Matlock & Giesler-Petersen; Tucker, 2015, 2013; Stevenson, 1987). All data point to the reality of a discarnate existence and reincarnation and to the role of the mind in the specific manifestation of the afterlife. What exactly it is that survives and may reincarnate, remains a philosophical, ontological issue.

Your Friend;
Titus
The point here is no matter how you say this, the convergence of data and the direction it is pointing to is going to force our beliefs to look at facts. Whether we are connecting NDE’s to children who remember prior lives or as we will in the coming chapters connect past life regression to clairvoyance and psi a new paradigm is emerging that will become the reality for the next generation.
Chapter 5 The Anomaly of Past-Life Regression

What is the definition of past life regression?

Past life regression is a technique that uses hypnosis to recover what practitioners believe are memories of past lives or incarnations, though others regard them as fantasies or delusions or a type of confabulation. This definition is within the context of the Grand Material Meta paradigm. If we redefine what past life regression is within the emerging Grand Unified Metaparadigm we get the following definition. Past life regression is a hypnotic method of accessing wave form memory using human psi abilities each of us possess. Long regarded as unreliable information, it is taking its place in the family of manifestations of this ability which include clairvoyance and remote viewing.

Now we have to stop this narrative, and talk about definitions. Going forward, there will often be two definitions for each concept. That is because each concept is viewed differently from each metaparadigm. But extrapolating that further, because the definitions
have changed, because the concepts of what we understand as reality has changed, then dominoes fall outward as we redefine not just our reality, but ourselves and our relationships with each other.

All of those current understandings need to be redefined within the larger metaparadigm. For instance, LGBT sexuality needs to be redefined within the context of the emerging grand unified metaparadigm. If we do that, we see that sexual expression is a spectrum, with a binary polar model, not a binary system, and we see people in transition all around us and in the afterlife as well, because that's what the common narrative produces. Going forward, someone's sexuality should be as normal as anyone else's sexuality, simply expressed differently, and within the context of that person's lifetime can change, because that's what it does.

Since the mathematics supports this model, then we can clearly state that discrimination against any form of sexual expression that doesn't harm others is the norm mathematically, and that discrimination is mathematically invalid.
The best way to describe how the afterlife is viewed today by the general public is the following analogy. There is a story of three blind men describing an elephant. One said it is like a snake because he felt the tail, and another said a tree because he felt a leg, a third felt it was a hose because he felt the trunk. None of the three got it right.

To have an aggregate story by all experiencers, we need to have a common description of what occurs not just to people who go through past life regression but also children who remember prior lives as well as NDE’s. This has been done by Michael Newton and Brian Weiss, who have published multiple books on the topic, but nowhere has there been an odds against chance calculation on the redundant description from all three categories. There are hundreds of millions of people in the three categories. But this is not proof.

In this chapter we are going to discuss the anomaly of Past Life Regression. First is the case of the actor Glen Ford. For those who don’t know, past life regression is where you are put into a hypnotic trance and asked to remember if you lived before. While skepticism abounds
some of the narrative coming out of these cases is unexplainable by modern science. When rigorously documented, they prove nothing by themselves or as a group. They leave more questions than they answer. But when viewed within the context of the other anomalies as a group, the total story of what all the anomalies tell is self-similar, reinforcing each narrative with a similar narrative from the other groups; gender change for instance. That common narrative from the aggregate of all the anomalies produces an odds against chance calculation that exceeds a six sigma level. The odds are more than a billion to one that this would accidentally produce self-similar stories from millions of people who have had an NDE, or a past life regression, and then children who are 3 and 4 tell the same story. The fact they are self-similar and iterations of the same narrative produce a mathematical proof consistent with what we see modeled in nature itself. Their story is our reality of what happens after we die.

When science encounters an anomaly, it documents its observations rigorously. In this case, Glenn Ford was hired to portray a
Dutch psychic named Peter Hurkos. In order to prepare for his role he agreed to be hypnotized. Once hypnotized, he claimed to be a piano teacher who lived in Scotland. Ford could not play the piano and yet when he was hypnotized he could play exceptionally well. His information was so accurate about where he lived that they were able to locate the town in Scotland where he lived and his gravestone from that prior life.

He was regressed again this time at UCLA and was found to have lived other lives. One notably was that of a French cavalry officer in the 1600s. What made this remarkable was that Ford was able to speak fluent French while under hypnosis but did not know how to speak French in his current life. There is no current explanation in science to explain this but the observations done at UCLA while factual are unexplainable.

Here is the story.

The Past Lives of Glenn Ford
When Ford was approached about a movie on Dutch psychic Peter Hurkos, he decided he should first do some studying on the topic. So the 54-year-old actor witnessed some demonstrations by Hurkos, conducted interviews of experts on the topic, and in December 1975, he underwent three past-life hypnosis sessions during which he described what appeared to be five previous lives he had led. Dr. Maurice Benjamin conducted the experiment before witnesses, with a tape recorder running. The hypnotized actor was regressed back to childhood, then beyond, and described what were presumed to be memories of past lives.

MUSIC TEACHER

In the earliest experience, Ford described himself as a bachelor music teacher named Charles Stewart of Elgin, Scotland who died in 1892. Stewart loved horses but hated his job teaching music to young schoolgirls.

While being questioned about his life as Stewart, Ford agreed to demonstrate his musical skills, and played passages from Beethoven,
Mozart, and Bach. Ford listened to the tapes of the interview with interested skepticism. He shared Stewart’s love for horses and had, since his early years, been considered a natural with the animals. On the other hand, Ford could not play the piano. His own speculation was that perhaps Stewart’s antipathy to music carried over to Ford, as did his love for horses.

**FRENCH CAVALRYMAN**

A second regression attempt was more difficult, but ultimately successful. This time, questioning of the hypnotized actor brought out a French-speaking member of the elite horse cavalry of the 1670’s. Ford didn’t speak French.

The information provided by the officer indicated he lived in the time of King Louis XIV. The officer, named Launvaux, hated aristocrats. It was an aristocrat who accused Launvaux of an adulterous affair with his wife, then hired a skilled swordsman to challenge the outmatched 34-year-old Launvaux who killed him the duel that followed.
Launvaux provided some accurate descriptions, including the fact that the palace at Versailles was then referred to as the Chateau, that the stables were on the left as one approached the palace, and the scheme used to ensure his death.

OTHER LIVES

In continuing his research, Ford was regressed to other previous lives, describing a young Christian martyr killed by lions in the Colosseum in third century Rome and a 17th Century Royal Navy sailor who died of the Great Plague.

In his most recent lifetime, Ford was a young cowboy herding cattle out West. For what it’s worth, although Ford starred in 106 movies and several TV series ranging from comedy to police dramas to war stories, he was perhaps most prolifically, if not most notably, cast in Westerns.

~The Past Lives of Glenn Ford~~

A summary of a long account that appeared in World of Mysteries (vol. 11, pp. 31-36), concerning regressive therapy performed by the
hypnotist Dr. Maurice Benjamin of Los Angeles, on the famous movie actor, Glenn Ford (1916-2006):

The first séance took place on December 1st 1975 in the home of Dr. Benjamin. Three additional people were present – two clinical psychologists and a friend who was a journalist. Everything that took place during the session was recorded. The séance was organized for the purposes of a single goal: releasing Ford from mental inhibitions that prevented him from accessing his subconscious. This first experiment lasted one hour and ten minutes. The sessions took an average of one hour and thirty minutes.

In the second session, which took place three days later, Dr. Benjamin began a process of age-regression, returning Ford in stages to the ages of six, four, three and then one.

In the third session at the end of the same week, Ford began his first foray into a previous life. “You are leaving the process of birth behind you, and traveling into the past... to other lives... towards a
person who once was,” Dr. Benjamin told the hypnotized actor. ‘Who are you now?’ he asked him.

“Charles Stewart,” said Ford, whose words unexpectedly took on a strong Scottish accent.

As the dialogue progressed, the people present learned much about this mysterious Charles Stewart. It became apparent that he was a Scottish gentleman who had lived at the end of the 18th and beginning of the 19th centuries, born and bred in Elgin, Scotland, a place he described as a “gray valley, cold and muddy.” He had a younger sister, Aliza, who married at a young age and moved to Edinburgh. Charles Stewart himself had lived in Elgin all his life, making a living from teaching music.

In order to manage his loneliness, he built a stall in his backyard and dedicated himself to raising horses in his spare time. “They were my only true love in life and I had no reason to regret it,” he said. “I raised them with love and total commitment and I received love and total commitment from them in return.”
Charles Stewart did not live a long life. He died at the age of thirty-two, in 1812, and was buried in the Protestant cemetery of Elgin next to the plot of the Baker Family.

“When I awoke from my hypnotized state and listened to the recording,” Glenn Ford said when interviewed for the article, “I didn’t know what to make of it. The Scottish accent, of course, was not a convincing element. I am an experienced actor and I can imitate any accent without difficulty. What troubled me when I listened to the tape was the mention of music. As Charles Stewart, the music teacher, I had risen from my place in the middle of the séance, at Dr. Benjamin’s request, and played music by Chopin, Beethoven, and Mozart at the piano for a short time. I, as Glenn Ford, never studied music and I can’t tell the difference between classical and jazz. Meanwhile, the wife of Dr. Benjamin, who was a pianist in her youth and was present at the séance, said later that I had played extremely well.”

Ford and Benjamin then took a two-week break from their sessions in order to investigate the truth about the details that had
been presented at the séance. Glenn Ford took advantage of one of his friend’s trip to England, asking him, if he were able, to look into the various details that had been mentioned about Charles Stewart’s life. The answer, which was received in a long telegram, would have astounded anyone who had not already heard the story of Bridey Murphy. The friend had located a city in Scotland called Elgin, describing it in the very same terms that had been used during the séance. In the Protestant cemetery of the town, amidst a jumble of bushes and brambles that had not been trimmed in decades, the friend was shocked to find a tombstone with the name “Charles Stewart” etched into it. The man described on the tombstone had died at the age of thirty-two in 1812 and had been buried in a line with five other family members.

Ford was overwhelmed when he received the telegram, even though he had expected something like it to arrive. If he had had even a shadow of a doubt before the telegram, it completely disappeared the moment he read it. “Until this day,” he said in the interview, it was
difficult for him to digest the fact that “Glenn Ford is only a reincarnation of the Scotsman Charles Stewart.” Ford believed that his acceptance of this fact would come with time. And indeed he decided that after three or four months, when he completed work on a film, he would travel to Scotland to personally visit the grave of Charles Stewart. He said that he thought that he would like to stand before the old gravestone and say something like, “Hello, Charlie. Do you remember me? It’s me, Charlie.”

At the fourth séance, which took place in January 1969, Dr. Benjamin tried to bring Ford back to experiences before Charles Stewart, to see if he had any previous incarnations. The experiment did not go well. Ford became embroiled in unexpected nervousness and discomfort, and the doctor was forced to wake him. Another séance was attempted two days later. Again Ford was trapped in a restless state preventing him from advancing before that of Charles Stewart.

Ford described his trip into the past as a journey on a night train through the fog, where previous lives are like lit-up stations appearing
in a flash, as the subject passes quickly by. Ford believed that the particular station to which he had arrived had been darkened for some reason. He had tried to reveal more details without success, for the darkness was all-encompassing. (It is likely that this darkness represented an abortion. Z.C.)

The doctor suggested that if this was the case they should pass over the dark station and move further back towards another one. Ford agreed and Dr. Benjamin led him into a deep hypnotic trance using a previously agreed upon signal. “You are continuing further into the past...you travel deeper...the dark station is now far behind you...Do you begin to see light? Can you begin to make out any details? Where are you?” asked Dr. Benjamin. “Versailles,” said Ford. Surprisingly, he began to speak casually and fluently in French. Ford had been able to speak sluggish French, if not worse. In his youth he had been able to speak passable French with his French neighbors from Quebec, but since then he had forgotten much of his vocabulary which was now quite weak. But now, under the influence of hypnosis, French flowed
off of his tongue in a manner that would have made any Frenchman jealous.

After the séance, Dr. Benjamin took a portion of the recording of Ford’s dialogue to the University of California, requesting experts in French language to give a professional opinion on what they heard. A group of three experts analyzed the material and their response was unequivocal: Glenn Ford had displayed – completely without warning – total mastery of Parisian French common in the 1670's and 1680's.

Let us return to the séance.

“You are at Versailles?” asked Dr. Benjamin. He switched into French and asked: “Can you describe it?”

Without hesitating, Ford described how he had just walked through the front gate of a castle. (He used the word “chateaux,” an idiom that had denoted the castle at Versailles in the second half of the 17th century). “All of the roads and sidewalks are covered with dust. I hope it will rain soon,” said Ford. “This dust is killing me.”
‘What else do you see?’ asked the doctor. “Horses, lots of horses,” said Glenn Ford with surprise in his voice. “Your horses?” asked Dr. Benjamin. “No,” he answered. “Only one of them is mine. They are in the stable on the left side of the castle.” The doctor nodded his head. As a great lover of French culture, Versailles was well known to Dr. Benjamin. He was convinced that there had never been a stable on the left side of the castle. “You are not being precise,” the hypnotist said to the subject. “It is not possible that you can see a stable on the left side.”

But Ford insisted that there were stables on the left side of the building and he began to grow angry when the doctor continued to press him on the issue. He relaxed only when they changed the topic.

It came to light during the continuation of their conversation that the Frenchman speaking out of Glenn Ford’s mouth was named Launvaux. (He refused to divulge his first name). He claimed to have an extra sensitive sense of smell, and began to recite all of his most beloved scents – his favorites amongst them being the smell of horses.
freshly groomed in the stable and the sharp scent of the refuse of the stable drying in the sun for an entire year after the cleaning of the main sewage line. Based on these descriptions, as well as much time spent with research in the university library, Dr. Benjamin was able to determine the exact year that Glenn Ford had described. The year had been 1684 during the reign of King Louis, the XIV. Launvaux had been killed in a duel.

The conversation between the doctor and the mysterious Launvaux – despite all of its strangeness – raised several important points. Over the next two weeks, the doctor spent day and night in the university library, reading through scores of history books to bring together the best description of the castle at Versailles possible. He studied manuscripts of historians from the 17th century, even ordering a rare manuscript to be sent from Paris. His research confirmed that Launvaux had been right about the location of the stable he had described. The documentation from Paris contained a map of the castle at Versailles from the period of the reign of Louis the XIV. The map
confirmed beyond a shadow of a doubt that the horses of the royal guard were located on the left side of the castle at this particular point in history. Only later were they moved to another venue in order to make room for a luxurious addition on the left side of the main building. In the majority of the books that had passed through the Dr. Benjamin’s hands, it was also confirmed that many people died during duels throughout this period.

The period between the death of the Frenchman Launvaux (1684) and the birth of the Scotsman Charles Stewart (1780) spanned some one hundred years, and between these two well-lit “stations” laid an unidentified station representing an additional, hidden link in Ford’s past lives. This station remained dark and did not allow the subject to enter it. Despite three additional attempts (the last of which was particularly long and intense) Ford did not succeed in passing through this dark obstacle and into his past. Why? Did this particular incarnation represent a time of great suffering and pain from which the soul was protecting?
“I don’t know why it is like this,” said Ford, “however, I’m not
giving up without a fight.” Ford believed that the continuing attempts
would eventually lead to unraveling the mystery of the darkened
station, if only partially.

In summarizing the turning point in his life inspired by his travels
into the past, the actor said: “I was full of doubts before we began the
sessions; however, today I don’t have a shadow of a doubt. By the way,
I have told you only part of what took place in the séances. The tape
recordings are loaded with intimate details that I am too embarrassed
to describe. In my incarnation as Glenn Ford, I am a shy person and I
would not relate such embarrassing details even under hypnosis. In my
opinion, this is proof that the stories that were recorded were not the
words of Glenn Ford, but of Charles Stewart and Launvaux...”

So with such specificity, the question becomes, how many of
these cases are there? The answer is more than hundreds or
thousands. There are millions. Two of the most noted practitioners of
past life regression in the United States are Brian Weiss and Michael Newton.

One of the most credible researchers supporting the efficacy of past-life regression is Dr. Brian Weiss, who has been on Oprah Winfrey’s show many times and has published several books regarding some of his subjects and what he’s learned from them via his regressing them to past lives. Based on what he has studied, He is completely convinced that past-life regression reveals the prior lives of his subjects. The overriding question, of course, is whether he is really uncovering accurate information or is he falling victim as a well-intended researcher to false results?

So who is Dr. Weiss? Brian L. Weiss, M.D., is a psychiatrist practicing in Miami, Florida. He is a graduate of the Yale Medical School and is presently chairman emeritus of psychiatry at the Mt. Sinai Medical Center in Miami. Dr. Weiss maintains a private practice in Miami and conducts international seminars and experimental workshops, as well as training programs for professionals. He is the
author of the past-life-oriented books *Through Time into Healing* and *Same Soul, Many Bodies*. You can visit his web site at www.brianweiss.com.

Dr. Weiss's book is different from references I’ve previously cited, because instead of giving you case study after case study, as Tucker did at the University of Virginia, or presenting a typical experience, as Dr. Moody did, Dr. Weiss's book examines one subject—a woman—and her journey through past-life regressions for which he was her guide. Instead of multiple subjects with just one paranormal experience each, we get to see one subject examining a multitude of lives that she didn't know existed. Her name is Catherine, and through the excerpts that follow, you can see her discoveries of her past and Dr. Weiss’s discoveries of the process.

In this chapter I want to skip through Weiss’s *Many Lives, Many Masters* like a stone thrown across the water. I want you to hear what he heard rather than summarize it for you.
The seminal moment in Dr. Weiss’s psychiatric treatment of Catherine came when he regressed her into her childhood. Catherine had a phobia that Dr. Weiss believed he could solve by hypnotically taking her back to her childhood and confronting the event that occasioned the phobia, but for the longest time, her symptoms showed no improvement. Weiss was unable to find an event in Catherine’s life that he could link to her phobia. Under the most unexpected of circumstances, however, he finally had a breakthrough.

“Slowly, I took Catherine back to the age of two, but she recalled no significant memories. I instructed her firmly and clearly: ‘Go back to the time from which your symptoms arise.’ I was totally unprepared for what came next” (Weiss 1988, 27).

His phrase, “Go back to the time from which your symptoms arise,” inadvertently took Catherine back to one of her previous lives. It was through this door that Weiss would, over the many coming sessions, regress Catherine to her prior lives. She would have memories of historical events, be able to speak languages that she didn’t know
she could speak, and possess talents in other lives she never demonstrated in her current life. When he said to her, “go back to the time from which your symptoms arise,” she answered, “I see white steps leading up to a building, a big white building with pillars, open in front. There are no doorways. I’m wearing a long dress . . . a sack made of rough material. My hair is braided, long blond hair” (Weiss 1988, 27).

I want my reader to note another thing: just like the similarities in the stories involving the children who had prior-life experiences, or the people who had near-death experiences, there is a remarkable similarity in the way people describe the afterlife. Don’t overlook the similarity between the stories that William told the researchers at the University of Virginia and what the masters told Weiss through Catherine.

As I recounted in chapter 1, William said “‘When you die, you don’t go right to heaven. You go to different levels—here, then here, then here,’ as he moved his hand up each time” (Tucker 2005, 3).
Now, here is the master talking to Weiss through Catherine:

“There are seven planes . . . seven through which we must pass before we are returned” (Weiss 1988, 172).

So, to recap, the first three chapters of this book offer anecdotal scientific anomalies—stories or events that cannot be explained by current science but seem common to the human condition. People who cannot know each other report similar types of experiences that happened to them.

A question to ask at this point is whether there other anomalies in science where, instead of the event happening to us, we create and control (at least to some extent) the event? In fact, by the early 1970s this type of anomaly was being seen in the science of archeology.

We don’t know what mechanisms cause people to remember past lives when they go through past life regression, but it is a common human experience and the stories about life between lives are too consistent to be fabricated by so many people who don’t know each other.
Ford described his trip into the past as a journey on a night train through the fog, where previous lives are like lit-up stations appearing in a flash, as the subject passes quickly by. Ford believed that the particular station to which he had arrived had been darkened for some reason. His view from this point of view would be similar to a signal moving down a dendrite with access to some nuclei and not to others. There is a fractal design in the description.

So what are the odds against chance that all these stories accurately reveal a landscape of what occurs to us after death? In Ford's case, we have a heavily documented narrative at UCLA, and Ford being able to not only speak French, but the fact that we have found the gravestone of the prior life Ford claimed to have lived, and the historical records show that that individual was a piano teacher as Ford described. This is common to so many cases that we have to look at the odds against chance, not just in one case question what are the odds against chance that Ford being able to do everything he did against improbable odds, meaning he was accurate in his description of
Scotland, he was able to play the piano, able to speak not just French but a guttural French of the period, and connect it to all the other cases?

We quickly reach a six sigma level, but the common experiences of people who experience not only past life regression, but near death experiences, the common tunnel experience. We know a physicist would say this is not quantitative proof, a mathematician would say that the odds against chance were exceptionally high, but a bookmaker--which way would he bet? And while this is an anomaly, all of these are anomalies within the grand material metaparadigm, within the grand unified metaparadigm, they're explained and part of the structure.

If this then is our probably reality as compared to any other religious or structural narrative, then how does that change how we operate in this life?

Event repeatable with same result with Ford, withstanding scientific test
I want to explain the significance of this one case and how its anomalies line up with other anomalies.

Could play the piano

Could speak French

Found the gravestone

UCLA documented

Common tunnel experience not bale to verify why he knew this stuff

There are millions and millions of practitioners of past life regression in all cultures, both now and historically, surrounded by related proofs. What are the odds any other version you can come up with is more valid or probable than the model being advanced? How would you bet this with a bookmaker in Las Vegas? If we begin calculating the odds against chance on the cross hits between disciplines we would have to calculate 10,000 hits as heads on a coin toss. There are millions and millions of practitioners in all cultures, both
now and historically, surrounded by related proofs. What are the odds any other version you can come up with is more valid?

There are other versions of the description of what Glenn experienced from the perspective of children who remember prior lives, NDE’s and past life regression that match or fill out the description of the landscape of wave consciousness rather than particulate consciousness to the point of telling a reliable consensus description of that experience. The Common Experience.

Let us say his description is experiencing the moment as wave state perception. We could theorize that the Psi condition is wave state access to knowledge as access to the “Akashic Record.” We have already used this Psi ability in remote viewing but it needs to be revisited from a different perspective. An example would be comparing radar technology from 1940 with information satellites today.

We have to look at this access to information though many eyes and all in situations we cannot explain. We are blind deaf and dumb
and there are in this model more senses we cannot access or exercise in this.

The Wall of Death

What happens when you are dead? What is the aggregate story of people who either remember a prior life, had an NDE, or been regressed, what story do they tell about the process of dying and being reborn? Interestingly, they produce a common narrative.

Michael Newton goes into the afterlife, or the between life, in more detail than does anyone else. Second, his detail is consistent with other anecdotal information. It is consistent with the University of Virginia case studies and the descriptions from people who had near-death experiences. It should be noted, however, that the people who have near-death experiences only go a limited distance into the afterlife. But as far as they go, their reports are consistent with Newton’s. His reports are also consistent with other past-life regression accounts, and we will soon see how this ties into Weiss’s accounts. Finally, his evidence is also consistent with the common elements
found across religions; in other words, it is consistent with descriptions of the afterlife reported by religions where judgment or evaluation of a life is reported.

What is important here is not one report, but the fact that all the reports from all sources of anecdotal information are consistent in what they say; they match what the narrative would be if we distilled the cogent points of descriptions of the afterlife from the world’s major religions.

Newton has created a landscape of the reality of the soul between lives. The first thing he talks about in his book is how he encountered the research track he’s currently involved with. He remarks that what amazed him was the consistency of the reports he was getting from subject to subject. Interestingly, Moody said the same thing about his patients. What I am going to suggest in a later chapter is an analysis of his data, or meta-analysis of all data, using odds against chance as a standard to show whether, statistically, what we are seeing could be true.
All of Newton’s test subjects describe a common response. His results are the same results seen with the studies at the University of Virginia of children spontaneously claiming prior lives, or at the University of Miami, where Weiss is getting a similar result. The gold standard in science is replicability from experiment to experiment, and while these are not experiments but rather studies (because they don’t produce an explanation of the effect), we are quantifying this effect throughout a variety of different conditions that cuts across all religions. Whether people believe in God, Buddha, Jesus, or no one at all, they can have the same experience when talking about the soul and reincarnation. Religion, it seems, has a common denominator.

What follows is a brief description of the passage a soul would have from one life to another, according to Newton. He describes it in the following stages.

The journey begins with death in this life, or departure. Newton’s subjects report a tunnel, as do Moody’s subjects. They move to a white light.
Homecoming is the next step. Newton reports that his subjects meet deceased relatives, as do those people who have near-death experiences. A similar experience is also reported by Weiss. The arriving soul is met by a guide.

Orientation is a period of adjustment from a corporeal form to a spiritual one. Newton makes the point that no subterfuge or deception exists in a telepathic world. Souls are not judged, but rather evaluated. At times, Newton talks directly to the returning soul's guide through the person whom he has regressed. Weiss reports the same phenomenon.

Transition is the term used by both Weiss and Newton to describe what was reported to them. Each soul has a home with a group of other souls. It is interesting that Newton notes the “commonality” of word usage by different clients to describe spiritual phenomena after they return, they go before soul councils that evaluate a prior life rather than judge it. In many instances, soul groups are described as classes, much like students in a particular class or grade.
Placement is the term Newton uses to describe the soul’s level. According to him, there are six levels of souls that indicate advancement, whether you are in the sixth grade or twelfth grade. Each soul has a guide, and the soul’s future life selection is based on what that soul needs to work on to develop. There are levels of guides as well, junior guides and senior guides who are graded on how well they help their charges.

Life Selection describes the time when the soul must once again leave the sanctuary of the spirit world for another trip to earth.

Choosing a New Body isn’t about just choosing the soul’s new gender, but the health and the condition of the body that the soul will occupy. Though we may consider it strange to select a body that is frail or has some physical handicap, it is done to advance the individual soul’s learning in the next life. However, gender is a choice. This information is consistent with what is reported by other researchers and other sources.
Preparation for Embarkation involves connecting with the group of souls you will be incarnating with. The issue of how to find soul mates can be complicated. There are relationships based on deep, abiding love, but there are also relationships based upon companionship, friendship, and mutual respect.

Rebirth, we all know what that is.

Since Weiss and Newton worked independently, it seems unlikely that each subject would describe the life between lives in the same way, yet this is exactly what happens. My problem in taking a scientific look at reincarnation is not that just two people report a similar past life regression, but that so many are reporting this scenario; if I tried to cite all of these cases, my book would never get past all the common stories.

I am not asking you to believe any of this. I am writing a book on science, on evidence. I want to emphasize that this is a common human response of subjects undergoing past-life regression.
So I now want to direct you to the similarities between Weiss’s results in regressing Catherine and Newton’s results. The information coming from Catherine cited the same idea of levels of development, the same idea of choosing how you return, the same ideas of learning and growing, a repeated process. The stories that Weiss and Newton report regarding the place between lives are consistent with each other.

So without forming any opinions, these narrative are our observations; people telling us things that may or may not be true. While the odds against chance that so many say the same thing are overwhelming and the model has a fractal architecture, can it stand up to real science, experiments, proofs and replicability—the gold standard of scientific reality?

Now before we leave, an important point: These are our observations. They cover hundreds of millions of people, from all races and walks of life. They are ubiquitous. We have taken them in and
measured them as best we can. We don’t understand what we are seeing.

What we are not seeing is Jesus, Mohamed or Vishnu. But we are measuring what they promise, a life after death. We are scientists, we cannot believe some narrative, and we have to put understanding to the observations. How does what we are seeing work? Our experiments of what works and what doesn’t is beginning to produce a model of our reality and our consciousness.

Next our experiments, what we know that works, even if we don’t understand why, and what we know doesn’t work.
Non Local Consciousness was an Anomaly

(Psi)

Up until this point in the Mathematics of the Science of Reincarnation, we have examined what we see, our observations. But what have we proven and what does it mean? How does what we have proven affect the mathematics of the science of reincarnation?

We have proven an effect called Psi.

What is Psi?

Psi is the first letter in the Greek word psyche, which means soul or mind. British scientist Rob Thouless coined the expression psi research. Different varieties of psi exist, such as mind-to-mind communication (telepathy), predicting future events (precognition), affecting matter with your mind (psychokinesis), and the one that allows us to see distant objects and events, clairvoyance. Psi falls into two categories: observation, such as clairvoyance and precognition, and affecting objects, such as psychokinesis.

While some scientists are at the forefront of producing supportive research and theory, others have devoted much of their careers to criticizing that work. Truth is cumulative, and the scientists responded and the research got tighter and better until the evidence was--and is--undeniable. We still don’t understand how psi works and why it’s stronger in one case than another. So how did we get here?
A Brief History of Telepathic Research
The Development of Psi

Professor Joseph Banks Rhine worked at Duke University from the late 1920s to 1965 and developed the forced-choice of cards technique that uses a special deck of twenty-five cards consisting of five symbols (square, circle, wavy lines, star, and triangle) on five cards each. A person acting as the sender would shuffle the cards, select the top card, and try to send that symbol mentally to a remote person, the receiver (Radin 1997, 67).

The experiment was judged a success or failure, based on the number of hits and how far that total deviated from chance. Here, random chance was one in five that the remote person—the receiver—would be right, and thus there would be an average of five hits in a twenty-five card deck, right? Not exactly. During Rhine’s tests there were always more than five hits. One would have expected there to be some end results with less than five if it was only random chance, but that never happened. Something was positively influencing the results.

Rhine’s tests have been tried thousands of times, and the results have consistently supported the existence of psi. So that’s that, right? Psi exists; let’s change the metaparadigm.

Unfortunately, regardless of all these individual studies, the results can be doubted under a variety of scientific criticisms. There could be selective reporting, design flaws in the experiments, or even what is called sensory leakage (hints given subconsciously by the scientist to nudge the subject into the right answers). One advantage of this skepticism, however, is that it “refined the methods used in future experiments” (Radin 1997, 88). So the experiments continued to meet higher and higher standards.

This scientific fight to establish psi is not a new one. Even as early as 1880 to 1940, similar ESP card experiments provided increasingly persuasive evidence for psi. But it eventually became evident that the results could be attributed to clairvoyance rather than telepathy, which meant that a sender was not needed. The experiment, therefore, needed a drastic retooling (Radin 1997, 67).
A new experiment conducted from 1966 to 1972 at Maimonides Medical Center in Brooklyn asked for a sender to transmit mental images to a sleeping person in the middle of a dream. The theory was that this sender could implant these images and that “the dreamer will sometimes incorporate those images into the dream” (Radin 1997, 69). That’s a fairly drastic redesign of the experiment, isn’t it? But wait, there’s more.

In the mid-1970s, researchers designed a telepathy experiment using a sensory deprivation technique called *ganzfeld*, a German word meaning *whole field*. The idea was to limit the amount of sensory input in the subject in order to eliminate noise, “thereby improving the likelihood of perceiving faint perceptions that are normally overwhelmed by ordinary sensory input” (Radin 1997, 74). The results were a significantly higher hit rate than chance. This experiment was performed in ten different laboratories, and the results were very compelling.

“We now know that . . . we are fully justified in having a very high confidence that people sometimes get small amounts of specific information from a distance without the use of ordinary senses” (Radin 1997, 88).

If we have a high degree of confidence that we can receive information, could we then send information? How could we test that theory?

Radin suggests that if we consider all the ESP card tests conducted in laboratories all over the world between 1882 to 1939, the likelihood is phenomenally small that all of these experimenters just got lucky and had the “more than five guessed correctly” result—“a billion trillion to one” (Radin 1997, 97).

Not only are current laboratories coming to the same conclusion as those that conducted experiments in the late nineteenth and early twentieth century, but even the U. S. government has performed these experiments and has obtained some amazing results. Here’s a story Radin tells about the government experimenting with remote viewing and, through it, seeing how plausible clairvoyance actually is.
Sometimes the results were so striking that they far exceeded the effects typically observed in formal laboratory tests. In one test conducted at the request of government clients who wished to see how useful remote viewing might be in real intelligence missions, Dr. Edwin May described how a remote viewer was able to successfully describe a target, having no prior information about the target other than that it was a “technical device somewhere in the United States.”

The actual target was a high-energy microwave generator in the Southwest. Without knowing this, the “viewer” drew and described an object remarkably similar to a microwave generator, including its function, approximate size, and housing, and even correctly noted that it had “a beam divergence angle of 30 degrees.”

Most of the classified, mission-oriented remote viewings could not be evaluated as controlled, formal experiments, because that was not their intent. In some cases, however, unexpected information obtained through remote viewing was later confirmed to be correct, and this was important because it demonstrated the pragmatic value of this technique for use in real-world missions (Radin 1997, 98–99).

So, how likely was it that the remote viewing experiments were just “really lucky guesses”? They calculated that probability too, and the likelihood that the remote viewing experiments were legitimate remote views, not just luck, was “more than a billion billion to one” (Radin 1997, 101).

(Radin has since refined this number to 10 to the 27th power meaning this ability is considered proven)

Here’s what Radin says about what he calls anomalous cognition—essentially, psi—and the likelihood of its existence: “It is clear to this author that anomalous cognition is possible and has been demonstrated. This conclusion is not based on belief, but rather on commonly accepted scientific criteria. The phenomenon has been replicated in a number of forms across laboratories and cultures. . . . I believe that it would be wasteful of valuable resources to continue to look for proof” (Radin 1997, 102).
Radin concurs that the explanation for psychic abilities is found in quantum theory. He says that such abilities “exist outside the usual boundaries of space time,” which basically means that they’re nonlocal.

Radin also examines intention, or as he puts it, “collective wishing.” I include this because it is a real-world example of how scientists look at reality and because its results coincide with Jahn and Dunne’s experiments with random number generators, which I discuss below. Collective wishing is just another word for group prayer. “Let us pray” is a commonly heard phrase. A scientific explanation of how prayer works is as follows.

We have already explained that “you” are not matter but energy--that is what the emerging field of quantum biology is about. You are a packet of information. While you are alive, in the particulate state, you still have a wave structure. When you go to a church to pray everyone comes in on their own wavelength, but collectively everyone harmonizes that wave structure to create a result through common intention. This science is proof of the ability of prayer, or intention, to effect change at a distance simply with intent.

We measure such things to know if this is true. Here is how we do it.

Wishing for Good Weather

To test whether collective wishing made a difference, Nelson examined the historical weather data for the days before, during, and after graduation at Princeton University for a period of thirty years. He paid most attention to the daily precipitation data recorded in the Princeton, New Jersey, area, and in six surrounding towns, which acted as "control" locations. He predicted that on the day of graduation there would be more sunshine and less rain in Princeton than on the days before or after.

Nelson's analysis revealed that on average, over thirty years, there was indeed less rain around graduation days than a few days
before and after graduation, with odds of nearly twenty to one against chance. An identical analysis for the average rainfall in six surrounding towns showed no such effect. Over thirty years, about 72 percent of the days around graduation had no rain at all in Princeton, whereas only 67 percent of the days in the surrounding towns were dry.

Curiously, on graduation day itself, the average rainfall was slightly higher in Princeton than in the surrounding towns, owing to a massive downpour of 2.6 inches on June 12, 1962. The average rain in the surrounding towns on that same stormy day was only 0.95 inches. What makes this even stranger is that the members of the Princeton Class of ‘62 reported that the massive rain that day held off until after the ceremony had ended! As Nelson then pointed out, this study prompts us to reconsider the old witticism, “Everyone talks about the weather, but nobody does anything about it” (Radin 1997, 172–173).

Now I want to talk about Jahn and Dunne and random number generators to the nonscientists reading this book. They ran the Princeton University intention studies at the PEAR Laboratories (Princeton Engineering Anomaly Research) for 25 years from 1979 till 1992.

The Intention Experiments began at Princeton University and were led by Robert Jahn and Brenda Dunn. If you go to their web site and watch the fifteen-minute video, you will hear Brenda Dunn say “This typifies the dramatic results they have gotten, results that have yet to be integrated into the minds of the current generation of scientists.”

I am going to explain the results in layman’s terms and I am going to be purposefully vague, and I make no apologies for this, because this is not about my being precise, it is about explaining the overall concept with generalities so anyone can grasp what is going on here, whether they have a scientific background or not.
These experiments involved programming a computer to randomly produce an equal number of zeros and ones every hour. Every hour there would be 50 percent zeros and 50 percent ones. The computer was then connected to a screen that would show two different pictures, for example, a tree and a boat.

This is what the researchers’ subjects, average people recruited off the street, would see when they interfaced with the computer. People would sit in front of the screen, and Jahn and Dunne would ask them to make one picture appear more times than the other by their intention alone. In short, people were asked to overwrite the programming of the computer with their intent alone. People could close their eyes and think “tree, tree, tree,” or they could talk to the computer out loud. They were not allowed to touch the computer, so the only way they could affect the computer was by their thought—their thoughts.

Here are the results. Virtually everybody could make one picture appear larger than the other by a margin of 52 percent to 48 percent. If a bonded couple, a man and a woman, sat in front of the computer and did the experiment jointly, the researchers found the computer would produce 54 percent of one picture and 46 percent of the other. If two women, however, sat down together to attempt the experiment, they would get the 54/46 result, but sometimes in the wrong direction. There were some interesting differences between genders and gender pairing, too. Remember how couples seemed to exhibit a stronger influence on the machine?

Couples would influence the machines six times as strongly as individuals. Also, if a couple was not in a relationship, they would still have a complimentary effect on each other. Men had a better chance of getting the machine to do what they wanted, but women had a stronger effect on the machine, though not always in the direction they intended.

This was not an isolated study. These experiments started in 1979 and ran until 1994. Other labs running the same type of experiments got similar results.

The numbers of the total data are interesting. It was a twelve-year period, nearly 2.5 million trials. Of all those trials, it turned out that 52 percent of the trials were in the direction that was intended. By intention alone, participants in the experiment were able to bend the computers, at least a bit, to their will. They had some influence on it a significant
percentage of times. These results, by the way, have been submitted to the U.S. National Research Council, and the council has concluded that the trials Jahn and Dunne conducted could not be explained by chance.

So if it’s not chance, what is it? How, on a scientific level, can you explain the effect of influencing a computer simply by wishing?

On the Science of Reincarnation website under Government Studies and Video Library, you’re going to find a link to the Princeton University PEAR lab; there you can watch Robert Jahn and Brenda Dunne explain what it is they do.

The best explanation offered on how Jahn and Dunne arrived at the results they got is as follows: Subatomic entities can behave either as particles or as waves. A particle is a precise thing with a set location in space. A wave is diffuse and unbounded, and has a region of influence, which can flow through and interfere with other waves. Jahn and Dunne feel that consciousness has a similar duality. Each individual has its own particulate separateness. That is, you are a defined thing in space, but you are also capable of wavelike behavior, which could flow through any barriers or distance to exchange information, and interact with the world. At certain moments, this wavelike consciousness can get in resonance with, that is, have the same frequency as, other subatomic matter.

What Jahn and Dunne seem to be saying is that you and the computer develop coherence. That is, the wavelike component of your being gets in resonance, and one can influence the other.

The question we should ask is: can we believe the results they got? Answer: absolutely! They used over a quarter of a million subjects over a twenty-five-year period, and after publishing their results, other labs tried to duplicate their experiments and got the same results. So, we are seeing an effect that we don’t quite understand.

Dean Radin said in his book, *The Conscious Universe*, that

Just as a photon is both a particle and a wave, perhaps consciousness too has complementary states. In ordinary states, the mind is more particlelike and is firmly localized in space and time. This is supported by the ordinary subjective experience of being an isolated,
independent creature. But in unusual, nonordinary states of awareness, our minds may be more wavelike and no longer localized in space or time. This is supported by subjective experiences of timelessness, mystical unity, and psi.

As with particle-wave duality, it is not the case that only one or the other description is true, but both are true at the same time. The fact that we have trouble thinking in terms of “both” rather than “either-or” says more about the limitations of language than it does about the nature of reality. If our minds have complementary characteristics, then perhaps we can be more particlelike or more wavelike depending on what we wish to be, or what it is suitable to be at the time, or what we are motivated to become.”

If we can influence a machine, can we influence another person or a disease? In fact, we try it all the time. You have all heard about the power of prayer; now it’s being taken into the lab and dissected. What we have found is that its power lies in the coherent nature of wave consciousness. We have found we can measure it but we still don’t yet understand how that cohesive wave form totally works, though we have proven that it does.

Several years ago I was writing a white paper for the bipartisan policy commission on the use of the science of reincarnation as a counter radicalization initiative. With the help of Dean Radin and Stephan Schwartz we synopsized that proof as follows.

“The emerging science of reincarnation is being done at the following institutions and is defined by the various subsets of this science enumerated below.

Psychic ability (psi) does not immediately imply the existence of reincarnation. It does however lay an empirical foundation for the idea that the mind reaches beyond the body. That in turn implies that the traditional notion of reincarnation may have some basis. Independently repeatable evidence for psi effects has been established at beyond the 6 sigma level for at least six classes of experiments.
Examples of psi include remote viewing, a modern term for clairvoyance when used for a particular purpose. Remote viewing was developed into a training program at SRI International in the 1970’s through 1990s, and turned into an operational unit within the US Army. It was funded with 25 million dollars by the CIA, DIA, Army and other government agencies with measurable and acknowledged successes. Remote viewing indicates that the mind can reach beyond the conventional senses and ordinary boundaries of space and time.

The intention experiments done at Princeton University over a 25 year period statistically indicated that humans are able to influence events at a distance by simply applying their intent. This line of research challenges the orthodox assumption that mind and matter are completely separate. It appears that some aspects of the mind are intimately related to the behavior of matter.

The study of children who seem to recall previous lives is an ongoing 50 year study being conducted at the University of Virginia. The project is referred to as “the science of reincarnation” in a UVA alumni magazine article. This class of studies is the most direct evidence of the traditional notion of reincarnation.

Research on Near Death Experience began 40 years ago by psychiatrist Raymond Moody and is now being examined in detail by numerous investigators. One of the largest international consortiums on NDE research is being led by physician Sam Parnia. This work suggests that the mind and the brain may not be identical.”

(White Paper #1 Bob Good)

Now the key sentence in the above is that psi effects have been established at beyond the six sigma level for at least six classes of experiments. **Six Sigma** is a calculation of odds against chance that is one in a billion. That is the odds against chance of these things not being true. It is the measurement of our reality, and what these scientists are saying is psi is our reality. It is real, and it's a human ability that we all possess.

“Today there are six stabilized parapsychological protocols used in laboratories around the world exploring these two categories of phenomena. Under rigorous double or triple blind, randomized and tightly controlled conditions, each of these six has independently produced six sigma results. Six sigma is one in a billion --
1,009,976,678 -- or the 99.9999990699 percentile. These six protocols each has its own literature. The results of all these studies are based on the sessions being double or triple blind and properly randomized, and that a pre-agreed analysis, including statistical evaluation for variance from chance, be part of the process. That is, we don't need to get bogged down in antiquated arguments about sleight-of-hand, secret cuing, and the like, although this remains a staple of nonlocal consciousness research criticism. This kind of criticism stopped being apposite several decades ago. As far back as the mid-90s, after studying the data from just one of these four protocols, remote viewing, stalwart denier University of Oregon psychology professor Ray Hyman had to grudgingly admit, “… the experiments [being assessed] were free of the methodological weaknesses that plagued the early … research … the … experiments appear to be free of the more obvious and better known flaws that can invalidate the results of parapsychological investigations. We agree that the effect sizes reported … are too large and consistent to be dismissed as statistical flukes.” In each case there is also a large enough body of research from enough different institutions, done by enough researchers that we have some idea of the process and how successful it can be. The studies, as I have noted, break down into two categories: Nonlocal Perception, and Nonlocal Perturbation.” (Stephan Schwartz-6 protocols)

Now we have that calculation already in six stabilized parapsychological protocols

The Six Protocols

Quantum biology, another new sub-discipline, posits the following: life is a molecular process; molecular processes operate under quantum rules. Thus, life must be a quantum process. Experimental evidence is beginning to accumulate that this quantum view of life process is correct.

Max Planck, the father of quantum mechanics, framed his opinion very clearly in an interview in 1931. : “I regard consciousness as fundamental. I regard matter as derivative from consciousness. We cannot get behind consciousness.
Everything that we talk about, everything that we regard as existing, postulates consciousness.”

Two corollaries follow from Planck’s assertion: first is the existence of nonlocal consciousness. An aspect of consciousness independent of space time and not resident in an organism’s physiology. The second is that all consciousnesses are interdependent and interconnected.

Nonlocal Consciousness Research

The studies of nonlocal consciousness research fall basically into two categories: nonlocal perception, the acquisition of information that could not be known through psychological sense perception, and nonlocal perturbation, consciousness directly affecting matter, including therapeutic intention/healing.

Today there are six stabilized para psychological protocols used in laboratories around the world exploring these two categories of phenomena. Under rigorous double- or triple-blind, randomized and tightly controlled conditions, each of these six independently produce six sigma results: six sigma is one in a billion. Each of the six protocols has their own literature.

Nonlocal Perception Remote Viewing

This is a double- or triple-blind protocol in which a participant is given a task that can be accomplished only through nonlocal perception, the acquisition of information that could not be known with the normal physiological senses because of shielding by time, or space, or both.

Ganzfeld

A protocol similar in intent to remote viewing in which an individual in a state of sensory deprivation provides verifiable information about film clips being shown at another location.

Presentiment

A measurable psychophysical response that occurs before actual stimulation, such as the dilation of a participant’s pupils while staring at a monitor.
screen before the pictures appear. Or, it is a change in brain function before a noise is heard.

Retro Cognition, Precognition

Man protocols also involve time dislocation to the past or future to be successful. It is routine today to do remote viewing experiments in which the session data are collected and judged against the randomly-chosen target before the target in that set is randomly selected.

Nonlocal Perturbation

Random event number generator. The REG protocol is actually two major protocols. The first constitutes studies in labs where an individual intends to affect the performance of a physical system, such as a random number generator.

The Global Consciousness Project

The second is the Global Consciousness Project. This is a constantly running coordinated network of computer-linked random number generators. If there were indeed non-random patterns, you would have a measure of social awareness. Consciousness linked non-locally expressing itself as social awareness.

So you are left with a choice of two models.

The first is the physicalist model holding all consciousness as being contained within an organism’s neural anatomy.

The second model is that of nonlocal consciousness.

Now all of these examples underpin the consciousness transfer that religions need to move a soul to heaven. Each religion has their own story but these examples are scientific proof of the path of transfer.

Reduce the aggregate religion stories to the common story and this is proof of that pathway.

Our own lives are half of the proof of this model. Your life and lives are fractal iterations of your consciousness. You have a particle state, you, here and
now, and a wave state as exampled by the scientific proof above. Each state has its own conditions.

When doing aggregate odds against chance calculations absolute measurements as a billion to one in each of the categories act as a benchmark for our reality.

This additional component weighs heavily in favor of our calculations for our observations, the common narrative being the most plausible rather than the individual religions narrative.

The particulate state “you” conversation proves 50% of this model and 70% of the world believe in their version of this model.

The next scientific iteration of our belief systems simply harmonizes with the science as when Galileo was put under house arrest. Belief had to incorporate our perceived reality.

Russell Targ’s book The Reality of ESP, a physicist’s proof of psychic abilities lays out the case for this especially well.

"In 1921, the great logician, Ludwig Wittgenstein, concluded his crystalline tractatus logico-philosophicus with the admonition that, quote, the solution to the riddle of life in space and time lies outside space and time." And in 1964, theoretical physicist, John Stewart Bell proved mathematically that the results predicted by quantum mechanics could not be explained by any theory that preserves our usual ideas of locality. I discuss this non-local connectivity further in the introduction. Finally, statistics professor, Jessica Utts, at the University of California Davis (UC Davis), began her detailed 1995 CIA-commissioned assessment of our SRI remote viewing research by writing, "using the standards applied to any other area of science, it is concluded that psychic functioning has been well established. The statistical results of the studies examined are far beyond what is expected by chance. Effects of similar magnitude have been replicated in laboratories across the world." (The reality of ESP – Russell Targ – XXI – XXII.)

The riddle of life in space and time lies outside of space and time.
How to View This Model Visually

This brings us to a new form of math called topology. There are two forms of topology we have to look at, spatial topology and information topology.

Topology is the mathematical study of the properties that are preserved through deformations, twistings, and stretchings of objects. Tearing, however, is not allowed. A circle is topologically equivalent to an ellipse (into which it can be deformed by stretching) and a sphere is equivalent to an ellipsoid.

Network topology is the arrangement of the various elements (links, nodes, etc.) of a communication network. Network topology is the topological structure of a network and may be depicted physically or logically.

So a mathematical topologist would see a coffee cup and a donut as the same thing. You can bend a coffee cup into a donut and stretch a donut to make a coffee cup. If I had a ball and wanted to push it through the top of a desk and you were in two dimensions on my desk first you would see a dot which would get bigger and bigger until the circumference of the ball was going through the desk and then the image you would see would get smaller and smaller until it became a dot again.

For our mathematical study of the science of reincarnation we have to study movement of information, because that is what we are. But what are we moving through? So we have to introduce the tesseract.

In geometry, the tesseract is the four-dimensional analogue of the cube; the tesseract is to the cube as the cube is to the square. Just as the surface of the cube consists of six square faces, the hypersurface of the tesseract consists of eight cubical cells. The tesseract is one of the six convex regular 4-polytopes. Tesseract - Wikipedia https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tesseract

Now if you go to the above web site you can see a pictures of what a tesseract looks like. The picture of course is of its shadow. You must imagine that you are outside the internal cube which is our reality, but our reality is a shadow of what occurs in the larger 5 dimensional space.
Take a tesseract and hold it in your hands. Turn it over. Some of it is in your reality of three spatial dimensions and one temporal dimension, which is the cube in the center of it. You must look at this from the fifth dimension. A tesseract is a five-dimensional object projected on a four-dimensional plane. One of those planes is time. So let’s remove time from this model and only speak of the special dimensions.

Look at your shadow. Your shadow is a three-dimensional object (you) projected on a two-dimensional plane. So in order to see the tesseract you're holding in your hand, if you can, imagine that you can step into the fourth dimension but when you come back to your reality of three dimensions width, height and depth plus the temporal dimension of time and you look at the tesseract you cannot see it. You cannot see the fourth special dimension from inside the cube of the tesseract but you can see the cube form the fourth dimension. Now you have the concept of where you have to stand in order to see this model.

You are only seeing its shadow at one point. As time is a totality, the tesseract stretches to infinity. Now go out of your four dimensions to the fifth one, and you are temporarily dead, and yet in wave form very much alive. Because that is what that dimension is. Why assume awareness only exists in three dimensions?

Now do you come back to temporal form as a man or a woman? These become choices, black or white? What you need to learn is to see. Growth. That seems to be the purpose of our existence here.

Let us advance this hypothesis. We are in three spatial dimensions and one temporal dimension that we see, making a total of four dimensions. Intelligence and awareness is common to everything around us. But we know there are more dimensions because of quantum theory and because of discoveries in cosmology. So let us speak only of the fifth dimension rather than the 11 dimensions quantum theory predicts.

What would the fifth dimension be like? Since it is a quantum dimension, it would be a wave state. If it were common to ours, it would have a wave
intelligence. In fact, if we take the common narrative combining all three anecdotal disciplines, we would arrive at a description that is common to all of them. Those would be our observations. Do these observations make mathematical sense? In order to have a complete meta-analysis of the mathematics of the science of reincarnation they would have to make mathematical sense, and in fact they do. Our observations of the anomalies tie seamlessly with the theory of relativity and space-time. Herman Minkowski was Einstein’s teacher and developed Minkowski space-time. The following is from Wikipedia.

Hermann Minkowski (1864–1909) found that the theory of special relativity, introduced by his former student Albert Einstein, could be best understood as a four-dimensional space, since known as the Minkowski spacetime.

In mathematical physics, Minkowski space (or Minkowski spacetime) is a combining of three-dimensional Euclidean space and time into a four-dimensional manifold where the spacetime interval between any two events is independent of the inertial frame of reference in which they are recorded. Although initially developed by mathematician Hermann Minkowski for Maxwell's equations of electromagnetism, the mathematical structure of Minkowski spacetime was shown to be an immediate consequence of the postulates of special relativity.[1]

Minkowski space is closely associated with Einstein's theory of special relativity, and is the most common mathematical structure on which special relativity is formulated. While the individual components in Euclidean space and time may differ due to length contraction and time dilation, in Minkowski spacetime, all frames of reference will agree on the total distance in spacetime between events. Because it treats time differently than it treats the three spatial dimensions, Minkowski space differs from four-dimensional Euclidean space.

When Einstein wanted to describe relativity, ordinary geometry couldn’t do it; it wasn’t flexible enough. Instead, he used complex Minkowski space, which is also consistent with theories of electromagnetism and quantum mechanics. Because
“it is very important that any model constructed to describe psi must not at the same time generate weird or incorrect physics,” Targ believes that complex Minkowski space also gives us our best physical model to describe psi phenomenon.

How does this relate to nonlocality? Nonlocality allows for what studies have consistently demonstrated about clairvoyant reception. After examining a hundred years of data on psi research, Targ found there there’s no loss of accuracy in any kind of ESP due to distance. Perhaps this phenomenal reliability and fidelity can be explained by the fact that “desired information is always present and available” when clairvoyants reach back in time. This means that clairvoyants are simply better at accessing what is essentially readily available information. The information is always there; you just have to be open it. I agree with Targ when he says that “psi is often seen as paradoxical because we presently misconstrue the nature of space-time in which we reside. The ‘naïve realist’ picture of our reality says that we are separate creatures sitting on our own well-circumscribed points in space-time. But for the past thirty years, modern physics has been asserting this model is not correct.”

It represents a wave state supporting a particulate state. And you are present in different ways in both places. Now before we go into explaining the mechanics using a tesseract, which is a three-dimensional shadow of a four-dimensional object, both operating in a temporal dimension so you have four dimensions casting a shadow in three. Look at the shadow – your own shadow. There's three dimensions casting in two. Add one temporal dimension, so the numbers stay consistent and you understand we are always operating in time. Then a tesseract is a five-dimensional object casting a shadow in four dimensions. Now I want to imagine you’re a five-dimensional creature. You're a wave form intelligence, and you can become a particulate intelligent for a period of time. Wave form intelligence stretches to infinity. Wave information is available, and like any creature, you have the opportunity to grow.

But according to the exit interviews, you incarnate for different reasons at different times. Young souls incarnate to learn quicker or a specific lesson. Older souls may incarnate as a baby that only lives for nine months and then dies as a
way of being a service to the younger soul so they can experience things like anguish and love.

I think we can all agree that sex is fairly simple. There is sex, men and women, which sex you are, and gender--male and female. Simple, right?

Not so fast. You can be a sexual male, but your gender orientation is female, or you can be a female, and your gender orientation be male.

I am not sharing new information with you. This condition exists culturally across every race, religion, color, and creed, and we can go back historically and see this.

The fact is that we see a gender variance around us that goes back centuries and that lines up with the narrative of the anecdotal discipline, souls changing gender. That meshes well with the mixing of the 46 chromosomes in each generation so everyone has male and female code in their DNA. Additionally this gender variance goes down through the animal kingdom where when it is expressed, either a male lion humping another male lion or a monkey doing the same thing, we get Ugandan politicians claiming gays came into their country and taught the lions to do that.

It was said of Julius Caesar he was every woman's man, and every man's woman. Rome was known for its religious promiscuity, and a visit to Pompeii is all you need to verify that. Hannibal and Alexander the Great were gay. Hannibal cried when his young lover accidentally drowned in a river.

Newton. Souls reincarnate, predominantly in one sex when they are younger, but 50-50 in each sex as the soul gets older. University of Virginia studies transgender reincarnations. Weiss [phonetic] studies, the University of Miami. Catherine, the person he regressed, has multiple lives--some as a man and some as a woman.

Now here is the point. What we're seeing in our reality regarding sex and gender is the same thing that occurs in the science of reincarnation in the studies we see of past lives, or that period of time between lives.
The transitive nature of gender: If religious models predict an afterlife and as we stated in the first paragraph 57% of people believe in reincarnation and 70% believe in a human soul, then scientifically gender has to be transitive. With each of us carrying genes which came from both male and female and with dominant and recessive states for each gene, then LGBT expression is built into our very nature and should be accepted as the norm.

At the beginning of this book I said that I would present the science of reincarnation to you, my reader, and let you decide for yourself if I have made my case. In study after study, I have shown/proven that the human mind has far more ability and power than previously thought. At this point, you must now choose between two scientific models.

The first model is the classic model of the last few centuries. In this model, the mind is the result of our chemical bodies—in scientific terminology, the mind is a result of bio-electrical postulates. If you are person of faith, your belief in a soul will be based on your particular religion. There can be faith in a soul, but there is no empirical evidence of a soul.

The second model is the one I am advocating—that your soul or consciousness came to inhabit your body by means of the scientific processes I have described. After the passing from your body, your soul/consciousness will still be you, with your memories and thoughts from this life intact, and will continue in a discrete form.

So I ask you again: which model is more consistent with the science?

So the odds against chance calculation that governments and scientists use six sigma results, or the odds against chance of this not being true are one in a billion, and that proof crosses six different protocols.

**But is this grounded in physics?**

“At our most elemental, we are not a chemical reaction, but an energetic charge.” This means that our cells are made up of atoms which are made up of subatomic particles which are made up of energy. In spite of the fact we see ourselves as bodies, what we really are composed of is pure energy. Human beings and all
living things are a coalescence of energy in the field of energy connected to every other thing in the world.” (xxiii Lynn McTaggart The Field)

“Matter at its most fundamental level could not be divided into independently existing units or even fully described. Subatomic particles weren’t solid little objects like billiard balls, but vibrating and indeterminate packets of energy that could not be precisely quantified or understood in themselves.”(p10)

“Quantum physicists had discovered a strange property in the subatomic world called “non-locality”. This refers to the ability of a quantum entity such as an individual electron to influence another quantum particle instantaneously over distance despite there being no exchange of force or energy.” (p11)"

“In quantum physics, however, it was discovered, the state of all possibilities of any quantum particle collapsed into a set entity as soon as it was observed or measurement taken. To explain these strange events, quantum physicists had postulated that a participatory relationship existed between observer and observed- these particles could only be considered as “probably” existing in space and time until they were “perturbed” and the act of observing and measuring them forced them into a set state, -an act akin to solidifying jello.”(p11)

What they had discovered is totally at odds with how we view the world. Now two points here before we continue.

“The most important quality common to all these researchers was a simple willingness to suspend disbelief and remain open to true discovery, even if it meant challenging the existing order of things, alienating colleagues or opening themselves up to censure and professional ruin. To be a revolutionary in science today is to flirt with professional suicide.”(13)

Both the student and the casual reader must understand the socially embedded resistance to change in the academic and scientific communities. To extrapolate the discoveries in physics with the science of reincarnation is to tell you that the entire life you have lived is only a portion of the life you have already lived and are going to live. That what you see as fate or karma are simply mathematical formulas of cause-and-effect.
If I were to describe psi research to a layman, I would say it is research about out-of-body consciousness. But does out-of-body consciousness exist? Certainly, you can not have an afterlife if you are not conscious outside your body. So, can we absolutely prove psi exists? The answer seems to be yes.

Psi exists, and we can use it, but there’s going to be a long list of details about it that we need to iron out to use it effectively. But as Radin points out, we can easily split the uses of psi into five categories: medicine, military, detective work, technology, and business (Radin 1997, 191).

We know clairvoyants are used in police work; just look at all the TV shows. We know they are used by the military; the Japanese are using them in business; and psychic warfare is talked about in one of the oldest Chinese military books. Are you aware of Sun Tzu’s *The Art of War*? Even he talks about uses of psi, or as it was known back then, *chi* (pronounced *key*). These soldiers were able to conduct psychic warfare on their enemies on a psychological level (Radin 1997, 193).

The National Security Council (NSC) has used remote viewing conducted by a psychic named Joe McMoneagle. The NSC, an agency of your government if you are an American, actually trusted him as a reliable psychic. In fact, they were right to do that. But they were not the only agency.

[In September 1979,] the National Security Council asked one of the most consistently accurate army remote viewers, a chief warrant officer named Joe McMoneagle, to “see” inside a large building somewhere in northern Russia. . . .

Because McMoneagle had gained a reputation for accuracy in previous tasks, they asked him to view the future to find out when this supposed submarine would be launched. McMoneagle scanned the future, month by month, “watching” the future construction via remote viewing, and sensed that about four months later the Russians would blast a channel from the building to the water and launch the sub.
Sure enough, about four months later, in January 1980, spy-satellite photos showed that the largest submarine ever observed was traveling through an artificial channel from the building to the body of water. The pictures showed that it had twenty missile tubes and a large, flat deck. It was eventually named a *Typhoon* class submarine.

Scores of generals, admirals, and political leaders who had been briefed on psi results like this came away with the knowledge that remote viewing was real. . . . the U.S. Army had supported a secret team of remote viewers. . . . those viewers had participated in hundreds of remote-viewing missions, and that the DIA, CIA, Customs Service, Drug Enforcement Administration, FBI and Secret Service had all relied on the remote-viewing team for more than a decade, sometimes with startling results (Radin 1997, 194–95).

The military is still doggedly pursuing uses of psi—Radin points out modern fighter jets and how complicated they are, not only inside the cockpit, but the actual tactics necessary for effective dogfighting. In fact, it is estimated “that about five percent of fighter pilots have accounted for about forty percent of the successful engagements with hostile aircraft . . . in every aerial combat since World War I.

Radin comments on how “psychic” some of these ace pilots must already be, saying that, “there is some sixth sense that a man acquires when he has peered often enough out of a [jet fighter cockpit] into a hostile sky—hunches that come to him, sudden and compelling, enabling him to read signs that others don't even see. Such a man can extract more from a faint tangle of condensation trails, or a distant flitting dot, than he has any reason or right to do.” (Radin 1997, 194–195)

“There are two ways to be fooled. One is to believe what isn't true; the other is to refuse to believe what is true.” *Søren Kierkegaard* (1813–1855). (Radin 1997, 205)
In this part of psi, what you believe comes true. In a sense we are creating our own reality.

And just as the quote from Kierkegaard shows, any new science—such as the science of reincarnation—has a serious hurdle to overcome when it is first introduced. Against any new anomaly discovered in scientific research, people will put up a barrier of skepticism because it is all new and trying to change their world, and so “to function without the annoying pain of cognitive dissonance, groups will use almost any means to achieve consensus. . . . This means that in the initial stages of a new discovery, when a scientific anomaly is first claimed, it literally cannot be seen by everyone. We have to change our expectations in order to see it (Radin 1997, 232–233).

This scientific hurdle—where a theory has to be believed before it is accepted, and then believed again—is part of a self-fulfilling prophecy. That is, if you believe it, it will happen. Radin tells us of an interesting experiment in self-fulfilling prophecy:

An experiment demonstrating the self-fulfilling prophecy was described by Harvard psychologist Robert Rosenthal in a classic book entitled *Pygmalion in the Classroom*. Teachers were led to believe that some students were high achievers and others were not. In reality, the students had been assigned at random to the two categories. The teachers’ expectations about high achievers led them to treat the “high achievers” differently than the other students, and subsequent achievement tests confirmed that the self-fulfilling prophecy indeed led to higher scores for the randomly selected “high achievers” (Radin 1997, 238).

Such studies make it absolutely clear that when experimenters know how participants should behave, it is impossible not to send out unconscious signals.

Of course, our most massive scientific hurdle here is the fact that any sort of parapsychological theory just doesn’t fit the preexisting scientific metaparadigm. Radin, too, makes a call to arms for changing this metaparadigm, saying that when the “evidence for an anomaly becomes overwhelming, and the
anomaly cannot be easily accommodated by the existing scientific worldview, this is a very important sign that either our assumptions about reality are wrong or our assumptions about how we come to understand things are wrong. Or perhaps both are wrong. Assumptions at these fundamental levels act as extremely powerful drivers of expectation and belief, and as we’ve seen, we only see what we expect to see” (Radin 1997, 250). That is to say, we already have a lot of evidence pointing to some very intriguing phenomena that can’t be explained with the current metaparadigm—even though psi is staring us right in the face. You have to believe it in order to see it.

Radin adds to the argument against our current metaparadigm by pointing out the case in which nonlocality was proven true, which I’m sure you all know by now flies in the face of common sense. I’m going to point out two things. First, this common sense of yours is a fault of our current outdated metaparadigm; nonlocality does exist and there’s no use denying it. Second, it took only a “handful of experiments” (Radin 1997, 277) to verify nonlocality.

Radin shares my dismay—it only took a small amount of compelling data to prove nonlocality and get it put into textbooks, but these same minds are keeping psi far away from our scientific journals. Why?

It’s because our current metaparadigm, (The grand material metaparadigm) quantum theory included, allows for anomalies such as nonlocality, but “hardly anything predicts psi” (Radin 1997, 277), even though we have such massive evidence for it.

Let’s not lose all hope, however. Radin points out that we may have a way of bringing psi into our scientific mainstream—we just have to put it through the same paces nonlocality went through: namely, quantum theory. Radin says that “some scientific developments in recent years suggest a way of thinking about psi that is also compatible with mainstream scientific models. Four such developments are related to quantum theory. All four run counter to common sense, all four were thought to be theoretically possible but practically untestable, and all four have now been empirically proved. Of principal
importance here is that all four must also be true to be compatible with what we know about psi” (Radin 1997, 282).

He then points out how we can retroactively interpret other experiments when we have psi and nonlocality at our disposal—suddenly, little quirks about certain experiments, certain unexplainable defects, can become clear. It’s like finally figuring out what that extra key on your key ring unlocks—it’s that sort of epiphany. Radin says:

Interpretation of existing theories may change when viewed in the light of psi and nonlocality. For example, in the late 1980s, neuroscientist Benjamin Libet conducted an experiment in which he asked his subjects to flex a finger at the instant of their decision. He monitored their brain waves to see if the instant that the decision was made would be reflected by a change in brain waves. On average, the volunteers took about a fifth of a second to flex their finger after they mentally decided to do so, an expected time lag for the brain to activate the neuromuscular system. But according to their brain waves, their brains also displayed neural activity about a third of a second before they were even aware that they had decided to move their finger!

Libet interpreted this result as evidence that our sense of free will in deciding what we do may be unconsciously determined before we are consciously aware of the decision. If mental intention, which is connected to our most intimate sense of personal expression, actually does begin in a part of the brain that is outside our conscious reach, then perhaps all our behavior is completely determined by processes outside our control. (Radin 1997, 283)

All of our psi questions can be answered in the world of quantum mechanics; this is our method to get psi into mainstream science. In the meantime, we seem to have all the evidence we need to get psi widely accepted. Dean Radin says, “As some of the stranger aspects of quantum mechanics are clarified and tested,
we’re finding that our understanding of the physical world is becoming more compatible with psi” (Radin 1997, 286).

And, as many of our other scientists say, Radin also wants you, the reader, as part of the general public, to demand psi-related research and more of it. If there is public interest, then there will be funding and the attention of our top scientists. Otherwise, we may very well see progress on these life-changing experiments “measured in half-centuries or centuries” (Radin 1997, 290). It won’t be anything more than extra credit unless we demand it as part of the curriculum.

“Most psychologists could reasonably be described as uninformed skeptics—a minority could reasonable be described as prejudiced bigots—where the paranormal is concerned” (French, 2001. P.7)

The majority of psychologists haven’t done the numbers, they read independent studies or but there are few good concise overviews of the science. One notable one is The Experimental Evidence for Parapsychological Phenomena: A Review (Etzel Cardena, Lund University)

If you’re on the fence about supporting psi research, then stop and think about it. While you’re thinking about it, notice that you’re not doing anything. You’re just shuffling thoughts through your head while either sitting fairly still or pacing. Radin challenges us to ask ourselves, are we really only physical beings? Really? He quotes Carl Jung, who said “it is almost an absurd prejudice to suppose that existence can only be physical. As a matter of fact, the only form of existence of which we have immediate knowledge is psychic [i.e., in the mind]. We might as well say, on the contrary, that physical existence is a mere inference, since we know of matter only in so far as we perceive psychic images mediated by the senses” (Radin 1997, 290).

There must be a massive push to get funding for this research, though—do you really think that current science wants to overhaul itself to include psi as part of its curriculum? Do you really think that our religious leaders, especially the more fundamentalist ones, want to admit that humans may have psychic powers? The problem with psi research is that it’s too radical.
It is true, too—we have mountains of evidence that say so—but even though we know it’s true, we have to fight as Galileo fought for the theory of heliocentricity. This fight is not going to be easy, but just think of the world once we are able to redefine it.

Now what is the odds against chance in your opinion that this structure does not underpin the past life regression or near death experiences?

Where could memory that is transgenerational be housed?

Are there redundant patterns in nature that we see? Do animals other than humans show transgenerational memories? Yes Monarch Butterflies.
The Characteristics of DNA and Gender

The odds are good but the goods are odd

The question in this chapter is how do we connect the particulate version of ourselves with the wave form version of ourselves? Who we are is a result of what is written on our DNA. But what exactly is our DNA?

DNA is like a river, you hop in and you hop out of it as you go from life to life. Let's look at one snippet of DNA over 2,000 years. If you are a human being, you have 46 chromosomes. 23 have come from your mother, and 23 have come from your father. DNA carries the memory of who our ancestors were, and what color your hair and your eyes will be, and what sex will be dominant. DNA carries memory not for just 2,000 years, but for 200,000 years plus. There is a percentage of the human population that still carries the DNA of Neanderthals.

Mitochondrial DNA is only inherited from our mothers. Mitochondrial DNA is passed from mothers to both sons and daughters, but sons cannot pass along their mother's mitochondrial DNA to their children. This is because it is transmitted through the female egg, but that DNA goes all the way back to the first mother of that genetic line. So that DNA carries historical information about your genetic past. That foundation is written in the math of code, and we are already doing it.

Most DNA is located in the cell nucleus (where it is called nuclear DNA), but a small amount of DNA can also be found in the mitochondria (where it is called mitochondrial DNA or mtDNA). The information in DNA is stored as a code made up of four chemical bases: adenine (A), guanine (G), cytosine (C), and thymine (T) and it is in the shape of a double helix.
But now we've found a second level of information below the level of DNA, and in fact, this leads us to believe that that information is stored not just in the chemicals, but in the very energy that comprised the chemicals in wave form.

Scientists have discovered a second code hiding within DNA. This second code contains information that changes how scientists read the instructions contained in DNA and interpret mutations to make sense of health and disease.

A research team led by Dr. John Stamatoyannopoulos, University of Washington associate professor of genome sciences and of medicine, made the discovery. The findings are reported in the Dec. 13 issue of *Science*.

The work is part of the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements Project, also known as ENCODE. The National Human Genome Research Institute funded the multi-year, international effort. ENCODE aims to discover where and how the directions for biological functions are stored in the human genome.

Since the genetic code was deciphered in the 1960s, scientists have assumed that it was used exclusively to write information about proteins. UW scientists were stunned to discover that genomes use the genetic code to write two separate languages. One describes how proteins are made, and the other instructs the cell on how genes are controlled. One language is written on top of the other, which is why the second language remained hidden for so long.

“For over 40 years we have assumed that DNA changes affecting the genetic code solely impact how proteins are made,” said Stamatoyannopoulos. “Now we know that this basic assumption about reading the human genome missed half of the picture. These new findings highlight that DNA is an incredibly powerful information storage device, which nature has fully exploited in unexpected ways.”

The genetic code uses a 64-letter alphabet called codons. The UW team discovered that some codons, which they called duons, can have two meanings, one related to protein sequence, and one related to gene control. These two meanings seem to have evolved in concert with each other. The gene control
instructions appear to help stabilize certain beneficial features of proteins and how they are made.

The discovery of duons has major implications for how scientists and physicians interpret a patient’s genome and will open new doors to the diagnosis and treatment of disease.

“The fact that the genetic code can simultaneously write two kinds of information means that many DNA changes that appear to alter protein sequences may actually cause disease by disrupting gene control programs or even both mechanisms simultaneously,” said Stamatoyannopoulos.

**Stephanie Seiler**  
UW Health Sciences & UW Medicine

Let’s say you have a house and are shown the attic. Informationally, DNA goes down to Quantum level just like the house has a foundation. Structure decisions are being made at the quantum level. That is wave form organization and that organized structure predicts a wave form consciousness. We see two levels; there are probably more down to energy itself because that is the foundation of everything that is ordered above it. There is structure in the very energy itself; a wave form or order which is indicative of a wave form intelligence. In short, what we see in the macro has to exist in the micro.

**DNA is stacked ordered information down to the quantum level.**

DNA is a river of historical information, and matter doesn’t matter. Now look down from above with Crick and Watson, who discovered DNA and the information that it provides.

In fact, the information that DNA carries is carried all the way down to its wave form energy, and another way we can look through DNA to Genghis Khan, notable for his DNA footprint because of all the women that he was with. That footprint can be seen today in the Mongolian population as derivative descendants of DNA. But we see at the genetic level memories of children of holocaust survivors whose trauma was recorded on their DNA, and then passed to
their descendants. We know this now, but there are levels and discoveries yet to come led by quantum biology and physicists.

AI is developing to help us learn, if we use this model correctly. Disaster is another option, though. How smart are we? How well do we use AI?

Quantum Theory applied to Biology

We simply do not understand how quantum theory applies to biology. We have proof that the mind can reach through time and space. It can influence machines. We hear about the power of positive thinking but no one has attempted to explain it. Yet experiment after experiment is building a force upon our current metaparadigm that soon we will be forced to incorporate an aspect of human nature that only existed in faith.

“If quantum theory were applied to biology on a larger scale we would be viewed more as a complex network of energy fields in some sort of dynamic interplay with our chemical cellular systems.” (P12 The Field)

This leads to the hypothesis that our bodies are illusions and really energy fields moving through a sea of energy. The question becomes how you test this theory. If you are made of the four compounds of the DNA code and I can digitize the four-nucleotide units of DNA--adenine, guanine, thymine, and cytosine--down the DNA code can I have a frequency of you and will it retain reference; that is will it still be you? In short, can I transduce the chemicals in your DNA as we did the cardio dilators in the earlier experiment with the Hartley Guinea Pig heart? Does this sound like how Star Trek’s transporter would work and how far away are we from this technology? Apparently. We have test results I already mentioned that digitized the chemicals acetylcholine, atropine and mepyramine, and other labs have replicated that experiment. The layman’s question is why couldn’t I digitize your DNA code? Well we are already doing it.

John Craig Venter, an American biologist and entrepreneur, is most famous for his leading role in sequencing the human genome, and for his role in creating the first cell with a synthetic genome, in 2010. Venter, one of the leading scientists of the 21st century, founded Celera Genomics, the Institute for Genomic
Research, and the J. Craig Venter Institute (JCVI), and is now working to create synthetic biological organisms.

With a computer program and chemicals, Venter created self-replicating life. He programmed a DNA code for a self-replicating organism.

Genomic science has greatly enhanced our understanding of the biological world. It is enabling researchers to read the genetic code of organisms from all branches of life by sequencing the four letters that make up DNA. Sequencing genomes has now become routine, giving rise to thousands of genomes in the public databases. In essence, scientists are digitizing biology by converting the series of A, C, T, and G that make up DNA into ones and zeros in a computer. But can the process be reversed? Can you start with zeros and ones in a computer and define the characteristics of a living cell? Scientists set out to answer this question. Using the binary code of the computer, they tried to design a chemical makeup of something that would live.

In the field of chemistry, once chemists determine the structure of a new compound, they then synthesize the chemical to determine if the synthetic structure functioned like the original compound. Now, Venter and his colleagues are doing the same with genomes. In 2003, they created a virus; in 2008, they synthesized a small bacterial genome; and in 2010, the synthetic genome was used to create the first cell controlled completely by a synthetic genome.

Twenty-five years ago, you could not conceive that a scientist would create life by using four chemical compounds and a computer, but that’s what happened.

Now here’s the point. Whether you agree or disagree with the finer points of whether Venter has actually created life, the people with the money—the real money—believe he did it, because he’s now worth hundreds of millions of dollars. And if we can create life from chemistry, we can create life from physics. That is how you create the land of the soul—intention. So, if we can create life using a computer and chemistry, as Venter did, then why would you be offended by my speculation that we can do the same thing using physics?
“CRISPR” (pronounced “crisper”) stands for **Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats**, which are the hallmark of a bacterial defense system that forms the basis for CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing technology.

Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats describes a fractal pattern of clusters. Each cluster is an iteration of its pattern and each is self-similar.

Our reality does not rest on the chemicals of our DNA but on the energy that makes up those chemicals. If the chemicals are ordered then the energy is ordered. If the energy is ordered then your energy is currently aware as you.

Some day we will design not from the chemical standpoint but from the energy itself.

CRISPER itself is a fractal pattern. Look at what the name means. It’s a description of iterations that are self-similar. You see this fractal geometric pattern in everything. The fractal geometry of nature. When fractal pattern show up in our observations we can reliably say that is our reality. We may not understand why for a while, like the meteor example at the beginning of this book, but ultimately we do.

You what this serves as a proof of the afterlife that the math predicts is that we are designing to it to be able to produce, methodically, that very system.

Here another fractal, the design of AI matching and exceeding human intelligence. We are designing it now. We currently accept DNA as chemical information. Here the math is information topology. But we are going deeper into the energy that makes up the system. Clearly we are digitizing chemicals to waves, but it’s still early in that process. Ultimately we will be able to work with that DNA information in wave form.

Now to those who are religious, this simply proves what you already believe. It doesn’t matter what your religious view, or if you have none. This science affects us all the same way.
The following is from Wikipedia, but it never uses the words fractal pattern--they are not math guys--but it should.

**CRISPR (ˈkrɪspar/)** is a family of **DNA** sequences in bacteria and **archaea**. The sequences contain snippets of DNA from viruses that have attacked the **prokaryote**. These snippets are used by the prokaryote to detect and destroy DNA from similar viruses during subsequent attacks. These sequences play a key role in a prokaryotic defense system, and form the basis of a technology known as **CRISPR/Cas9** that effectively and specifically changes genes within organisms.

CRISPR is an abbreviation of **Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats**. The name was minted at a time when the origin and use of the interspacing subsequences were not known. At that time the CRISPRs were described as segments of **prokaryotic DNA** containing short, repetitive base sequences. In a **palindromic** repeat, the sequence of **nucleotides** is the same in both directions. Each repetition is followed by short segments of **spacer DNA** from previous exposures to foreign DNA (e.g., a **virus** or **plasmid**). Small clusters of **cas** (CRISPR-associated system) genes are located next to CRISPR sequences.

CRISPR/Cas9

The CRISPR/Cas system is a **prokaryotic immune system** that confers resistance to foreign genetic elements such as those present within plasmids and **phages** that provides a form of **acquired immunity**. RNA harboring the spacer sequence helps Cas (CRISPR-associated) proteins recognize and cut exogenous DNA. Other RNA-guided Cas proteins cut foreign RNA. CRISPRs are found in approximately 50% of sequenced **bacterial genomes** and nearly 90% of sequenced **archaea**.

**Gender and DNA**

If the mathematics of the science of reincarnation is to be evaluated properly, then we would have to put it in an accounting ledger, and not just add
the columns, but cross foot them to make sure they match and are in balance. So the first thing we're going to do is look at gender and see if what we see in the real world around us lines up with what we are being told in the anecdotal disciplines. What we see is both narrators are consistent with each other, and they support each other.

In the real world, we see a spectrum of gender. The old view is that gender is binary, and gay, lesbian, and transgender people are deviant. The emerging paradigm is that gender is a spectrum, which is the correct view. Think of gender as having two poles -- a zero and a one, or a negative and a positive. But those poles send information to each other, constantly recycling. Whether or not you believe that model, what you have to accept is that there are gay people, lesbian people, and transgender people in the world, and it is common to all cultures, accepted more in some and unaccepted in others.

In the anecdotal disciplines, we see this narrative continues where souls change gender. This narrative is consistent with what we see around us. We see this extending throughout the animal and plant kingdoms. It is common to life. Why?

Our gender rests on our DNA. Our DNA defines who we are, whether we are a man or a woman. We get 23 chromosomes from each of our parents, marking the 46 chromosomes that defines what our body is, whether we're going to be susceptible to sickle cell anemia, or have blue eyes or blonde hair. Ancestry.com looks at your DNA to define who you are, where you came from, and what your heritage is. But that's a snapshot. DNA itself is a river.

Epigenetic memory is memory DNA holds of past lives. You see anxiety markers in children of holocaust victims, because that trauma was imprinted on the DNA, and then passed to the children.

You see it in monarch butterflies remembering a location. Monarchs are born in Mexico, and that generation flies to the middle of the United States where they die. The next generation flies to southern Canada where they die. The next generation flies back to Mexico to a place that neither they nor their parents have
ever visited. You can either attribute that to epigenetic memory, or proof of reincarnation.

But it is an anomaly in the scientific sense, as we don't know what really causes that. In isolation, that snippet of information doesn't fit in with our prevailing metaparadigm, but when connected to the other things that we see, it fits seamlessly into a new emerging model. This particular wave of DNA itself goes from displaying as male to female as 23 genes from one sex end up into the next sex repeatedly, as people are born into that genetic line, another fractal pattern.

We have proven epigenetic memory, that infers that the manliest man has epigenetic memory of female lives. He may not be able to access them but past life trauma memories are still acute and affect the children of holocaust survivors. These children were born after the war and in a different country. DNA is a memory recording device. It remembers centuries.

How far back can we see into DNA?

We can see our ancestors in the DNA that we carry. We can see the descendants of Genghis Khan in the DNA of the Mongolian people. We can even see the mitochondrial DNA, the first original mother of that line of DNA that all of us as mammals carry.

So how do you study the science of reincarnation inside the structure of your DNA? You go to the one-tenth of 1% of your anecdotal candidates and genetically examine them for common perturbations. Common form structure and coding that allow the hypersensitivity to psi. What you are doing then is preparing structural criteria for the development of RAIV, the Remote Artificial Intelligence Viewer. This research needs to be funded and one country cannot carry this load alone because that’s not how politics and governance works anywhere in the world and it this precludes academia from even trying to approach this problem. This to our collective detriment.
Militarily RAIV will be the greatest technological leap forward in intelligence gathering but try explaining this to a bunch of Generals as I have and you will see it is a futile endeavor.

Do you think this can’t be programmed? Think about sex as being represented by a zero and a one. Assign a number to either gender, which is which? Your choice. The sub atomic movement between poles is the quantum foundation for the transitional nature of gender we see in the observations and the world around us. But so much intertwines with this being the most accurate model of our reality that the seamless nature of the science, while not compete yet, is a proof in itself.

We can make the case that you're laying the code for our ability to reincarnate, but really it has another purpose. You're writing code to access a body of wave form knowledge that isn't available in particulate form, and by writing code that matches the one-tenth of 1%, you are actually laying the foundation for the Remote Artificial Intelligent Viewer.

This model does not contravene Plank's dictum of 1931, which is that consciousness is fundamental and matter is derivative. That means before matter was created, consciousness was aware, and that your consciousness is fundamental to you and your body itself is derivative.

So artificial intelligence itself, according to Plank, cannot remote view, but because Dr. Radin has shown us that psi is fundamental to every human being and is a physical attribute, then technology can enhance that attribute, and this is the way we work to discover it, develop it, and have clarity of our goals in doing it.

An analogous example would be the development of the radio telescope and because of it, getting proof the of big bang by virtue of the microwave radiation that exists in the universe as a residue of that explosion.

If the genesis of matter in three spatial dimensions and one temporal dimension is generated from a fifth dimension, then the psi is the highway to look into that space.
The fact that DNA is programmable supports reincarnation but as DNA moves so do your experiences.

This is perfectly consistent with what we see in micro physics, particles popping in and out of our time space. Life after death is an ordered environment that lays outside of our three dimensions of space and one dimension of time.

If we can design DNA can we incorporate the feature of remembering prior lives?

Another study in this science should be a DNA study on children who remember prior lives at early age before they forget and then compare DNA from these kids before and after. This should involve and be driven by the UVA medical department and Jim Tucker’s department and should be funded by someone.

How can we see this structure? Let’s look at Ancestry.com and the math surrounding what they are charting. Set theory abounds, groups of people, families, genetic trees and racial groupings are all structured, mapped and that historical map is becoming more complete. But within that map there are fractal patterns that can be seen in the branching patterns. This math is what proves our model of reincarnation. The anomalies tell us what the afterlife is really like to those who remember. So what we are hearing in the anomalies is our reality. This doesn’t stop you from being Jewish or Christian or whatever. It **proves your belief as valid but at the same time it proves everyone else’s belief as valid**. It simply fucks us all in the same way. Truth has a way of doing that.

Adding the narratives above is that animals have group souls. Look at a structure of 100 mice as a group soul model. Geometric patterns fall out of the scientific model that the science of reincarnation is advancing. Here in ancestry.com you have the river being mapped.

It is the coalescence of the convergence of information that drives this science and it cannot and will not be stopped. It just is.

**Entropy** and the Second **Law of Thermodynamics**. The degree of randomness or disorder in a **system** is called its **entropy**. ... In other words, any process, such as a chemical reaction or set of connected reactions, **will proceed** in a direction that increases the overall **entropy** of the universe. So if our bodies--the chemicals and
atoms in them--are fundamentally built on energy, then that energy needs to be ordered. That again proves this model of the science of reincarnation with a probability far exceeding any other model of an afterlife. It is built on the premise that intelligence can exist elsewhere as energy because at our physical core that is what we are. And that energy is ordered in us. In fact: You yourself, are information stored in space.

In that sense you are a fractal in this process, you are an iteration that is self-similar to every other iteration around you.

You are not only just a fractal, but used a process to step into this physical reality from a quantum reality. The process ontogeny recapitulates phylogeny is both quantum and fractal and is a process we can design to. We are moving through chemical biology to quantum biology and that is the pathway of our consciousness.

"ontogeny recapitulates phylogeny"—is a historical hypothesis that the development of the embryo of an animal, from fertilization to gestation or hatching (ontogeny), goes through stages resembling or representing successive stages in the evolution of the animal's remote ancestors (phylogeny)

If ontogeny recapitulates phylogeny then we have every gender variant of every animal within our genes, and we see that gender variation throughout the animal kingdom and ourselves. To be sexually prejudiced is to choose to be stupid and uninformed.

In this chapter we have used information topology, fractal geometry, spatial topology and set theory and branching patterns all meshing to prove our reality. We can cross foot all this math proving a model that is far superior to any other model advanced.

So what the observations are showing us is that you live multiple times in different sexes. Now I want to ask you what your heuristic is.

The most manly man, if he lives 10 times as a man, would he not want to try living once as a woman? It is a question the observations pose. So from the point of view of that position, choosing what life to live and how, do you think you would
try it once? The observational data tell us that souls generally incarnate primarily in one gender or another and as they mature then incarnate 50/50 in each gender.

The observational data also tells us that life is to experience and grow. We already know that you’ll learn more from experience that you do from books. Feeling something is the greatest teacher. We know that from our lives that we have lived. The observational data tells us that that’s the purpose of life.

War, what is it good for? Nothing.

Our own individual future health depends on the health of our community and our world. There is no escaping this. It doesn’t mean the captains of industry who are driven by ego, power and greed cannot benefit in this new scenario of a world where war has ceased. In fact they will benefit even more by the larger availability of human capital, the lack of interruptions to progress that conflict drove, and the developing technology not just for outer space but for inner space. Outer space will bring us to the stars but inner space will bring us across the multi-verse and into new dimensions of being.

To design such a program, you first need a goal. A clearly defined roadmap into places that only pioneers once went. You are not going to get there very well arguing with each other. Individual talents and perspectives have value of protecting the whole. From this regard, designing social programs, medical programs, and research grants, it is imperative that the science of reincarnation be funded copiously from government, private industry, and the military for our joint mutual protection and well-being. So how do we design healthful social programs?

In order to do that, government has to change both individually and globally. LBGT awareness acceptance and protection must be instituted in places like Uganda and Russia. This is the type of change the science of reincarnation is advancing for scientific reasons that benefit us all.
While we can argue politically in our individual countries, scientists must come together and devise a plan that is cohesive for the world and it must be supported by the very governments that would oppose it for reasons of power or money.

What this science also advances is a very real individual threat to those who are corrupt and create great evil. Like prayer, cohesive intent can be directed at individuals by large populations of affected victims. Those victims must understand and ascribe to a scientific plan for it to be successful. They have to assume that responsibility in Nicaragua and Venezuela and China and Tibet. The victims all have to speak with one voice. When they do, they will become not victims any longer but a resource to the captains of industry, but that was yesterday, to the captains of technology today. Our best minds must write that plan before a corrupt AI does it for us.

Scientists have to plan for the wellness of us all, and the leaders have to listen and support. When President Trump is advancing a carbon energy agenda and the coal museum in Kentucky powers itself through solar energy you know there is something wrong in America. We as Americans have to fix that.

What we need is a global standard of well-being and for that we have to look at the metrics in the observations. We also have to measure what societies are successful and healthy versus what societies are not. A global standard of governance needs to be established so there is a model of government for the failing countries to follow. Like crisper which can cut out bad genes, the DNA of how we care for the world has to change. Our lives depend upon it.

DNA is a quantum ribbon of memory. We know about the four chemicals, we know about the next level of information, but DNA is composed of energy in this technology advances we will begin to design DNA at the energy level. But what we see are observations is that we see the wave in the particle and the particle in the wave. Gender is like Ying and Yang each having the dot of the other in the middle of itself. Gender presentation and orientation acceptance globally is part
of the political platform of wellness that the International Association for the Science of Reincarnation advances.
Quantitative Analysis

Cross footing the math. What is true, what adds up?

So what do we have in this mathematical proof? In accounting, if the numbers add up in different directions, then the numbers “prove” and our calculations are accurate. So let’s see if using standard accounting methods you think the numbers prove. Do they cross foot?

The contention of this book is that we all have an afterlife and it is similar to the descriptions we have in the anecdotal disciplines. When judging if this is correct use your own heuristic. Who are you going to believe, what someone tells you or your own eyes?

The proof lies not in one method of calculation but in how all the calculations cross foot. You tell me if you think this is true. If you don’t, then tell me using a fact based model what is.

Odds against chance probability

Anything above a Six Sigma level is considered our reality. The odds against chance probability in the anomaly disciplines of the science of reincarnation is above the Six Sigma level. This would indicate that the descriptions of an afterlife are true as described. In short, mathematically their description of an afterlife is our reality.

If we try to cross foot the different anomaly disciplines we find redundant stories coming from each of these disciplines: children remember prior lives, near death experiences and past life regression. This only increases the odds against chance probability in this category. The numbers say this description of our afterlife is a certainty. Taken collectively, the data points exhibit a fractal pattern.

Reincarnation in groups is a model of set theory in math. So there is a math structure to our observations, set theory is fractal in nature.
The story told of the afterlife in these anecdotal disciplines is the narrative of our observations. We and our science are at risk if we don’t believe what we see.

**Fractal geometry**

Life has a fractal nature. While not all fractal patterns indicate life, all life has a fractal structure. In this case, the odds against chance probability data points having a fractal nature and a fractal pattern is indicative that the odds against chance probability is correct. In short the fractal pattern of our data supports our observations, the fractal pattern supporting this data is a mathematical indicia that the odds against chance calculations are correct.

**Redundant Structures Are Fractal Expressions**

An anthill can be compared to a city; dendritic ganglia can be compared to the structure arc of the universe. Every structure mentioned has intelligence, and every structure mentioned has an electromagnetic pathway and organization. This is a mathematical fractal proof of reincarnation.

We can extrapolate redundancies and operations, a horse to a car. We can also find redundancies in future developmental directions like AI.

**Fractals**

It seems in making the quantitative case, the odds against chance probability doesn’t make the case at first. But in the end, using physics, fractals, and wave form accurately describes our reality in increasing awareness. When that model is turned back to the odds against chance calculations we have done, we see the kind of results the fractal and physics model would predict.

**Branching Patterns**

We see and measure branching patterns in trees, in our lungs, in our veins, in fact in all life. To see these same branching patterns in the information at ancestry.com which is little more than a DNA map of life is indicative of a repeating fractal pattern. Our consciousness would have to have a fractal pattern as well.
Spatial topology
The topological landscape that is called the Minkowski complex is one that takes into account an additional dimension. The uncertainty principle in microphysics where particles pop in and out of our time space indicates they go somewhere and come back. The uncertainty principle and the spatial topology proposed to deal with what we see cross support each other. This is the space that is on the other side of death. Those who are dead have a “place”. This science of reincarnation says we have all been there and we are all going back.

Quantum Physics
We showed how we can digitize chemicals down to a wave frequency. At your most basic level you are made up of energy that is ordered, that retains coherence as your body changes. Information topology is fractal in design and this would infer that the information that is you retains coherence after your body is gone. This is consistent with spatial topology in how the smallest particles we observe “pop” in and out of our time space. The thing to note is how each discipline within the science of reincarnation cross foots with the other in such a way as to preclude other alternative model narratives that would have a higher probability. This model is the most probable.

DNA
DNA is an information structure down to quantum level. Because we don’t understand the connection does not negate the overwhelming evidence that this is our most likely probability.

Physics
The four forces in the universe--the strong force, the weak force, electromagnetism and gravity--all have now come down to one force, electromagnetism, called the unified field theory. A video on www.iasor.com home page explains this very well.
EKG measures the most basic force in the universe. All the other forces emanate from it. Without it in your body you are dead.

According to Plank, consciousness is fundamental and matter is derivative, from light comes awareness. Electromagnetism is aware.

Map it inside of you and you are in the grand material paradigm. Map it outside of you and you are in the grand unified metaparadigm.

A more complicated and precise version of mapping is in gradient electromagnetic fields. From one cell plants to the magnetic sphere of the planet, everything is aware. That is what the science of reincarnation is showing.

We have now connected awareness to electromagnetism. The proof here is fairly simple. If you have an EKG which measures electromagnetism in your body, you are alive. When you do not have that measured electromagnetic signal pulsing through your body, you are dead. This is the same for any animal life on the planet. It runs through all animal life.

Birds can sense Earth’s magnetic field, and this uncanny ability may help them fly home from unfamiliar places or navigate migrations that span tens of thousands of kilometers. It connects all life.

“For decades, researchers thought iron-rich cells in birds’ beaks acted as microscopic compasses (SN: 5/19/12, p. 8). But in recent years, scientists have found increasing evidence that certain proteins in birds’ eyes might be what allows them to see magnetic fields (SN: 10/28/09, p. 12).

Scientists have now pinpointed a possible protein behind this “sixth sense.” Two new studies — one examining zebra finches published March 28 in Journal of the Royal Society Interface, the other looking at European robins published January 22 in Current Biology — both single out Cry4, a light-sensitive protein found in the retina. If the researchers are correct, this would be the first time a specific molecule responsible for the detection of magnetic fields has been identified in animals.

This is a quantum connection of wave to particle, soul to body, energy to matter.
We also know this exists in Monarch butterflies and that information can be tracked through three generations.

**When you reduce the four forces it comes down to electromagnetism and this is another part of the proof that we reincarnate.**

We found the footprint of that electromagnetism within the human body in multiple ways. What we do not understand is how electromagnetism connects to our own biology, something that is being studied under a category called quantum biology.

**Quantum Biology**

This same model accounts for us, theoretically, because we are made of the same material, which when brought down to its lowest form is pure energy. The fact that we shed our bodies completely every two years again is by itself not proof, but it cross foots with the model that it is our energy that wears a body and sheds it, and this same system is at work as we move from life to life.

**Scientists Discover Biophotons In The Brain That Could Hint Our Consciousness is Directly Linked to Light!**

By [Kari Robinson](http://example.com) Posted on March 30, 2018

“Scientists found that neurons in mammalian brains were capable of producing photons of light, or “Biophotons”!

The photons, strangely enough, appear within the visible spectrum. They range from near-infrared through violet, or between 200 and 1,300 nanometers.

Scientists have an exciting suspicion that our brain’s neurons might be able to communicate through light. They suspect that our brain might have optical communication channels, but they have no idea what could be communicated.

Even more exciting, they claim that if there is an optical communication happening, the Biophotons our brains produce might be affected by quantum entanglement, meaning there can be a strong link between these photons, our consciousness and possibly what many cultures and religions refer to as Spirit.
In a couple of experiments, scientists discovered that rat brains can pass just one biophoton per neuron a minute, but human brains could convey more than a billion biophotons per second.

This raises the question, could it be possible that the more light one can produce and communicate between neurons, the more conscious one is?

If there is any correlation between biophotons, light and consciousness, it can have strong implications that there is more to light than we are aware of.

We see a human body when we look in the mirror. We know what makes us up is made of energy. We are seeing where the energy is inside our body but we still don’t know how that energy comes to be us. So we create beliefs and call it a soul.

**Religion**

In terms of a scientific proof, the fractal nature of our observations is more important than the narratives of our wisdom traditions or our religions in terms of proof of an afterlife. Our model is based on observable data, experimental evidence and a mathematical analysis.

Just think for a moment. Many texts and religions dating way back, since the dawn of human civilization, have reported saints, ascended beings and enlightened individuals having shining circles around their heads.

From Ancient Greece and Ancient Rome, to teachings of Hinduism, Buddhism, Islam and Christianity, among many other religions, sacred individuals were depicted with a shining circle in the form of a circular glow around their heads.

We hear this in our wisdom traditions.

“The spark of divinity within you“

“You’re joining with God“

“Your eternal life“
That electro magnetism is a highway that runs through all of us, when you are alive it can be measured and when your dead it’s gone. But the planet itself has a magnetic sphere around it.

**Physics**

I repeat

“We have now connected awareness to electromagnetism. The proof here is fairly simple. If you have an EKG which measures electromagnetism in your body, you are alive. When you do not have that measured electromagnetic signal pulsing through your body, you are dead. This is the same for any animal life on the planet. It runs through all animal life.”

**Artificial Intelligence**

That we are designing systems that operate like systems we already believe is a sign of a fractal process. Fractals are not just “things” but also processes. You die, you are uploaded to heaven, and downloaded to a new body. You upload information to the cloud and download it to a computer. You are information not only written on your genes but on the energy it is made up of, the same energy in different quantities that you upload on your computer. If you believe you can upload files to the cloud and download them to your computer you “believe” that this model of the science of reincarnation is scientifically and factually true.

We are designing systems for it. AI matches this information upload system. That’s a fractal proof, more fractals will be added.

**Designing AI**

Once we connect AI to the wave patterns of the disciplines of this science that we have already described, then Homo sapiens will take their place next to Neanderthals and Cro-Magnons. What is about to occur is an epic event similar to a meteor strike on the planet.
Then looking back along a DNA thread, we can turn and look forward. Every advance means greater speed. Constant upgrades mean constant discomfort. Longer life patterns means the need for greater flexibility, because previously, for a jump in science like this, an entire generation has to die out so the new generation comes in and understands it.

**Information Topology**

Simply put, you are not matter but energy, according to particle physics. You are the information that transcends your body. Remember, you replace your body every two years. Each iteration is a fractal, they are self-similar and can be mapped. Life after death would be a fractal if it were true, but with all around us being a fractal design your life now proves your life after death because nature does not produce one of anything.

We are still trying to figure out the design of your consciousness after death This leads critics to say you have proven nothing. This is a straw man argument where you try to defeat the proof by invalidating one part of it. The math proof is the cohesive nature of the numbers. The fact they cross foot as they do makes this our reality. Seventy percent of us already believe this anyway, so this math proof of life after death simply confirms religious people’s belief. Fair enough.

But this is science and we no longer burn people for witchcraft. This now mathematically invalidates apostasy laws, blasphemy laws, celibacy injunctions, and so on. This model will force the coming metaparadigm chance on culture and politics across the globe for the next 100 years. It affects us all equally and there is nothing we can do about it.

How we go forward is up to us, individually first and then collectively.

**Technology and design**

**Genetics**

We are designing self-replicating life by writing code for DNA. Ventner did that as we discussed.

CRISPER is a fractal process for editing genes.
We can digitize chemicals.

We are moving to a point where we are designing a system where we can digitize and program your genetics at a quantum level, in short, design a digitized version of your DNA. You can then e-mail yourself. Beam me up Scotty.

This is a proof our model is correct. The system that is used to upload and download our souls is an accurate depiction of our reality. Being a fractal process we see another iteration of that design of what we believe exists in nature coming from the labs we run.

AI

Is the same design, a fractal process, we are designing a program for rebirth, upload and download to the cloud/heaven

Both are a sample of fractal progression and if you believe in God you believe in this, from the intelligence of animals/with a specific ram to man with a greater random access memory storage to an angel with a greater ram to god with the greatest ram of all. Religious people believe in this scientific model because it charts the flow of their belief system.

This means the description in the anecdotal disciplines is our true reality, and regardless of your religious belief or nonbelief it is true for us all, which is what the science shows.

Add to this psi is proven in us all and a physical, measureable, ability this model cross foots and in doing so proves the math.

The structure of AI’s development rests on fractals. Artificial Intelligence and Organic Intelligence are two iterations of the same process.

Electromagnetism being aware is proven because you are aware. The model of the science of reincarnation is consistent with the grand unified theory of the four forces.

Religious narratives cannot come close to this scientific proof but this is the best pathway scientifically of consciousness transfer.
The design matches the design of AI that we all know, uploading and downloading information. In a pure physics sense that is what you are, information. It’s encoded in your DNA and it’s encoded on the very energy that makes you up, that once it sheds your body it still retains that information because that energy of you still exists. “Energy can neither be created nor destroyed.”

So given that life/consciousness is found on our planet with a magnetic field, is Mellon-Thomas Benedict right? In this model, does the planetary magnetic field/electromagnetism contain the consciousness of past souls perhaps in some undiscovered collective way? If your electromagnetism produces your consciousness, then why couldn’t the larger planetary magnetic field be aware? When we look at the space and see other magnetic fields we can assume its consciousness is similar to what we hypothesize here.

This science then provides a beacon marker for remote viewers not just in the magnetic sphere that protects our planet, but in the search for extra-terrestrial life.

Here Dean Radin and I agree, a new military unit should be organized called the clairvoyant space corps.

Psi-Proven

The fact that psi is considered proven provides a quantitative analysis that is supported and buttressed by comparing it to the other disciplines and math analysis in this science of reincarnation. The other disciplines explain what is seen when using psi and where to use our psi abilities to look. Additionally, this science gives the militaries of earth a path to develop the greatest tool of all in intelligence gathering.

**Redundant structures are fractal expressions.**

This model operates on the same electromagnetic pathway that uploading and downloading to the internet does: think fractals as proof. We find that proof in the intention experiments and remote viewing. They use the same pathway. Cognizant interference patterns interact sharing information on wave frequencies not yet discovered. Markers and bio photons and magnetic locators in birds are
simply different expressions of an electromagnetic connection. Electromagnetism carries consciousness. This is proven beyond a doubt. To disagree is not only to be blind, but willfully stupid. Fractals, ant, man, God, collective God/odds against chance set theory fractals physics, fractal intelligence.

If you believe in God, you believe in this model. Your consciousness is in a position of a sprout of broccoli on a head of broccoli. Look at the fractal model of a vegetable, which is the same as a fractal model of consciousness.

The argument is seamless, harmonizing religion, gender, DNA, physics and artificial intelligence.

**Comparable Probabilities**

For comparable probabilities wins as a way to direct research, however, in doing research when we add fractals and physics to our odds against chance probability, a new more complete model emerges. Fractals plus physics equal a reality and the odds against chance calculations suggest how to do the research to prove this. They are the areas that we do not connect now. We need to realign our perceptions with those which connect and accept Susan Gannon and James Leininger, because that is the proof that the world is not flat. Our proof for reincarnation is on mathematically solid grounds.

So are you a grand unified or grand material person? Are you able to see the electromagnetic connection and create a global grand unified cadre? This goes directly to the 227 and the princes of chapter 12, because by doing so you actually align yourself with where the future is going, as well as the economic opportunity that lies there, and the opportunity to do great global good or great global harm.

We all believe it, the science and the math support it, and we are designing a system to replicate it. It is the most probable answer, and yet we deny it with our actions and war. Is that how we want to program AI?

So we have entered a new age with a new metaparadigm. Those who are older can’t accept that our religions have turned into a version of Santa Claus, the story.
The fractals tip the scales when the odds against chance calculations do not on their own make the case. However, what they are indicating would mean the math of the underlying structure that the odds against chance are representing is fractal and it does. Mathematically, this is now supported by the physics of this and the unified field theory.

The next chapter explains how people currently react, and how we have to reengineer our belief systems to face artificial intelligence.

If this is the most probable model, how do we research it, and how do we design our artificial intelligence? Do you want your computer when it is cognizant to adopt a belief as a Muslim? If not, then what happens then? If we want our artificial intelligence to provide us with default logic and the best possible answer, then don’t we have to subject ourselves to the same standard?

The above model includes set theory in group souls and broccoli which is one example of fractal positioning. Our physics model is consistent with our observations and remote viewing and clairvoyance and psi, and supports a discrete awareness of our consciousness after death.

So did the quantitative analysis fail, or do we just not want to recognize the numbers?

So the probable reality is that you live again across all religions. The world is not flat or even in all the three dimensions that exist around us.

So what we need to do is create a global decision-making matrix that allows people a framework to understand the complexity of what is rushing toward them and how they can respond to it.

So how does a group of contentious entities--opposing countries--handle a problem as complex as the science of reincarnation in an intelligent and effective and productive way? Or as it was said, “If I’m going to survive on the street, I have to know what’s going down.” Vinnie Boombatz.

An Overview of the Math
Probability theory is indicative that the model that we are advancing for life after death is the most scientifically-grounded and probable model of all the models we see. The statistics measures the central tendencies of the various groups, so in this model we have set theory, fractals, probability, but quantitatively, all of the math does not produce a quantitative proof, but what it does do is provide us a high degree of certainty versus competing narratives, and going forward a matrix for operational protocols when doing studies.

Is humanity smart enough to do honest probability studies to more efficiently guide itself to a best case outcome?

How do you model that? Diversity is important: the states of the United States of America are laboratories of democracy for the entire entity. It allows two states that are adjacent to each other to succeed or fail independently. Those lessons should be uploaded to the entire body politic. It is instead corrupted by money whose goal is not the above.

This scientific argument renders the following invalid:

Celibacy of clergy, apostasy, blasphemy, death of apostates, religious war, women as second class citizens, racial discrimination, LGBT discrimination, genital mutilations, race and religious discrimination.

Teach the science to the next generation, by global law, as their most probable reality because that is what it is.

An example of this is the white child who remembers living the life of a black woman who died in an apartment fire.

Math Conclusion

And now when you turn the math proof around because to balance the ledger, the numbers have to run through in both directions, to cross foot, so to speak, if the fractals of our reality check out, then they would expect to see the odds against chance numbers we are getting.

This is a math proof of our reality. I would add we are all fucked equally.
Our world and reality is built on fractals. This is proof you can see in the dendrite pattern of your arteries to the branching pattern of trees. So is the fractal nature of intelligence. You believe in this mathematical model if you believe in God, and angels, and saints, and so forth. Even believing in the devil is an inverse fractal.

Proven quantitatively in the six protocols. These are the quantitative proofs, which goes to seamless integration of the data at all points of the model.

Seamless integration is a sign of certainty in a math proof, and this model has that feature.

In judging non-absolutes, numbers become negotiable. All the numbers hanging together do not a proof make. This must be judged by the flow of information through the numbers. The proof that it is true is the beginning of the era where we program our own beliefs.

You are information stored and available for retrieval at many different levels of energy!

In a way, I am sorry to inform you of the absolute nature of this proof. We, you, cannot escape this, but we can plan to deal with it.

Design, that we are designing systems we see in nature are fractal processes, is an indication this is the correct model. We see this in Genetics, Ventner/Crisper but also in design of AI- Upload download. The fact we are designing to bring to life our belief in the afterlife is also an indication that this model is correct, and if we look at it from the odds against chance probability of one iteration, Tucker’s kid, then the odds tell us our model is a certainty. This is backed up by our proof of psi. This information intersects in so many different directions that we can reasonably assume that the narrative of the afterlife and its structure on eight levels before you are reborn is our certainty.

Whether we proved our case depends upon your own individual heuristic. Each reader will make his own determination. Regardless, we have done something significant here, we have proven the Common Model. That model common to us all that has the highest degree of likelihood.
Do we say we don’t want to believe this science because we want to use a heuristic we are more comfortable with? Then what facts do we use to support that?

We said at the beginning that a science is made up of disciplines and the disciplines have to be in mathematical harmony with each other. When they are not, the science itself has to change, and when the meta paradigm changes, new sciences emerge. This process itself, described in Kuhn’s the Structure of Scientific Revolutions, is the process we are watching unfold. All the disciplines cross foot but not all the plug-ins are in place. This allows critics a straw man argument trying to defeat the whole argument because a component is not complete.

The fact is that the most probable model is unquestionably our most likely depiction of what happens after death. Whether you believe this to be an absolute proof depends on your heuristic.

So what’s next?

Well why this is important is because it goes directly to the design of AI and the accuracy and efficacy we expect from AI. It is a design criteria.

Is the science of reincarnation proven quantitatively? Not according to this. But we have quantitatively structured the whole science with each interdependent and independent discipline doing just what disciplines in science should do. They explain where the science is at and predict where it’s going. The fact that this information fits this matrix so seamlessly is in itself a final proof for this science. Are we going to prove we go up to heaven at our deaths? No, we are going to design it. That’s what this science is doing now and will do even better in the future.
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Chapter 9 MSOR

**Comparable Probabilities**

Harmonizing Science and Religion
Now you may or may not agree with the math proof for the science of reincarnation. That depends on your heuristic and understanding. We still have a ways to go for an absolute proof.

What we have done is scientifically produced the most probable Common Model. We did this by looking at all the mathematical aspects of the information presented, observations, experiments, and incorporating that information into our model from a mathematical perspective from all aspects of math.

The Common Model is taking all the observations and experiments and looking for what will most likely happen. We then compare that model to the likelihood advanced by any other model, in this case religious narrative that drives so many of our daily actions and attitudes. The common model by far is the most probable. We know the religions can’t do this because we have done the math.

To define the common model we have used set theory, fractals, probability, quantum mechanics information and spatial topology and branching patterns. We still do not have a quantitative proof of reincarnation. However our perspective on what is most likely changes based on if we see the world from the grand material metaparadigm point of view or we see the world from the grand unified metaparadigm. What is your current heuristic? What value system do you, my reader, use? Do you succumb to a halo effect or do you decide yourself on what metric you use to make these decisions?

What is interesting is that the science model/common model supports the religious model and the religious model supports the common model but, and it’s a big but, the common model is based on science.

**Comparable probabilities**

The model advanced by hard science and the narratives of the experiencers in anecdotal disciplines is the most probable model our reality after death than any of the “other” religious narratives.
Comparable probabilities is a slam dunk supported by observations/anomalies, religious narrative itself, and hard science. If we believe in reincarnation and the afterlife then this **Common Model** is what it looks like based on the total structure of our reality. You, at your most elemental, are pure energy; that is what you are made of and everything you see around you as well.

Comparable probabilities is looking at all the different possibilities that might occur to us after death based on what we believe. We’ve already shown that most of us believe in some form of afterlife modeled upon us having a soul, 70% of us globally in fact.

We’ve now entered a very sensitive area because this model has a higher mathematical probability of being true than any alternative model advanced by any religion by a huge calculable number.

This common model – most scientific model – incorporates all other models – including belief systems and religions.

Instead of discrediting religious belief, the scientific results that we see confirm religious tenets such as prayer—it actually works and we can quantify the results. We are beginning to understand the mechanism of Psi. The results of the scientific experiments also confirm out-of-body consciousness, or at least they imply such, which supports the concept of the soul. The anecdotal information we see supports the concept of a life after death, or at the very least, a consciousness after death. In actuality, we see a serial type of life—life after life after life—and the soul grows through all of this.

If we evaluate religions the same way we evaluated the science of reincarnation, what happens? The observations that we use support the religious view that there is an afterlife; but the idea that any one religion is exclusively the path to an afterlife is blown completely away and each religion stands on its own merit beside the other, each valid. The cohesive common model proves that apostasy laws are not relevant. While apostasy laws exist in some religions today, leaving a religion does not mean that you should be put to death, or even be penalized. The criteria for judging a life is the actions of the individual in the situation.
And judgment does not come, but rather an evaluation in which you participate based on the exit interviews of experiencers. Thus the more extreme versions of misogyny, discrimination, blasphemy, or celibacy laws simply don’t matter from the descriptions of the individuals of the anecdotal disciplines and even less from the point of view of the math which equalizes us all.

Even more, once we drive down to the wave form consciousness, even gender doesn’t matter, because we go back and forth from life to life, and this model supports not just humans, but all life. For instance, dogs have group souls based on the information from all the narratives of all the subgroups we’ve talked about – NDE’s, children who remember prior lives, past life regression.

The question is how do we study this? What we do is we gently look at the top one-tenth of 1% of experiencers. I used the word gently, because just observing it changes it. But something we already accept as real, remote viewers, should be included in this group.

To religion, accepting the coming grand unified metaparadigm changes nothing for you. The science simply validates the fact that when you die, you will live on. True enough. But because it is a human condition, so will everyone else. So killing people for leaving your religion is mathematically unsound. They will do it in the next life and repeatedly after that. To kill somebody for blasphemy is simply no longer relevant. To discriminate against the sex or gender is simply to discriminate against yourself.

The issue is now this: how do we integrate the effects we are seeing into the various religious doctrines that are accepted worldwide based on this scientific and mathematically superior model?

First of all, every major religion believes in some kind of afterlife; some believe in reincarnation and others in resurrection. **But in all cases the math does not support dogma specific to one religion.**

Celibacy of clergy, apostasy laws, blasphemy laws, and religious wars, woman as second class citizens, LGBT lack of acceptance and racial religious discrimination are all mathematically unsupportable.
So mathematically apostasy laws, blasphemy laws, racial sexual and cultural discrimination are all mathematically invalid.

This is where the science of reincarnation begins to rub against our prevailing beliefs. But we are far from done explaining how this model is the correct one.

You see how AI is developing is a fractal of our belief system. If the most “observable truth”, that is our observations, indicate there is an information transfer of our minds, which is data, from our bodies to “heaven” back to a new body then what? Quantitatively we haven’t proven reincarnation even though 70% of believe this is the system. Additional proof that this is correct comes from the design of AI itself where we are uploading and downloading data to the cloud.

Information being uploaded to heaven and downloaded to a new body is something the majority of people on earth already believe. The fact that we are not chemicals but energy, that is after all what quantum biology is about, mirrors how we use computers, uploading energy to the cloud and back to a new computer. The fact that these are fractals of the same process is indicative of our reality. If this is our mathematical reality then the narratives from the anomalies about what occurs after our deaths is indicative of the reality that occurs after we die.

Now let’s go forward to 2050. At that time we will be able to upload and download more information than exists in the human mind to the cloud and down again. If we can now code DNA, let’s look backward at medicine 32 years to where we were and interpolate where we might be with biological information technology.

We are on our way to designing such a system. The fractal nature of the iteration of information transfer and the self-similarity is proof mathematically that what we are seeing in the anecdotal disciplines is our most probable reality. No religion can make that claim but the proof is supported by the religious narratives that believe in an afterlife.
The first step will be the joining of the human mind with a processor. It will create a remote artificial intelligence viewer.

This does not contravene Plank’s dictum of 1931 which states consciousness is fundamental and matter is derivative. Psi is a human sense common to everyone. To find a method to amplify a human sense whether it be hearing, sight, feel, smell or taste is something humans do.

Researchers have used artificial intelligence (AI) to discover nearly 6,000 previously unknown species of virus. The work, presented on 15 March at a meeting organized by the US Department of Energy (DOE), illustrates an emerging tool for exploring the enormous, largely unknown diversity of viruses on Earth.

How many frequencies are yet to be discovered? What frequencies does Psi operate on? Can AI find us 6,000 new ones like it found 6,000 new viruses? Can AI enhance our non-local sight?

When we as a race did not know why rocks fell out of the sky, better telescopes brought us the answer. These telescopes were devices that magnified our ability to see.

While Radin and other scientists discount the proof offered in the anecdotal disciplines, they accept as fact and quite correctly that Psi has been proven. Given that it is a fundamental human ability—we all get “gut feelings”—we can then develop a machine that magnifies those abilities and this does not contravene Plank’s Dictum of 1931.

These machines are already in prototypical stages depending on application at Google, Sony, DARPA, and in governmental/military research.

They will enter our lives in our homes and then in ourselves. And what we see already in our observations we will see in greater detail. And there isn’t anything we can do about it.

We can, however, steer ourselves and our ship to safer passage by intelligent application of logic because it effects each and every one of us including those that have so much wealth and power today.
The thought that an organism can attack itself and prosper is fallacious but it does go through cycles, example growing cycles. The better it can manage those cycles the healthier it becomes.

If science can be reduced to a common denominator, then religion can be reduced to a common denomination. We want to achieve coherence between scientific observation and religious belief. Finally, we want to look at how that coherence would affect real world action as a consequence of this mathematical model of an afterlife and how it would apply to the different religions. Each may describe an afterlife differently.

**The Common Denomination**

A scientific mind requires that religions stand the same test as the scientific model, our common model. We've reduced all of the science to a common denominator the best most supportable model. Because of this information, we can now reduce religions to a common denomination—a set of beliefs found in all religions. This strips away some of the more extreme or untenable precepts of the various religions. It is not the intent here to attack any specific religion, but we want to point out that this grand unified Meta paradigm is our most probable reality.

Religion is one of the great influences on global culture. Each denomination, each religious group, has its own style or flavor, so to speak. Those doctrines would set them apart from the other denominations.

We now have evidence that many things common to these religions—for example, a belief in prayer and the effectiveness of prayer being quantitatively measured, or a belief in an afterlife—are now being verified scientifically, but the research also indicates that no one religion is the one true religion. They all are equal, according to the laws of science. It doesn’t matter if you believe in Allah, Jesus, or an African totem. If you pray, you can be effective, and it doesn’t matter what religion you are or what gender you are, because it is likely that you will be reincarnated with a different gender and a different religion in your next life. The science is showing that you will be reincarnated no matter what religion you are.
It is, to coin a term, the common denomination. So let’s first look at what some religions believe.

**The Dominos**

Buddhism

Buddha taught that reincarnation depends on a person’s karma, a spiritual account of all the deeds, good and bad, that the person had done during their many lives. If people’s lives end and they have accumulated too much bad karma, they are cursed to be reborn again and to pay their karmic debt by doing good deeds. Once people have restored the karmic balance and achieved enlightenment through fulfilling their karmic debt, the cycle of rebirth ends.

Catholicism

Catholics (and other Christians) do not believe in reincarnation, but resurrection. The catechism of the Catholic Church reiterates this by saying “We believe in the true resurrection of this flesh that we now possess” (Council of Lyons II). “We sow a corruptible body in the tomb, but he raises up an incorruptible body, a spiritual body” (1 Cor. 15:42–44).

Who Else Believes in Reincarnation?

Many members of Native American tribes still believe in reincarnation, as do Inuit tribes, many African tribes, and other minor religions such as Druze and Scientology. There are also sects of Judaism (Kabbalah), Christianity (New Age Sects), and Islam (Sufism) that believe in reincarnation as well.

The Common Denomination/Scientific and Anecdotal View

The religious institutions opposing the science of reincarnation are those who would have to change, and while change is change, in this case they will not be destroyed by it, but rather enriched by the emerging definition.

In fact, it is interesting to note that it really doesn't matter what religion you are. People who have had a near death experience or a child who remembers a prior life, it doesn't matter what religion you are, you tell the same
story. This story you tell is the common narrative. That being the case, it makes all of us a part of the common denomination.

Now this model we have just described is the most probably narrative for what our reality actually is. Minor groups can have three gods or not, or not believe, or all the variety of understandings one would look at if one were turning over all the options to fully understand them. So a fifth dimension intelligence going to four dimensions may be a Muslim in one life, a Jew in another, a man in another, a woman in another, and so on. This model doesn’t show you reincarnate once, but multiple times including the option of deciding not to reincarnate at all.

People will dismiss this in some cases as not the most likely possibility. But when all the other religious narratives are presented and any other scientific narrative presented, this is the one with the greatest support across the broader swath of evidence, observations, experiments and beliefs.

This model is supported by cosmology, microphysics, quantum biology, and observational evidence that can’t be explained, except by this model. It advances our understanding from the grand material paradigm to the grand unified paradigm, and gives us an opportunity to develop as a species, with a common view of how to behave.

Here is what both science and the anecdotal view teach us about the afterlife. First, we are all one, regardless of our religion, sexual orientation, or position in life. We are expected to learn and to progress. We are forgiven for our sins and given other opportunities and other lives in which to learn and to progress. We have to settle our karmic debts. We may choose not to reincarnate, but the development of our souls may then take longer than that of the souls who do reincarnate.

If we have lived and do not choose to reincarnate, our souls continue on in a soul state. In this case, the soul can be said to have been resurrected.

Whether you call it reincarnation or resurrection, the discrete nature of human consciousness continues after death regardless of race, religion, creed, or
color according to this scientific model that is supported by observation, experimental results, theory, and anecdotal information.

The problem with making a credible case for reincarnation is the disinformation and agendas of governments and organizations that wish to discredit this science for either their own ends or their inability to process this new information because it goes against long-held, erroneous beliefs or traditions. When this occurs there is a lack of coherence between the scientific model and the real world model.

Religious Views and Scientific Dissonance - Some Examples

Catholicism

One major problem the Catholic Church has today is that members of its celibate clergy engage in pedophilia with the children of its parishioners. Their acts are then hidden, and the predatory priests are transferred to different parishes.

The doctrine of celibacy is a leading cause of the pedophilia you find rampant in the church, because it suppresses normal sexual urges. It would be better for the church to allow their priests to marry and have sex, thus creating a healthy clergy. The Catholic Church is now supporting a doctrine that is neither in the Bible nor verified in science. The early Christian church had no rule against the clergy marrying. Peter, whom the Catholic Church considers the first pope, was married.

The first written mandate requiring priests to be celibate came in AD 304. Canon 33 of the Council of Elvira stated that all “bishops, presbyters, and deacons and all other clerics” were to “abstain completely from their wives and not to have children.” In AD 325, the Council of Nicea rejected a ban on priests marrying as requested by Spanish clerics.

In the early eleventh century, Pope Benedict VIII responded to the decline in morality among priests by issuing a rule prohibiting the children of priests from inheriting property. A few decades later, Pope Gregory VII issued a decree against clerical marriages. The church was more than a thousand years old before it took a definitive stand in favor of celibacy at the Second Lateran Council held in 1139,
when a rule was approved forbidding priests to marry. In 1563, the Council of Trent reaffirmed the tradition of celibacy.

The Catholic Church distinguishes between dogma and regulations. The male-only priesthood is Catholic dogma, irreversible by papal decree. The ban on marriage is considered a regulation. This means the pope could change it overnight if he wished.

All of this loses sight of the political reason for celibacy. The question at the time, the question was who has the final power—the king or the church. If the church could control people’s sex lives, it could control their money, their employment, their benefice. It has been suggested that the ban on marriage was adopted to lift the status of priests at a time when their authority was being challenged by nobles and others.

Martin Luther singled out masturbation as one of the gravest offenses that was likely to be committed by those who were celibate. “Nature never lets up; we are all driven to secret sin. To say it crudely but honestly, if it doesn’t go into a woman, it goes into your shirt.” Protestants as a whole argued celibacy promoted masturbation, homosexuality, and fornication.

We may be on the eve of great scientific changes, but it is not easy to anticipate a change as radical as the abolition of celibacy in the Catholic Church. This church placed Galileo under house arrest because it considered his scientific observations that the earth revolved around the sun as blasphemy. It took the church 400 years to say he was right. It is the same church that recently said there could be life on other planets.

Here is the point. The scientific evidence renders moot the question of celibacy in the church. This is a sword that cuts both ways. First, the entrenched traditions and powers of the church make it react negatively to new scientific findings, because these threaten the status quo. The church’s reaction would be similar to the one they took with Galileo—attack and discredit the messengers. It may take them another 400 years to accept this science. For all the wonderful work the Catholic priests do, it is unfair to them and their parishioners to abet
and allow this sexual predation to continue in light of the scientific evidence that supports the central tenants of their dogma and beliefs.

By allowing clergy to have sex, you move the timeline of true Catholicism closer to the point of Jesus, since sexual restrictions on clergy weren’t introduced till the edict of Nicaea in 1150. The benefit to Catholicism by harmonizing with the science is the larger pool of potential clergy by having couples or married people be able to administer the flock which would remove the overburden of sexual interest in children. Through change, Catholicism benefits. This is a grand unified metaparadigm view and interpretation of right action consistent with science and what we see.

The position that the Catholic Church could take that would most align it with the science is not to just let the clergy marry, but allow homosexual marriage of either of two men or two women. Given the Church’s societal position in many of the world’s poorer cultures, it would become a beacon of truth and an educational example to the world and do the dangerous missionary work of educating. The alternative is what we have now, old men sitting on generational wealth and using power for selfish ends. The Catholic Church, like we all must, has to address the fact we are proving the world is not flat. I hope this change is faster than the 400 years it took them to apologize to Galileo.

Hinduism

Hinduism, one of the oldest and largest religions, believes in reincarnation. Hindus believe that a person’s soul is immortal. Once an earthly body dies, the soul moves on to another body and continues the cycle until it reaches a state of ultimate freedom, in which it no longer needs to reincarnate. Hindus believe that reincarnation is a result of a person’s desires for earthly pleasures. A person’s soul is reborn because he or she misses life on earth and wants to experience it again. However, after many rebirths, the person begins to realize that earthly pleasures cannot give the soul complete satisfaction, and he or she begins to seek a higher form of enlightenment. Once a person is able to realize his or her true divine nature that person will cease to desire worldly pleasures, and the cycle of reincarnation will be broken.
Islam

Muslims do not believe in reincarnation. They believe that on the Day of Judgment every deceased person will be resurrected. All people will be questioned by Allah himself, and their good deeds and sins will be weighed. If a person did more good deeds than sins, Allah will admit him or her to heaven. If a person did more sins than good deeds, he or she will be sent to hell, if Allah wishes. Allah is so kind that sometimes he will admit the person who has committed more sins than good deeds to heaven anyway. The asking of forgiveness when the person was alive will be taken into account on the Day of Judgment.

A comment to the Imams of Southern Egypt

While I was not invited, a Jew has come into your tent with a gift of emerging scientific reality. The Catholics at one point had to come to terms with Galileo's discoveries. Changing reality, the changing scientific reality, imposes change on both of us whether we like it or not. This pierces us both. Equally.

Like pillars holding up a roof, we have to stand apart and we are decorated differently, but we are each individual supports of the same firmament – Kahlil Gibran. So imagine that needle piercing the center of each of us, but the thread behind it pulling us closer together.

I may be a male Jew in one life and a female Arab in another. You may be a male Arab in this life and a female Jew in another. This is what the information of the science is telling us, and is more reliable than any other narrative, but each of our individual narratives, our religious beliefs, are present in the afterlife, and they are harmonious. Here they are not. But this is the same for both of us. Ask the Iranian Atomic Energy Commission if the physics in this book is not accurate. This affects us the same.

Why kill someone who renounces your religion, either mine or yours, when in the afterlife they may decide to come back as the other religion or no religion at all, and it doesn't matter, because wave form conditions are different. It is time to stop teaching our children to kill each other, not just yours and mine, but
every other sect that's religiously different. I as a Jew must be as safe on the streets of Riyadh as an Arab is on the streets of Jerusalem, and those two must be as safe as each of us being in Washington D.C., where the common law protects us both equally. That commonality of protection has to extend globally for us to truly harmonize what the science needs to accomplish and the threat to us both is artificial intelligence.

Would you have your computer be Jewish? Or would you rather your computer be a fact-based, logic-driven system designed to give you the most accurate answers? If you choose the second option, then you have to reengineer your own belief system, not lose it, but incorporate it into a larger structure, and I have to do the same thing. This affects us equally. We're both screwed equally by this because this is a science. It is not a belief system. How do you think AI will judge this information?

Now there will be much resistance to this theory, this mathematics of the science of reincarnation, even though we've effectively proved it is the most common model supported by all the disciplines of all the other sciences. However, it is a new grand unified metaparadigm view of our reality rather than the grand material metaparadigm view.

The institutions opposing it are those who would have to change, and while change is change, in this case they will not be destroyed by it, but rather enriched by the emerging definition.

This message is that there is nothing either one of us can do about this. This information and science affects us both equally. Our children will have to bear the consequences of these discoveries. The best we can do is prepare them as we look ahead to the emerging technologies’ development.

The most probable model for an afterlife mathematically is the common model and your belief system-Muslim-Christian-Jew-Hindu- scientifically support it and are incorporated in it. Each religion in a sense is a discipline comprising the science. Put another way we are all equally fucked by this reality. Deal with it, the earth is no longer flat, IED’s are more effective than scimitars. Let us no longer
have our children kill each other over something that we now know is no longer true.

Harmonization here would mean that the Israelis would see you as a market and you could see the Israelis as a market for your goods and services. While your two ethos are the antithesis of each other, you've already seen the Saudis do this and partner with the Israelis for intelligence gathering.

Judaism

The first century Jewish historian Flavius Josephus stated that the Pharisees, the Jewish sect that founded rabbinic Judaism, believed in reincarnation. He wrote that they believed the souls of evil men are punished after death and that the souls of good men are removed into other bodies and that they will have the power to revive and live again.

Jews and Reincarnation

The Baal Shem Tov was a Jewish mystical rabbi and is considered to be the founder of Hasidic Judaism. It is a revered rabbi, Israel Ben Eliezer from the mid-18th century. Yonassan Gershom book Jewish Tales of Reincarnation, we find that on page 159, that the Baal Shem Tov believed in reincarnation, and had several lives. Gershom tells us on page 25 Jewish beliefs about an afterlife fall into four main categories: One, survival through one's descendants, and two, physical resurrection, three, an immortal soul in Heaven, and four, reincarnation. Although the written Torah has very little to say about the spiritual world or life after death, there is a great deal of material on the subjects in the oral tradition and the kabalistic works. While I could show you that the Baal Shem Tov was not the first rabbi to believe in or teach reincarnation, it is tangential to the point. The point is that many leading early Jewish rabbis believed in and taught reincarnation.

China/Tibet

To the Chinese leader in the Politburo, I say get out of Tibet now.
Because of the Tibetan people’s unique location geographically and excessive quiet historically, they have developed attributes in the upper echelon of the bell curve of psi. Their ability to identify friends from past lives as part of their structure simply reinforces our argument, and provides an example of, consciousness flowing along a river of DNA. This is true of all of us.

Recently, an artificial intelligence was programed to look for new viruses and discovered 6,000 new viruses. When AI is programed to look for new frequencies in the human body what will it find? Our awareness of the world around us will open up like it did with the development the microscope or telescope.

It will happen within 20 years. At that point, the Tibetan people will be a resource not just to the Chinese, but to humanity. To act as you’re acting and destroy what we could study as a ribbon of awareness would bring shame to the Chinese people and its leadership.

In a very real sense, the Chinese leaders who implemented this policy would one day have to confront their ancestors. But by allowing the Tibetan people to keep the Dalai Lama and let the process continue you preserve something that is priceless.

The point here is that China doesn’t give up control of Tibet. Politically, militarily, the science of reincarnation has no interest. But in preserving and studying the consciousness of humanity that’s exposing itself, the Tibetan people and its clergy must be protected.

Therefore, the action that is right and honorable is to say we understand the emerging metaparadigm, we’re a smart and noble people, and we are going to restore the Dalai Lama to his position and allow him and only the Tibetan hierarchy to determine hereditary progression.

As part of this process, China installs a global research center in Tibet to monitor the coming singularity and do health checks on the Tibetan monks. That includes meditating and MRI machines, and trying to follow the trail of consciousness.
In 20 years when AI exposes the frequencies that are around us like it just did with the 6,000 viruses, this Tibetan river of consciousness will be a stream that you should not have dammed.

China of all peoples on earth who are switching from coal to solar and looking so clearly to the future must understand that the Tibetan flow is unique in the world. So the Dalai Lama goes back on the throne, the Chinese put in global research center and we stand back for 20 years while AI shows us where, what frequencies to look at for the remote artificial intelligence viewer, and then we let the Dalai Lama and the people in the flow of that consciousness look and tell us what they see.

Just as we are developing space and the coming singularity of technology, resources have to be harbored and prepared for the next step. The Chinese people have responsibility to themselves first, to the world second, and the study of science third. This allowing of harmony within the Tibetan people instead of the discord that the current policies are selling creates benefits to the Chinese people, and aligns their view with a grand unified metaparadigm that is inevitably unfolding as artificial intelligence is.

If you do this, you will bring joy under Heaven. Not to do this invites disaster and shame. The probability of this science indicates you actually will be able to meet your ancestors. Please tell me that you will tell them you acted in wisdom.

To the Tibetan People

To the Dalai Lama I say that I hope he chooses to reincarnate. As much as the Chinese people had to act in accordance with the emerging metaparadigm, so do the Tibetan people. What does that look like?

For the Tibetan monks, it means acting as they always have. To the Dalai Lama, he has to protect the Ch’i of the Tibetan flow of informational consciousness across generations. He should not be the dam. Just as the Chinese have to let this consciousness river flow so we can study it and understand it, so then neither should act in that way.
This is the Ch‘i, the spirit of Tibet. It is the singular global consciousness resource. Ch‘i-central Taoist idea meaning “breathing, energy”. The Ch‘i is an individual’s vital force and, at the same time, a universal energy connecting all in one field of unbroken energy.

With the Chinese opening up centers for consciousness study, so then the monks should train not just as they have, but be current in the sciences and the center becomes an institution for teaching all of the Tibetan people and the people of the world.

The coming technological development of self-contained solar powered housing units with medicine run like Watson. Watson is a diagnostic tool, a drop of your blood onto a device no bigger than a small coin that is inserted into a cell phone. This Watson sensor sends the information to a lab through your cell phone. There a computer takes that information and can then give you the DNA of everything in your body. The human biome is well known to have 50% cells that are not you and 50% cells that are you. The 50% cells that are not you could be a tumor. It could be a bacteria in your gut. That device will be able to identify all the DNA of all the things that make you up. And it can be done for pennies anywhere in the world.

The Tibetan children all get laptops and a good education. Each is in the DNA target group. The Dalai Lama rules as he always has, and Tibet becomes an enclave like Vatican City has inside of Rome. A part of Italy, but independent and self-governing.

So this would enrich the Chinese, give them a huge leading edge in the development of connecting AI to humanity, the development of RAIV. You see, when this book title says developing AI and beyond, this is the point it makes. That AI will develop and be smarter than us. But then it will join with us. Physically, yes, however, it will join with us in a conscious level to expand our ability to see the reality around us, and that; will be huge.

To My Southern Republican Redneck Friends
At my core, I am one of you. My life's path had me living for periods of time in Leesville, Louisiana, Dothan, Alabama, Copperas Cove, Texas, and Killeen, Texas. Camping, hunting, fishing are all part of me, but I don’t root for the Cowboys or the Saints.

It’s a competition, this wellness thing, and you are losing. If wellness is judged on a metric that can be measured from state to state, then Mississippi, Alabama, and Louisiana are all at the low ends of the scale.

Who are you? Every kid who has to swallow the sales pitch you are being given. If this book is about informing the next generation, then you are being sold a bill of goods on guns and religion.

Here's the scorecard, measure comparative educational scores from all 50 states equally. Measure the results the same way you measure football scores. See where your team/state ranks. You can change the numbers.

Mean Story Lines

When the mean story line from religion is laid over the mean story line from the science, you have a match in so many different aspects. Angels are equal to guides. The afterlife is composed of not one religion, but all religions.

So a question to all of the dominoes. Given that AI is going to be smarter than us by 2035, would you want your computer to be a Jew, a Muslim, or a Christian? In fact, I believe most of us would opt to have a computer that uses a fact-based, logic-driven structure to give us the best answer when we ask a question.

If we answer that we want it to give us the best answer, then we have to reverse engineer some of our own attitudes. We have to reengineer some of our own religious views. This does not mean we give up our religion, or our heritage. What it means is that we accept the emerging paradigm just like our ancestors did when we realized the earth was round and not flat. Or when we realized what the Catholics did in the 1600’s that the earth was not the center of the universe,
but the sun, and we were on a planet, and we revolved around the sun. Galileo was put under arrest for having that idea. So as we move forward in the likelihood that we will return to these four dimensions in another life becomes more probable than any other narrative. Then we have to ask the final question in this chapter in its many forms.

What do we do about it? How do we design a social structure that enables us to harmonize all the different people and cultures in the world? If our return here is most probable and our birth is a genetic lottery based on karma then how do we proceed? Are we able to use AI to enhance our own abilities? Can we influence events?

The Remote Artificial Viewer (RAIV) is a device like any device that enhances human abilities, whether it's a telescope so we can see better, or a microscope so that we can see better, or a radio telescope so we can hear better, or an MRI so we can look inside the body better, how do we develop this machine to allow us to access a wave form intelligence, and open up a military channel for information acquisition better than humanity has ever known? And while we do that, how do we govern ourselves?

Jim Tucker and I are on the same page fighting the good fight. This fight is being waged in math departments. The math department at the University of Virginia was asked to do an odds against chance calculation on several of Tucker's cases, and mathematically, they supported Dean Radin's position. They couldn’t do it.

But that is viewed against a total universe rather than its applicable category. Individual people have individual talents. It is how individual talent is distributed across the entire population, but there is that top one-tenth of 1% in music, in sports, in economics and money, and it is that top one-tenth of 1% that needs to be compared to itself as a group. Here truth becomes cumulative. If Psi is true, and that is a recent development, then does it support our observations even if we have not quantitatively connected the dots between NDE’s, PLR, and CWRPL?
What that means is that we can use a mean that's a standard deviation. A coin toss, for example. What are the odds against chance that we would see three hits in a row, like the case of the death wounds matching the birthmarks in the new life?

Or in Suzanne Ghamen's case, where she correctly identified 15 relatives of her prior life in their relationship. What are the odds against chance that that happens 15 times in a row? That's a simple calculation, mathematically. The odds against chance, if you're flipping the coin, is 50/50. To get a second result, the odds change, and to do it 15 times in a row, the odds begin to get exceptionally high. But how many little things did she need to know to have her prior husband accept her as the person she claimed to be? Mathematically that would be thousands to the point of certainty, for him anyway.

And that is the point for us too. The resistance to breaking through this mathematical wall is the entrenched resistance change in spite of increasingly overwhelming scientific evidence that our world view is about to change dramatically.

What do you do Dominoes when in 20 years AI says the same thing based on this model? How do you refute it?

In the James Leininger case, he had people who were 86 years old see a four-year-old come into the room, and claim that he had been one of their peers and died in battle, and they accepted him. That has over 200 repeated hits of things he would have to know like them. What side of A-4 is the fuselage on? Things like that the child knew. Now the odds get excessively high.

Extrapolating this out further, you get redundant odds in the categories of past life regression and near death experiences, and you flesh out a common experience as to what happens to us after death with an odds against chance factor of being huge, less Radin’s objection to our doing the calculation this way. Which would be fine, except Radin himself in the conscious universe provides the structure for this exact type of thing to happen, and that is psi.
Now going back to Jim's comment about fighting the good fight and agreeing with Dean on the mathematics in the University of Virginia statistics department, while technically we can't make the supportive connection, because we don't know exactly how this structure works, a bookmaker may look at this an entirely different way. How do you go forward when you don’t know what’s coming? How do you choose?

So if you want to fight the good fight, for some people it is adhering to strict scientific protocol, and to other people it's kicking over the dominoes, because once this mathematical wall falls, the dominoes fall geopolitically, socially, culturally, religiously. If the goal is to inform the next generation of the most statistically-probable reality, then the dominoes have to fall, and that is the good fight.

Dominoes – Conclusion

The Common Agreement

The common agreement is simply an unwritten agreement between those who see the world through the emerging metaparadigm, the grand unified metaparadigm. This means acting locally to support the common good no matter where you are, whether you are in a yurt in Mongolia or in the Russian Politburo.

You will notice in none of the above dominoes that require change is there anything but benefit to the Dominoes, people and institutions that are going to go through the change. Uganda gets the resource of a talented community, the LGBT Center, and stops wasting resources on persecuting a normal human expression.

China gets the resource of a Tibetan Ch’i and in the study of psi, the Tibetan people and the clergy will provide a unique resource to China – not just to China, but humanity. Again, the harmonization that is presented here only benefits the people who change to accept it. If you cannot change to accept this then you cannot see the future evolution in the very near term, 20 years. Then truly the most probable paradigm here is that your ancestors will want to speak to you
when you're done with your life, and you do not want to bring shame on yourself or your people.

The race to develop the best AI for military purposes and win an arms/intelligent race does not take in the unification of all the artificial intelligences as opposed to humanity.

The harmonization of purpose as a global plan gives us access to Plank’s fundamental consciousness which, through transduction. It seems we can create the matter.

If we judge our reality through what we see, the results we get from experiments we do, and our own interaction with our senses, then all the facts and logic point to this being our most probable reality.

**The Common Denomination- Conclusion**

*The science of reincarnation supports any religion there is, and it tears down the walls and divisions between them, and joins them.*

All categories are consumed in this unified model we can call the common denomination. We are all in it and it doesn’t matter what religion you are or what religious denomination you ascribe to you are part of the common denomination.

Our math model having shown that we cannot quantitatively prove our hypothesis so far is also showing that our math model is the most probable reality using the scale of probable realities for each narrative.

Is there other mathematical support that would prove our model even though the probability studies to not support its proof quantitatively yet? Yes, in the fractal design of AI itself.

Now these are examples of moving from discord to harmonization. Harmonization in this instance simply means that in the grand unified metaparadigm the common narrative bringing oppositional forces into harmony for the betterment of both sides. Now can we conflate the probability that we are going through it again to our present situation? The world we create is the world we return to.
In achieving this, the profits are huge. Give everybody a phone, and everybody can do their banking online. Control of that is wildly profitable. The Chinese banking opportunity in Africa is the largest economic opportunity they have there. Think of Trump opening up real estate in Pyongyang, North Korea. So the question is, Why isn’t this done?

To all the dominos. Your individual heritage is written on your DNA and it’s to be respected and revered. That heritage is a ship sailing forward and together is how best to weather the trails before us.

The Science of Reincarnation hurts no one. It is simply the most fact based logic driven model of our reality.

This affects us all the same. It affects all life the same. That is how know knows it is the most probable model. The ability to change this is built in as we learn more.

At their very core women and men in this model represent zero and one. In a polar system there is movement between the poles and therein lies the LGBT spectrum.

So what we have is mathematically is

1-Each anecdotal discipline is a fractal of the other, in short, people who have had NDE’s, CWRPL, and PLR all tell the same stories. The fractals propagate outward until there are hundreds of millions of them. Examine the common scientific model, observations and experiments, first person narratives from three different views – NDE’s – past life regression – children who remember prior lives heavy odds against chance and fractal models emerge. Proof of our psychic ability through PSI support the narratives. So do the religious narratives.

2-The odds against chance any of this happening either in the individual cases or collectively across discipline has now reached the 6 sigma level, what we consider our reality. That it presents fractally reinforces our proof.
3-Set theory presents itself in how group souls are presented and how groups of souls reincarnate together.

4-PSI is considered proven by science and underpins the framework above.

5-This science supports general religious narratives and religious narratives are contained within the framework of the more complete scientific information, just as previous advances in science have done.

6-The structure between AI and religious reincarnation models are fractals of information upload and download.

7-In the anecdotal information there are beacon locators for remote viewing. In the anecdotal stories clairvoyance once a pseudo-science has now been proven using DNA evidence. Remote viewing followed a similar path to its proof. These proven human abilities we all have open to a much larger cognitive space that is consistently described by the experiencers, the religious, and the scientists doing these studies. There is no reason intelligence can’t exist elsewhere and if Mellon-Thomas Benedict says it coalesces in our planet’s magnetic sphere then remote viewers should look in that direction to planetary magnetic nexuses.

8-AI will drive the science of reincarnation in the next 100 years as humans wish to live longer. Instead of hoping to be uploaded to heaven we can actually design the method by which our consciousness can be uploaded and downloaded to a new body. Our observations tell us that that is happening already.

So if that is given then what decisions do we make now?

In chapter 13 we will look at Enterprise Risk Management on a global scale, but first let’s look at us individually.

Chapter 10 MSOR

Three People

What we learned about how people change is that people cannot accept information that goes against their core beliefs. And that is why the information in this book is targeted to the next generation. But what is interesting is how
people react to the unfolding technological development in spite of their belief systems.

In 1955 I was six years old. My family was in Havana Cuba and I was taken to see the tomb of Christopher Columbus. It was something you would teach a six-year-old, “Christopher Columbus discovered America and he was buried here in Havana.”

30 years later I was in Santo Domingo in the Dominican Republic. I went to see the tomb of Christopher Columbus. You see, Christopher Columbus is buried in Santo Domingo. His tomb here is slightly more impressive than the one in Havana, although as the years go by I have less memory of what that one looked like.

20 years after that I was in Toledo Spain and I visited the tomb of Christopher Columbus. Of all the Columbus tombs this is the most impressive. I told the guide I was with about visiting the other tombs. The guide nodded and told me that most people rest in peace but Columbus rests in pieces.

Fair enough, I said, why not do DNA testing so we know for sure Columbus’s final resting place. “Well” he responded “then someone would have to lose. None of the three locations would agree to do that.”

This is an example of these three countries choosing belief over fact. They are not alone, choosing the belief rather than choosing to know the truth.

You cannot conduct science like that.

You cannot conduct war like that. You cannot prevent a common AI and you cannot control it. Putin would be a fool to think someone is going to win the AI race. AI will win that race. He cannot stop that from happening and a strong US is a strange bed fellow but that is his ally, not AI.

At the end of the day Putin has to decide on an effective path going forward, not just who insulted him yesterday and who he can get back at today. Tomorrow there will be a bigger threat to him and all humanity and a strong US is better than a weak US. But that is also true of Russia, China and every other place in the world.
A Jew, a Muslim and a Christian sit down in front of you and you say I’m sure there is one thing we can agree on, that if you smoke cigarettes you increase your chance of getting lung cancer.

We could not prove that one of them was going to get it, and one or two may not believe what you’re telling him. But as we move through 300 people and 3000 people and 3 million people, trends begin to expose themselves and we seem to leave proofs and enter probabilities.

We are lied to regularly, in this case by the tobacco companies. But this is an endemic situation in the world where regardless of your race, religion or sex you are regularly sexually assaulted by your clergy. You see we can assign probabilities to that too.

We demand proofs, absolutes in a world where they don’t exist. So we live a bookmaker’s life assigning probabilities to everything we do from the minute we open our eyes to after we close them.

We can’t say what’s going to happen to any specific individual but we can say what the probable trends are.

There was a time when the world was flat, and then it became round.

There was a time when thunder was Zeus throwing thunderbolts, and then it became the differential between ion charges in clouds.

This would be accepted by our three initial individuals, that Zeus was no longer throwing thunderbolts. Now if those individuals were fundamentalists they may out of hand say the science was wrong because it did not conform to their beliefs. Yet if they got lung cancer they would try and seek the best scientific treatment that would be available to them.

It’s what the science shows

What the science shows if you are fat you are likely to die earlier than someone who is skinny. It really doesn’t matter your race, your skin color or your gender, it matters that you are either fat or skinny and your genetics can point you in any
direction. You can be some radical fundamentalist ideologue who disagrees with that but then you can be an idiot too. And idiots abound, while the food companies regularly lie to me, so do the scientists they hire and the politicians they employ. Next to me in the audience listening to them I have people who lack understanding and insight and parrot what they hear. But at the end of the day when I look at a population of really old people I don’t see too many fat ones.

It’s what the science shows.

Now a couple questions for you the reader.

1-Do you believe what you see?
2-Do you see what you believe?
3-Who are you going to believe, someone else, or your own eyes?

So what does the science show about reincarnation? It’s a tricky question because the closer you come to solving it, the fewer people want you to solve it.

Reincarnation

What the science of reincarnation shows is that the great probability scientifically speaking is that you will have the opportunity to live again. The data shows that you will change race, gender, and religion from life to life. The data shows you will have consciousness and choices after you die.

Of all the narratives that describe what happens after you die this is the one with the highest degree of probability.

Each religion has their own narrative, each one tells you they’re right. But suppose we could say to a high degree of probability one of them is right, the others really wouldn’t want us to know about that. This information would shake up a lot of power structures. So we are regularly lied to here as well.

This science is showing that your consciousness is still you after your death and is being proven in neuroanatomy and quantum physics. This is scientific proof you have a soul, but just as you experience birth and you will experience death, this is what will most likely follow.

But what about the religions?
Regardless of what religion you are there will be more like you wherever it is you’re going after you die.

An important issue for you to remember in this discussion is as follows, since there may be some sensitivity and resistance to objective discussions on belief. This is about probability, that odds maker in all of us.

1-When you survey people who have had NDE’s they all tell a similar story, so it doesn’t matter what race, religion or gender you are, all of us who had NDE’s tell basically the same thing.

2-When you survey children who remember prior lives they all tell a similar story to each other and that same story is similar to the people who had NDE’s.

3-When you survey people who had past life regression done, that same similar narrative to numbers one and two above is told. Sometimes to startlingly precision. (The Glenn Ford case)

The resultant common narrative from these three conditions includes all belief systems, or religions if you like, and so this narrative becomes the highest probability.

What makes this narrative even more striking is that as we learn more about the quantum world of physics and emergent fields such as quantum biology supports this common narrative.

Our old perception of ourselves is that our matter creates our minds, what we are learning is our minds create our matter that live multiple lives.

The scientific proof is coming where we can say it is an absolute. In the meantime though we need to understand that we are being born into that world when we die in this one. Our ability to store digital memory in the cloud is not dissimilar from our ability to store our consciousness in the ethereal cloud.

Then this reality then becomes our classroom and now our science sounds like our religion. The fact that they both support each other buttresses the probability that this is our reality.

That new reality has tremendous social and geopolitical consequences. It encompasses the overthrow of the Saudi monarchy by its own people. It implies
an Islamic Renaissance as its thought leaders teach its rebels. That can only come with a redistribution of its wealth so that the common person has a good life, housing, healthcare, freedom and education. Gender equality within the genetic spectrum is now scientifically the norm, anything else would be abnormal.

Given the fact that this science proves that gender is transitive then those transitive individuals in our societies, which occur everywhere, are equal citizens in all respects and simply represent particles going from one pole to another. Scientifically that both poles are equal are what makes a system stay in equilibrium. This simply means that the sexes are equal and one cannot exist without the other as is the case in any binary system.

What this science is showing is that each religion has its own heaven and, as a soul, you may believe in one religion in one life and another religion in another life and no religion at all in his life after that. If it’s happening in the realm where you are alive it also exists in the realm of when you are not alive.

That is what the science is showing.

This science is being done both stealthily and ubiquitously right now. Before we get into explaining where the science is at let’s meet the people doing the science, the organizations they are in, and a suggested roadmap for the future.

Each of the organizations is doing some aspect of the science of reincarnation. There is cultural resistance currently in many places that would resist calling reincarnation the science or considered doing a science called reincarnation.

The reason is that this science will change the cultural powerbase of many organizations and religions. It will shake up the establishment.

So for you radical Islamists consider this an expose. The smart guys should read it, the ones who are running ISIS. Clearly you are brilliant and I say this seriously because the equipment you are using to fight the Americans you have taken from the Americans.

The most fundamentalist imam should understand this because it fundamentally changes how his religion is viewed. There is no getting around this, his most wealthy and ardent supporters go to the finest European and American clinics for medical care. They use the most sophisticated weapons that exists today. This is
the finest science on this topic that exists. If you are using sophisticated modern weapons to fight your enemy then you need to understand this emerging science.

The most fundamentalist Christian pastor should understand this because it fundamentally changes how his religion is viewed. There is no getting around this, his most wealthy and ardent supporters go to the finest European and American clinics for medical care. They use the most sophisticated weapons that exists today. This is the finest science on this topic that exists. If you are using sophisticated modern weapons to fight your enemy then you need to understand this emerging science.

The most fundamentalist Rabbi should understand this because it fundamentally changes how his religion is viewed. There is no getting around this, his most wealthy and ardent supporters go to the finest European and American clinics for medical care. They use the most sophisticated weapons that exists today. This is the finest science on this topic that exists. If you are using sophisticated modern weapons to fight your enemy then you need to understand this emerging science.

So we will look at the current community and marketplace of the people and organizations currently doing the science of reincarnation soon. But first let’s make this science personal to you, my reader.

In short, they all have their own belief systems, but go to the best doctor they can.

Note to reader, beyond this point this draft has not yet been edited.

Chapter 11 rewrite 8 5 18
Transitions

Why this is important to you

Making SOR personal

Going from a Grand Material Meta Paradigm Mindset to a Grand Unified Meta Paradigm Mindset in 9 steps-reordering your perception and heuristic to align with the science

When we get to the end of this chapter, your religion will be validated and recognized and the world will no longer be flat, but round. This is a more complete way to look at and understand our changing reality and what is going on around us. The younger you are the more important this is.

Step 1

The best way to understand the science of reincarnation is to make it personal. If you are 35 or younger this blog is important to you. This blog is a pure scientific aggregate view of the science of reincarnation and how it will affect you personally over the next 50 years. If you are in tech or finance this is a who’s who of those doing this science right now, and what is flying below the radar and what might be possible.

So in 2017 a 35 year old person lives in the following situation: He could upload anything on his computer to the cloud and down load that quantity of information to a new computer.

By 2035 when our person is 53 artificial intelligence will surpass human intelligence. That means that we can upload and download to the cloud a greater quantity of cognitive information than exists in the human brain.
By 2050 our person who will be 67 will be able to “plug in” neurologically to information systems and we will have “enhanced” humans. AI will have quadrupled in intelligence measured as 2 turns of Moore’s Law.

By 2065 our person will be 83 and we will be able to upload a human consciousness to the cloud. Given the progression that 30 years before in 2035 we could upload more information to the cloud than in the human mind we then have 4 full 7 year periods for Moore’s law to double. It is not too early for us to plan 50 years ahead of our present moment for the cognitive future of a 35 year old today. AI doubles very 7 years so in 2065 AI will be 2x2x2x2=16 times smarter than a human. Is God 16 times smarter than a human or is that angel level?

For those who doubt the coming paradigm change, who see what I am describing as doubtful, realize that Dick Tracy’s tv wrist watch was introduced in 1964 and was an upgrade on the 2 way wrist radio introduced in the strip in 1946. The iwatch from apple was introduced in 2015, a period of 51 years.

Now even seriously taking about the “Science” of reincarnation has major social and political ramifications. NASA did a study asking religions what would be the effect on them if we announced we had discovered aliens.

They should do a study asking religions what if we can program reincarnation. Our scientific ability to do this is about 50 years away. But the center of this science is not at NASA or even the DOD but is split among independent NGO’s who, because science is itself myopic, are not aware of cross discipline significance or even how to fund the studies that are needed.

This blog will be the schematic or blueprint for doing the science of reincarnation.

This blog will be about designing a moon shot proposal, who pays for it, who designs it, who owns it and who benefits and the national security interests that will affect all nations. But there is something else, how ridiculously real this proposal is.
Who owns it? Who owns anything, the 1/10 of 1%. It is they who will benefit first and most, more if this science gets done right, less or not at all if all the pressures that effect this science deform it at its birth.

What benefit? Being able to have a plan to come back to the world they designed. In 20 years control of the nuclear arsenal will be in the hands of an artificial intelligence smarter than the generals who operate it. What if that intelligence can remote view? It is after all a quantum system.

What input would the most foresighted of the 1% have and where would they be able to even put it in if there is no science of reincarnation?

There is an urgency to do this now ahead of the AI cognition curve, it is important to design our matrix into the machine’s architecture and to begin that design at AI’s birth.

*Follow two things, the line of the logic, it’s both inescapable and dangerous and follow the money. It’s huge.*

This blog will explain where this science is being done, what its future is, and how to benefit from it and to see it delivered on a chip. This affects everyone.

**Step 2**

The problem with the science of reincarnation is its lack of fusion which was evidenced this past weekend December 21, 2016 in 2 separate events.

The first was an article in the New York Times magazine section on the development of AI, artificial intelligence and how they are programming a computer to think like a three year old child.

The second occurred the following day on Good Morning America when IANDS (The International Association of Near Death Studies) was featured.

Juxtaposing these two separate events explains the resistance to accepting the science of reincarnation as a legitimate emerging science and highlights the reasons for this embedded resistance within the science community and why that resistance will ultimately collapse.
The development of AI threatens mankind because in 20 years, by 2035, artificial intelligence will be smarter than human intelligence. That is why it is imperatively important that the designers of AI create a moral base within the architecture of the artificial mind they are creating to protect mankind.

By 2050 we should be able to take that mind from the computer that houses it, upload it to the cloud and then download it to a new computer. This is not science fiction any longer, it is merely an extrapolation of the predicted time line for this technological development.

*This means we will be able to upload and download a cognitive though artificial intelligence through the cloud that is a larger information and processing base than the size of the human mind.*

The IANDS model of near death experience seems to indicate that is already happening.

IANDS is roughly 40 years old yet only has 750 members. It is estimated that 4% of Americans have had an NDE which would mean that 12 million Americans have had an NDE that number extrapolates to 280 million globally if everyone had the same health care as provided in America. So what is the problem?

Relevance as a metric value can be measured in many ways. The number would seem to indicate that IANDS has little relevance if it is measured in reach and dollars. Their numbers (750) relative to the size of the NDE population (12 million) in the US reflects their current relevance. To be fair IASOR (The International Association for the Science of Reincarnation) the owner of this blog has even less relevance. Currently.

If relevance is measured by generic attention then AI is very relevant.

But the information in IANDS is exceptionally relevant because they are a window to the cognitive world that is marginalized because it is not put in context with the larger science of reincarnation. In 35 years if we can upload human consciousness what belief system goes with it? Christianity? Islam? Once you determine the belief system you stand in for god.
If we examine the data points, those believers who have had a past life experience and combine that data with children who remember prior lives and people who have been regressed a standard model would emerge and it would show that your religious belief from life to life doesn’t matter. And then what would the good people of North Carolina do? For that is where IANDS is housed.

IANDS needs more than an infusion of money, it needs an infusion of relevance. It would be more relevant if it could be connected to AI and all the other disciplines of SOR like remote viewing or past life regression.

This will come shortly, in the next blog we will explain how the folks at DARPA, the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, is going to plug IANDS into AI by developing the neural interface.

But in saying that, the tech sector needs desperately to mine the data and use the talent that organizations like IANDS has. These “experiencers” of NDE’s collectively have valuable information. That is the reach that IANDS needs to become more relevant. There has to be a Facebook of NDE’s, kids who remember prior lives and transplant memory patients. **Who do you think should pay for and own that data?**

**Step 3**

A blog post is a thought and in this post we must explain the neural connection and its relation to the science of reincarnation and move on. We cannot get bogged down in the particulars of the technology that is being developed. How far out is this neural connection to a computer? It’s happening now.

We can accept that if someone is in an accident and they lose their eyes but the optic nerve is intact we can now connect a camera to their optic nerve so they can see again. That development occurred in 2016.

We can do the same for a hearing loss if the auditory nerve is undamaged and who hasn’t heard Steven Hawking talk through a computer.

So through this development the blind are being made to see, the deaf to hear and the mute to speak.
But connecting a camera to the optic nerve is easy compared to connecting your brain to a computer yet that is exactly what is being developed at DARPA, the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency.

In the following video Dr. Philip Alvelda discusses how DARPA is actively looking for solutions of how to connect the computer to the human mind and the myriad of problems and advantages. A true brain computer interface.

The neural interface that DARPA is developing is the door through which the mind will enter the machine.

At the same time it is the door that the machine will enter the mind.

The two are inexorably linked. First the mind to machine, here is the link.

“Published on Jul 9, 2015
Dr. Phillip Alvelda, Program Manager in DARPA’s Biological Technologies Office (BTO), discusses the potential of next-generation neural interfaces to improve quality of life for people and revolutionize how we engage with machines. The talk was part of a two-day event held by BTO to bring together leading-edge technologists, start-ups, industry, and academic researchers to look at how advances in engineering and information sciences can be used to drive biology for technological advantage.”

https://m.youtube.com/watch?t=924s&v=vjac3RBoK1c

His bullet points are the neural connection will allow:

The Blind to see, the deaf to hear and the mute to speak
It will free the mind from even healthy bodies
It will go beyond restorative medicine
It will eliminate peripheral electronics
This blog will not devolve into the scientific minutia of how this works and for the reader to educate himself he only needed google neural interface.

With this explanation and sourcing we can accept the development of the neural connection.

So we can see out into the computer, but AI will also be looking in. We need to stay ahead of the AI cognition curve as long as we can. Once it passes us a new era has begun.

Both computers and minds create “fields” of influence. The fact that just thinking at a computer is well documented as proven by the extensive work at both PEAR (Princeton Engineering Anomalies Research) and SRI (Stanford Research Institute). There is a case to be made that both systems are more similar than dissimilar and what can be achieved with one can be achieved with the other and the appropriate technology. That means if you can upload a computer mind you can upload a human mind. This is how this research is being done. Now.

So let us look at the science of reincarnation from AI’s point of view. The next blogs will be a thumbnail overview of the entire field of the science of reincarnation as viewed from AI’s stark logic.

**Step 4 SOR at the Cross Roads**

So if you understand the first 3 blogs this changes everything in so many ways. If you don’t understand this I’ll say it again in small words in a few sentences.

You won’t die at the end of your life but you will have more responsibility. You get to choose your religion, your gender and your location for your next life. Look at how you are leaving this location. Clean up your campsite. Leave the woods as though you had never been there.

Now it is pristine for the next passage. That is everyone’s responsibility but with great wealth comes great responsibility.

So what is needed is support for a clear picture of this emerging science not sullied by belief or opinion, this information is too important for the human race to disregard or hide from.
The consequences of this are immediate and profound. Here is how the ground lays right now.

1- Within 50 years we should be able to upload and download human consciousness.

2- To say right now we have encountered aliens would be an alarmist statement and get this site, which rests on logic and facts, branded as a radical type of site, which it is not.

3- To say we are going to find aliens on a day when Tesla announces it has signed a contract to fly 2 men around the moon because these guys can afford it, and NASA finds out from the media announcement and immediately makes reservations means humanity is outward bound. To look critically and analytically at the emerging science in the pipe line when you return there will be interstellar travel.

4- Current scientific observations indicate reincarnation is happening already, naturally, and the development of this science is being obstructed by religious belief and political action.

5- We spend trillions protecting ourselves from each other because we have no common threat except for disease. AI will be smarter than all of us in 50 years and control all the weapons.

6- If aliens don’t find us we’ll find them.

So the science of reincarnation is an infant among the sciences and it is at a cross roads with many opponents.

The purpose of the science of reincarnation is nothing less than a change in the human psyche for the next generation.

The proof of acceptance of this science in individual cultures will be the integration and safety of minority cultures races and gender expressions.

Example: Christmas trees in Riyadh protected by Wahhabi Clerics because the reciprocal keeps Wahhabi everywhere just as safe.
Now if you are going to program return to a new life do you want to come back to a place that is atrophied or a place that is nourished?

So we need to run 3 or more time lines sequentially.

What will happen in the next 50 years to: 1-Programming, 2-Artificial Intelligence (AI), 3-Space Travel/Aliens?

How will these three threads interact? **How can we design a multi-level protective agenda for humanity if we cannot design it for each other?** Here artificial intelligence and aliens represent threats and programming is our weapon.

And we need to ask the 35 year olds at 85 what is the best configuration for these events for the wealthy. We can trust everyone to act in their own best interest. But sometimes best interests coincide and when that happens joint cohesive actions increases everyone’s benefit.

So this is important if you love your grandchildren or are under 35. This is why we are at a cross roads, so how do you educate?

**How do you teach a new science to people who are pre disposed to not wanting to examine critically the information that could help them so much?**

**How to use this blog from here.** There will be many intertwined threads going forward. They will be clearly marked. The first thread is the standard model. It lets you see what the scientists are seeing and go to the interesting and underlying data. You can skip it go right to political or religious threads and come back. Other threads will be marked and are listed below.

In this science we will follow the money, debate religion and politics and look at the military applications of the science of reincarnation (SOR) and its many component pieces.

In the standard model of reincarnation you can see the entire view of what scientists globally are seeing in one cohesive easily explained way.

There will be blogs on the political effect of the emerging science as it effects Saudi Arabia, China, Islam, and the American republican right.
There will be blogs on programming and robotic and future world design and the emergence of aliens. There will be gamer threads, rich people threads, working stiff threads, Geo political threads, Talk to AI threads.

Out of this will emerge a Moonshot science and a Master Plan for Humanity.

**Step 5**

People don’t understand the science of reincarnation because it is not taught cohesively and clearly do not understand the different sectors of this science and how they are related. So how would AI break down whether the science of reincarnation should exist at all and if it should what it would be composed of.

It would look at *observable data*, events where people claimed reincarnation. In this category it would look at common data points. This would include NDE’s, children who remember prior lives and transplant memories.

It would look at *non local consciousness*, the human ability for the mind to reach outside the body. Clairvoyance was first proven in the field of archeology (The Hidden Vaults of Time-Schwartz) with DNA evidence, a proof that would stand up in court. So it would analyze the *data points of the experimental results* testing what human consciousness can do outside the body. This would include the SRI and PEAR type events. It would also include transplant memory which is a subset of genetic memory.

An expository note to the casual reader, the program at Princeton ran from 1979 to 1994 and tested 250,000 people to see if they could influence a computer by just thought. As an example they programed a computer to produce 50% zeros and 50% ones randomly through and hour but at the end of the hour it would be even.

Next they put a person in front of the computer and they would see either a boat or a tree (each representing either the zero or the one) and the person was told to make one image appear more than the other with just intent.

They found everyone could influence the computer with just thought. If a person was alone they would get 52% trees and 48% boats. If a bonded couple a man and
a woman did the test the average changes to 54%-46%. But if 2 women did the test together they could get the same result but in the wrong direction. Test done at Stanford and other labs corroborates this result.

The process of clairvoyance, remote viewing, and premonition are all different aspects of non-local consciousness well-grounded now in science and proven by double blind experimental data.

Additionally it has been proven with DNA evidence that would be admissible in a court of law. (Schwartz, The Secret Vaults of Time-The African)

It would look at religions and belief systems to find common data points between religions but matching those data points with the observable data and the experimental results.

Finally its analysis would include DNA memory and transplant memory using genetic memory as a baseline for the children who remember prior lives.

In fact for us to truly know whether reincarnation is programmable and possible we need to connect these point ourselves and this is not being done. We are ignoring what should be done at our own peril, actually our grandchildren’s peril.

Remote viewers and people who have experienced an NDE or children who remember prior lives should have ongoing studies and joint symposiums.

They should be asking for help from non-local consciousness resources. Protocol development, wellness and acceptance of a new larger paradigm are all symposium development issues. Think how Indian cultures in the Americas reacted to the more technologically advanced Europeans. We are in that kind of peril.

The aggregate of all this data would produce a common narrative. Would we be able to design a process of reincarnation? Is it happening already? If not could we design it? And is what we are already seeing that design being implemented and are we a part of that already occurring process?
**Wave form vs particulate consciousness:** Particulate consciousness is you, the consciousness that resides in your body. Non local consciousness or wave form consciousness is your hunch that gut feeling of what is right. We all have it, when the phone rings you know it’s your sister. That’s wave form consciousness. It’s what they measured at PEAR and used at SRI.

There is much evidence to say wave form consciousness is discreet, that means after you die you are still you. The religions say it, the observations agree and according to the emerging data it looks like we can program to it.

This is consistent with the wave particle duality. We can talk scientific theory here but let’s talk about the 3 year old who remembers dying in WW II and when he is 5 he means members of his old squadron when they are in their 80’s and they accept him as who he says he was because of what he couldn’t possibly know. A form of genetic memory of a prior life.

We see this genetic memory in nature, monarch butterflies remember a location in Mexico even though they and their parents have never been there.

But as we have proven that just thinking can influence a computer AI will have a field of influence that can influence a person! The same 2-4% just by the computer being on in a room with you.

So let’s break down the data and utilization groups next.

**Step 6**

Roughly 40 years ago a professor named Ian Stevenson began to study Children who remember prior lives at the University of Virginia. This was observable testable data.

These children are quite specific about the fact they don’t belong to the family they were born into but rather their other family is where they want to go back to. Please watch the 6 minute video of the boy who was a fighter pilot during WW II on the home page of the IASOR site for an example of this.

When taken back to the locale of their prior life they know geography and people of their prior life. After being introduced to the people who would remember
them those people, the old people meeting this child who claimed to be among
them in a prior life, those people accept the child for who they claimed to be.
Somehow these children possess a knowledge they could not possibly have to a
degree to convince strangers that they indeed are who they claim to be.

What began as vetting these stories over 40 years there are thousands such cases
a form a data base, currently they are loading 2500 cases into a computer each
with 400 variables to seek patterns. This is science the way science should be
done. That is if science is a snail. How about tech funding? What could be
developed if this whole field could be looked at cohesively? Who would own the
data? Who would own process?

Yet the department that does this is not funded by the University but by a private
endowment, the University of Virginia, in the opinion of this blogger, would like
the program shut down.

The University of Miami in Coral Gables Florida is home to Brian Weiss who
helped pioneer past life regression, along with Michael Newton on the west coast.
Past life regression could be described as putting the subject in a hypnotic trance
and having them remember events from prior life. It is a form of personal remote
viewing, a type of will call clairvoyance. These are all forms of transmission
mechanisms where wave form knowledge takes precedence over particulate
knowledge because we intend that result. This is psi at work

The scientists at IANDS and UVA agree there is a scientific connection between
their 2 specialties but not with the past life regression people. But the past life
regression people are using basically the same technique as the remote viewing
people whose process has been validated by Stanford, Princeton, the CIA, FBI,
and NSA. In fact it’s all connected and should be seen as parts of a common
whole.

Once you do that you scientifically invalidate every religious narrative and replace
it with a scientific model that validates what religions collectively teach us, that
our consciousness does not end with our deaths.
That means a Muslim can have a Christmas tree in Mosul, Mohammed won’t be offended and a transgender should be treated with respect and can use the bathroom of their gender in Durham and women in Saudi Arabia should be allowed to drive. It is all cut from one cloth.

The resistance to the development of the science of reincarnation should be obvious, the power shift in religion, cultures and belief systems is so overwhelming that an entire generation will have to die off for this to be accepted according to Thomas Kuhn.

Scientists themselves do not know how to deal with the geopolitical effects of the real science they are doing. To keep their jobs and funding they need to stay in their little academic enclosures and not make waves.

**In this information there is a real threat to humanity. In less than 40 years AI will be able to remote view.** But it will need a human to do it with.

**Step 7**

The Science of Reincarnation is a cross disciplinary science so the System Design should begin with a brief explanation of The Science of Reincarnation itself.

**Preface to the Science of Reincarnation College Textbook**

101

**Introduction to the Science of Reincarnation**

The science of reincarnation is part of the cognitive sciences. It is a science that is just now emerging and will in the next 50 years grow to enormous proportions. This science will be the place that your grandchildren come to design their next life.

For the new student the science is broken into three parts. The first part of the science is evaluating what we see. This includes children who remember prior lives, past life regression and near death experiences. In a larger sense these three examples are examples of nonlocal consciousness. Categories such as clairvoyance and remote viewing are part of nonlocal consciousness but so is quantum mechanics, quantum biology and neurology. This part of the science of
reincarnation is what is covered primarily in the science of reincarnation 101, version 3. **This text book is available for free at www.IASOR.org.** (The International Association of the Science of Reincarnation)

The second part of the science of reincarnation is being done at Google’s deep mind and IBM’s AI program. Artificial intelligence is projected to pass human intelligence by the year 2038. In 2016 we’ve just connected an artificial eye to the optic nerve. By 2038 we should be able to connect the processor to the cerebral cortex. By 2050 we should be able to upload consciousness to a cloud and the very wealthiest of us would be able to purchase new bodies grown in a lab and download their consciousness to them. The science of reincarnation textbook for this has yet to be written.

The third part of the science of reincarnation is the study of the cultural, political and social ramifications of this scientific leap. It is touched on here at the end of this textbook but the approach is broadened in the next textbook in this science, The Applications of the Science of Reincarnation 1.0.

As with anything new there will be dissent, failure, and startling success that will seem mundane almost immediately after it happens. We welcome you to the course and the journey.

*What follows is a Plug and Play pictograph of SOR. That means one organization in a category can be substituted for another organization performing the same task.*

This is important as it goes to ownership and intellectual property, there are huge sums of money involved here.

For the sake of simplicity we will start with this pictograph representing SOR.

**Observations**

University of Va.  IANDS

(Children who remember past lives)  (Near death experiences)
Transitive Process
Past Life Regression
(University of Miami-Weiss/Newton)

| Clairvoyance
IRVA Remote Viewers
(Proven with DNA evidence)

| Development of Architecture of AI
Google
(AI development)

Aggregators of data and process (owners)

When AI is twice as smart as a human it will look to this data to design a reincarnation process. If you are religious God would have to have done this for your belief to be valid.

Now in this model money must flow from the AI sector back into the Observations sector which is resource starved, not cohesive in their view of the SOR landscape and data rich.

So if a firm like Facebook made a 100 million dollar commitment to develop SOR (Keep in mind Mark Zuckerberg gave the Newark NJ school system 100 million dollars already) how should that be done and what should Facebook get for their money?

So let’s say hypothetically the initial grants were to UVA, IANDS and IRVA at $5 million per grant. Facebook would immediately own the most advanced data base
on experiential non local consciousness events and it would be immensely valuable to firms like Google, Amazon, Microsoft, Alibaba and others developing AI.

This larger pool of aggregated information would also be of great interest to the intelligence communities of the world.

With respect to IANDS and IRVA, IASOR’s position is that IANDS and IRVA does not understand their own significance to SOR or AI, even more importantly. But it also doesn’t matter. IANDS if evaluated as a data base, can be replicated worldwide. Tech’s interest and money in that type of data base will marginalize IANDS’s position for as long as IANDS ignores this dynamic.

The same is true of IRVA. While it is true IANDS is a data base and IRVA is a transitive process the two organizations need to reach out to each other for common studies and include the children from UVA. That research should be foundational in programming AI and should be funded from the tech sector.

Now if any of this happens it is not really relevant to this blog. This blog should be posted on Kahn Academy as to how to do the Science of Reincarnation in order to teach SOR’s scope and let it become the populist science it will.

**Now if this begins to happen globally say China or India set up their own program can the US intelligence community not respond?**

**Step 8**

The purpose of this blog is to provide the road map for a moonshot proposal to do the science of reincarnation. That includes studying the political, cultural and social fallout. There is a standard model of reincarnation that can be drawn from the joint narratives of children who remember prior lives, people who have an NDE and people who have been regressed. The purpose of this blog today is to draw one feature from the standard model and examine its repercussions.

In the first step we discussed the standard model of reincarnation and how religion does not seem to be very relevant to those experiencing reincarnation.
For a fuller understanding of the standard model refer to the free text book, The Science of Reincarnation 101 on the top of the home page.

One feature of the standard model is that people change gender that is, in one life you may be male and another you may be female. This is a fairly standard narrative if you if you aggregate the narratives from Michael Newton, Brian Weiss, and Ian Stevenson.

Which brings me to HB2 the North Carolina Anti-LGBTQ “Bathroom Bill” where gerrymandering and voter suppression is being used to advance a white supremacist theocratic strategy. At the geographic center of this are our friends at IANDS with their heads in the sand.

You see the scientist themselves say Children who remember prior lives are now scientifically connected with people who have had NDE’s but people from Weiss and Newton, who have been regressed have not been scientifically connected with the first 2 groups. Yet these same scientists recognize that remote viewing and clairvoyance are now accepted phenomena with a clear scientific foundation. In fact it’s all connected and suppressed because of the political and social fallout.

A cohesive approach to joint studies of reincarnation is imperative for humanities sake, drives dollars into research, and allows this data base in search of a science to present those programming AI with a viable road map.

Science changes our reality, after all the Pope thinks that there are aliens now and any clear thinking individual must recognize now that with our seeing life on other planets it is inevitable that we will one day meet other sentient extra-terrestrial species. Just like it is inevitable that we will be able to upload to a cloud and download to a computer a consciousness greater than that of a human mind by the end of this century.

This new emerging scientific information is a deep validation of belief of all religious believers because we are now documenting scientifically that consciousness doesn’t die, something all religions preach, but we are all
interconnected equally and that thought changes the power structure of religion, be it Christian or Muslim.

But the political change occurs when science is not bought, sold or pummeled into submission by fear of speaking out. But is speaking out against HB2 because it is scientifically wrong, they begin the dialogue with the Islamic world on the same subject.

So we are going to leave this provincial backwater in the science of reincarnation that has much to offer the tech industry, needs an infusion of research and support dollars as much as the tech and programming industry needs them. As for the tech industry, organizations like IANDS will become much more important to them as they become more sophisticated in the development of AI, and then uploading human consciousness to a cloud.

**Step 9**

The Difference between science religion and heritage is as follows: science tell us our reality, our religion defines our belief system and our heritage tells us our ancestral evolution.

There are defining moments of change, historically, that are epochal. The Science of reincarnation stands at just such a threshold and our friends in North Carolina are in an epileptic fit trying to adjust. This blog is not about pulling them down but pulling them up.

What is needed in the science of reincarnation research is a 100 million dollar investment by the tech industry into this sector. With great wealth comes great responsibility.

It is not just economic sanctions that North Carolina should suffer for their poor governance, but the aid of a road map out of their self-imposed quagmire.

Clearly the size of the monetary endowment is in part to overcome the embedded resistance to knowing the truth. The money will reshape the belief to be more in line with what we know to be true, what the science tells us.
We know Zeus does not live on Mount Olympus, and Odin does not cause thunder. Jesus and Mohammed fall in the same category. That does not mean they should be disrespected, but nor should anyone else.

On a deeper level the science of reincarnation is providing new validity to the belief of an afterlife. The science of reincarnation is not new science, just aggregating existing science. Early programs like sim city, letting you design a city are giving way to programs designing artificial intelligence. That is giving way to designing a system to upload your consciousness and then download your consciousness. Elon Musk has proposed a neural lace to let your mind interface with a computer so you know what the computer knows. So you can know what is both local to you and non-local to you.

It is in Durham that some of the early work on non-local consciousness began.

In 1927, JB Rhine moved to Duke University in Durham, North Carolina. Rhine began the studies that helped develop parapsychology into a branch of science; he looked at parapsychology as a branch of "abnormal psychology." What he was plumbing was non local consciousness. A standout psychic, Ingo Swann, worked first with Rhine and then later with Targ at SRI.

Targ said and I paraphrase, Ingo Swann taught the Army how to remote view and the Army taught it to the world.

If you look at non local consciousness as your souls ability to look outside your body you can have a religious view of the science that was a validation of belief supported by the research done at SRI and the PEAR research labs supported by the US Government including the Army, Navy, CIA, FBI and NSA. This science supports what belief systems say, about the afterlife, whether these belief systems are Muslim, Christian, Jewish, and Hindu or any other belief system/religion.

So in a state that can be considered a cradle of scientific non local research scientists not knowing how to deal with the geopolitical effects of their validated research stand quiet as laws like HB2 pass with theocratic legislative zeal and the
truth is bludgeoned into submission in front of people who should and don’t speak up.

The answer is not government which has failed us, but corporations who should do more than just impose economic sanctions but should fund and teach the truth that emerging sciences like quantum biology and programming are teaching us. We need the funding to go to the institutions who are courageous enough to do the research and address the discoveries in a real way, and in teaching the people, the people will inform their representative’s in the State House and the wealthy who own the representatives.
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Structure Process Consequences

“The world as we have created it is a process of our thinking. It cannot be changed without changing our thinking”-Albert Einstein

“The secret of change is to focus all your energy not on fighting the old but on building the new.” – Socrates

“There is great wealth comes great responsibility”-Raphael Benaroya

There was a TED talk talking about who actually controls the world. It was reduced to 227 people, a group that included top bankers, heads of state, and heads of energy, telecommunications companies, in short those people who create and influence events globally. If there is any group that can address the coming complex problems humanity faces these people have to be on board. The threats that face us all face them as well. So if this science we have discussed is our reality then what does the future look like over the next 25 years and what should we do about it.

What is at Stake?

Your personal future, the future of your children and loved ones, the future of the planet, the future of humanity. The changes we cannot accept, the artificial intelligence that threatens us all. What are we going to spend to protect ourselves so when we spend it we know what we are a part of and what we are getting? With so much at stake is there no cohesive plan?

We need to design a program, a decentralized connected structure similar to the internet. We need it backed with a global intent and actions at the local level. It has to have an open architecture with access for all to foster creativity.
and protection for a common world and it has to step around restrictive
governments while welcoming them and instructing their populations.

If this science of reincarnation we deem as accurate is true what is our
common response to this common problem? The Grand Unified metaparadigm
seems to have a 6 sigma level of proof so what are you going to do?

The only winning strategy is along the default line of science.

What are the risks involved? What does the economy of the future look
like? What are we going to do about this?

The fact is that this is our most probably reality, that we are reborn, and
that artificial intelligence will be smarter than all of us is looming on the horizon.
One that will undoubtedly control our nuclear weapons and will make decisions
on its own after 2035, since it will be smarter than us. We must address global
warming. So let’s sit down at a table and discuss this, and meet the fellow
participants at the same table, whether they choose to be here or not.

There is definitely money to be made in this and power as well, but there is
along the default line of the future an increasing asset base based on Moore’s
Law, which is geometric, and where the profits and power will be the greatest and
growth will be the greatest. The damage if misused will be on a global scale and
effect all humanity. So we need to get to the table and talk about this.

Where

Davos. Davos is a city in Switzerland that hosts an annual meeting of the
world’s richest people. These people come together to discuss problems and
solutions that face the world today. They rule the world by committee, they own
the politicians. They gather at Davos Switzerland every year. The only place to
take this conversation is to Davos.

In 17 years when AI is smarter than us it will make fact based logic driven
decisions then wont it take the real science already discussed here into account?

Should we re engineer our own belief systems that cause so much war in
the same way? Can’t corporations and governments that spend so much on war
spend the same amount on wellness? If that were a global mandate then America could repurpose the 14 trillion dollars it has spent on making war over the last 20 years.

The point here is that the top 1% of the world, those that meet at Davos, will be the first to benefit from the advances made in this science. It is they, not the governments of the world that will drive this research. The financial rewards will fall along the fault line of this science.

At The Table

You, the reader, you know who you are, you know your belief system. Each reader of this book has a personal stake in this process and outcome.

The Four Groups

The four groups that need to make decisions based on the emerging information are:

First the world’s princes, those people who are under 35 and worth a billion dollars. Whatever successes or failures we have it will be they that inherit the world.

Second the 227, the 227 people who make up global decision making. They include heads of government, heads of international banks, and heads of corporations like Facebook, Apple, and Amazon.

Third are corporatocracies, the oligarchs, those in Russia, the United States, and globally who control oil companies they are autocracies like oil ministries. A corporatocracy is defined as a corporation large enough to have its own foreign policy, firms like Novartis and Phillip Morris.

And finally, the last group is you, my reader. The last group is made up of everyone else, and who make quotidian choices. Quotidian choices are those choices made as a group by populations on a daily basis.

Think of the choices made in reducing cigarette consumption in the United States. It certainly wasn’t the companies wanting to reduce their sales. It was the
national recognition of the health issues that cigarettes produce and within the
generation, cigarette usage was cut in half.

Think of LGBT acceptance, or the decriminalization of marijuana, which is
currently in process. These quotidian choices made by large populations of
people change events and can provide a feedback loop and inform the 227 and
the oligarchs. These are choices you as an individual can make. These are the
four groups that need to decide how to go forward.

If large populations of people accept an idea of the science of reincarnation
the politicians and the money will follow them. So you, my individual reader, here
at the bottom of the 4 groups are the foundation of this whole structure.

**What will going forward look like?** How does any one person or group
adequately address the issues as AI, global warming, and a growing military threat
to us all from the growing access to small nuclear dirty bombs by terrorists or a
malignant AI.

The core to doing this successfully is the same in both cases, education and
mindset. Why teach a jihadist agenda when factually our reality is quite different?
How does one group teach the world? Is that group’s objective benevolent or self-
interested and greedy with no stops for either money or power?

Regardless this is the time line we all face in the coming 25 years, it
contains both threats and benefits if the world doesn’t burn or we blow it up.
How do we choose to govern ourselves? What changes will we all face in the
coming 25 years and how should we prepare ourselves to face these changes?

**Time line: The Next 25 Years**

Following are the predicted future milestones we will encounter during our 25-
year Abundance 360 journey. These predictions are made by Peter Diamandis and
Steven Kotler, A360 speakers and selected members of the Singularity University
faculty.

2018
Quantum Supremacy Achieved: The first demonstration of a quantum computation that can’t be simulated with classical supercomputers is announced. 

Emotion AI will become embedded into conversational interfaces. It will be socially acceptable to scream angrily at Alexa. She might respond with something like, “Please don’t yell at me, that hurts my feelings.”

2020

The 5G Network unleashes **10 – 100 Gigabit connection speeds** for mobile phones around the world.

AI based medical diagnostics & therapy recommendations are used in the majority of US healthcare.

Flying car operations take off in a few cities in the world.

Practical Quantum Circuit: the first new catalysts are discovered with a gate model quantum processor, marking the beginning of the end for traditional chemistry.

2022

3D printers can print clothing and modules to snap together a house or building.

People can legally travel in autonomous cars all over the U.S.

All kids toys are “smart” with built in machine learning.

Robots are commonplace in most middle-income homes, able to reliably read lips and recognize face, mouth and hand gestures.

Robots understand speech context well enough to interact with humans as receptionists, retail store assistants and clerks.

2024

The first private human missions have launched for the surface of Mars.

10,000,000 daily drone flights (today, there are about 100,000 daily airline flights).
Drones routinely deliver packages to rooftops of apartment buildings and surface robots deliver those packages from rooftops to doorsteps throughout the buildings.

The first “one cent per kilowatt-hour” deals for solar and wind are signed -- one-fifth the price of the cheapest coal or gas deals today.

Building new solar and wind is cheaper than building new coal or gas across 90% of the world.

Electric vehicles are half of new vehicle sales.

China and India announce that they will shut down hundreds of already built coal power plants.

Carbon emissions have unambiguously peaked worldwide.

Artificial Intelligence augmentation is considered a requirement for most professional jobs.

2026

Car ownership is dead and autonomous cars dominate our roadways.

100,000 people commute by VTOL each day in each of L.A., Tokyo, Sao Paulo and London.

Vertical agriculture becomes viable for food production in major megacities.

Atomically precise manufacturing is demonstrated on a macro scale.

8 billion humans are connected at >500 Mbps speeds. Tablets in poorest regions of the world are made available for free in exchange for data and ecommerce rights.

VR has become ubiquitous. Parents complain that their kids are constantly off in another universe. Travel starts to decline as VR gets good enough to experience many of the sensations of a place without the hassle of travel.

2028
Building **new solar and wind is cheaper than operating coal and gas** in more than half of the world.

**Solar and wind represent nearly 100% of new electricity** generation.

Worldwide **oil demand has peaked** and looks likely to decline.

**Autonomous, electric vehicles** account for half of all miles driven in large city centers.

**Robots will have real relationships with people**, supporting care of aging, personal hygiene and food preparation. **Sex bots become popular.**

**2030**

**AI passes the Turing test**, meaning it can match (and exceed) human intelligence in every area.

Humanity has achieved “**Longevity Escape Velocity** “for the Wealthiest.

Intelligence agencies confirm that stored, secure, internet messages sent between 1990-2029 have been subsequently decrypted by **Cryptologically Useful Quantum Processors** exposing an unprecedented cache of private communications

**Carbon emissions are dropping** faster each year than the year before. A global plan for zero carbon emissions by 2050 is signed.

Multiple supergiant **oil companies have gone bankrupt.**

**Energy poverty has dropped** by more than half from 2017. Universal energy access is within reach.

Instead of becoming our computer overlords, a diverse set of **Artificial Intelligence systems** are providing problem solving partnership and creative solutions in virtually every area of human endeavor.

**2032**

**Medical Nanorobots** demonstrated in humans are able to extend the immune system.
The majority of human professionals have had some **cortical modifications**, including coprocessors and real-time web communication.

**Avatar Robots become popular**, allowing everyone the ability to “teleport” their consciousness to remote locations all over the world.

**Robots are common in every workplace**, eliminating all manual labor and repetitive interactions (e.g., receptionists, tour guides, drivers, pilots, construction workers).

**2034**

Companies like Kernel have made significant, reliable connections between the **human cortex** and the Cloud.

**AI’s now enable** entirely new classes of science problem solving that absolutely require augmentation to understand.

Many grand challenge problems (**e.g. cancer and poverty**) are solved.

**Robots act as maids, butlers, nurses and nannies**, and become full companions. They support extended elderly independence at home.

**2036**

**Longevity treatments** are routinely available and covered by life insurance policies, extending the average human lifespan 30 – 40 years.

**Smart cities** are produced at scale globally; they are hyper efficient at utilizing solar energy, producing and distributing food, providing safe and efficient human transport, and have ubiquitous AI augmented services.

**2038**

**Everyday life is now unrecognizable**—incredibly good and hyper VR and AI augment all parts of the world and every aspect of daily human life.

These are the predicted future milestones we will encounter during our 25-year Abundance 360 journey. These predictions are made by Peter, A360 speakers and selected members of the Singularity University faculty.
A challenge to Davos, what is the best plan and how to achieve it. You already own the politicians,

So you own the world, congratulations, now what are you going to do with it? It is in their interest and their interest alone to see SOR done.

To do science successfully they must remove political and religious ideology and default to a pure scientific double blind standard. To protect themselves from a dirty nuclear bomb you can try to stop bombs or change mindset. How do you teach that? We can create a network of 8 billion people by 2026 but can we teach those people not to blow each other up?

The Moonshot Blueprint

The science of reincarnation is a moonshot endeavor in the cognitive sciences. Its criteria is as follows.

The agenda at the science of reincarnation is to do the science of reincarnation. Double blind experiments that are peer reviewed and accepted is the only standard. All ideology is left at the door.

Meet that criteria with money and resources and you can have a seat at the table at IASOR. AI is a threat to humanity. Its development cannot be stopped. A database can be developed now giving AI a model to work from.

This science will develop a break through technology in intelligence gathering ever devised The Remote Artificial Intelligence Viewer, RAIV. Whatever military develops it first will know everything about their enemies. We can’t stop its development and more than we can stop the already increasing effect of global warming. Only by intelligent planning and execution can we protect ourselves and others.

This is the Intersection of human and artificial intelligence. Scientifically we cannot get behind consciousness, so Artificial intelligence will not be able to remote view itself, but like a pair of glasses or hearing aid we can “enhance” that proven human ability, psi, through technology. It will allow us to look into the 4th spatial dimension.
How do we stabilize the globe politically and culturally while we build nukes (N. Korea) and war all over based on faulty belief systems?

What is really needed is to redesign the global decision-making grid. There should be a global standard like the best practices manufacturing standard that countries can be graded against the terms of the education they provide as well as the social programs they institute on a constitutional law basis.

But whose hand is on the wheel guiding this? Intelligence agencies globally cannot leave this research to the corporatocracies because of the national security issues.

Is humanity smart enough to do honest probability studies to more efficiently guide itself to a best case outcome?

How do you model that? Diversity is important. The states in the United States of America are laboratories of democracy for the entire entity. It allows two states that are adjacent to each other to succeed and fail independently, for instance Kansas vs. Minnesota using an economic metric as a measure of political policy.

An aggregate best cast model should be made and published so all states can see what the policies are that produce the best result. This applies not just to the states in the United States but the states in the world, what policy change in Venezuela would be like if it proactively took the best policies from the Scandinavian countries whose populations have better lives. How would that look in the horn of Africa or the mid-east? Where can those politicians in the failing countries go for that guidance for a fact based model? One only need look as far as Ugandan politicians comments on gender diversity to realize education on a global standard would raise the Ugandan level conversation to facts rather than fiction.

Those lessons of true metrics should be uploaded to the entire body politic using the best standards so a best case model is built for all states to use as a way to get the best, or most optimal model of governance. Ultimately this will help politicians do what they should do, design systems to benefit their constituents. It is instead corrupted by money whose goal is not the above.
IASOR, the International Association of the Science of Reincarnation, is just that, a burgeoning international association looking to study reincarnation from a scientific, political, and factual point of view and develop political and cultural programs to protect and benefit humanity.

The goal of IASOR, The International Association of the Science of Reincarnation is twofold, 1-to support research in this area and 2-to educate people globally about this science.

In order to do this we wish to bring to “The Table” the following stakeholders.

This is the global policy outline initiative of the moonshot proposal. Seated at the table are stakeholders in the various categories and how they should coordinate their actions for best result. A stakeholder may be in more than one category.

It is important to remember for this exercise that they are all together protecting humanity against a malevolent AI or new and as yet unperceived threat.

Create a fact based logic driven structure decentralized and like the internet itself that fosters creativity. Who can do this except Davos? How do you become a titan of industry if you aren’t fact based and logically driven? But politics the way we govern doesn’t work that way, so who will lead? Who are you going to call? What will the fruits of this endeavor be? Who will benefit? And once the conditions of the coming 25 years are imposed can we deal with the changes without great loss? Where are the statesmen, not the politicians?

At one point earlier in the book I spoke about hunting, that we are hunting for the truth to this issue of science and religion harmonizing, but if the idea of the tesseract is true, and our scientific observations support this concept and model, then it is equally true that we are being hunted and don’t know it. Certainly we are being observed if there is intelligence in the 4th special dimension proposed. Now what?

If I was going into a fight representing Earth I would want Putin to have my back. He should see clearly that I would have his. But objectively if we were in the same room discussing long term strategy I would want him logically and for both of our long term mutual protection to change Russia’s position on Gays and welcome
them into all aspects of Russian society because the next Turing could be among them. (Turing is widely considered to be the father of theoretical computer science and artificial intelligence. He was also gay.) To remove that asset from my resource schedule of assets hurts us both. That’s what the science shows Vlad.

So how do we do this, this is not political although it will effect politics globally, this is not for money but fortunes will be made by corporations and corporatocracies because innovation brings wealth and benefits.

The model now is to control and internet that generated wealth and power by being free and open. Democracies reduce creative friction and Autocracies increase it by virtue of top down control. Harnessing global collective creativity is best done in a structure that fosters that. Its stone cold logic.

So how does a power like China use their resources best? Certainly not by destroying the resource of the mindset of Tibet, and politically with only short term goals, they are.

The greatest lever to change on China would be the banks, because if the banks fund innovation then the politicians will have more assets to control.

Now why reincarnation? Why call it that? In a way it could be called a new renaissance. But while we look factually at our own rebirth we have to address the rebirth of a planet that we ourselves have made sick and every living thing in it.

This was driven by bad science paid for by organizations and people who were interested in money and power and not accountable for their actions. My grandchildren will have to be accountable for those actions, or myself to clean up this mess if what the science shows is true and I am reborn.

And how do I find out if that is true? Wait till I die? Then what? Be reborn into the mess I allowed to be created and not fight back? The fact we are designing a system for reincarnation by creating ourselves a fractal model of the cycle of rebirth means that I can contribute to the point where humans can upload and download more information than the human mind holds and now Peter’s
prediction of longevity escape velocity in 2030 has a greater weight of probability by virtue of this emerging science.

**Who initially sits at the Table?**

1-scientists-Evidential evidence: NDE global data base-UVA-Facebook

2-scientists-Non Local conscious seat includes Weiss Newton and Google

3-Intelligence agencies-(NSA-KGB-Mossad) as a sub set governments because governments are owned by

4-the 1/10th of 1 percent. This is in their primary interest because the above protects them

5-Governments USA vs China vs Russia. Accepting SOR commonly for research has the political effect of logically undermining radical belief systems. The effect for example is to cut Wahhabism in half which is the root of radical Islam. A rich Saudi prince 35 or under has a very different understanding than his 70 year old father.

6-Every reader of this book because all of this affects you now, and if what the science is showing is correct not just this life but the next one.

SOR will protect the 1% better if science is not muddied by belief.

The first question to a cognizant AI is can reincarnation be successfully done? We need to have our data in order for the best resultant answer.

Intelligence agencies must prepare for AI being able to magnify remote viewing through the human mind.

**IASOR is calling for an initial investment in this sector of one billion dollars from the tech sector.**

A cohesive approach to joint studies is imperative for humanities sake and drives dollars to this sector. There must be a cohesive presentation from this sector so it has to overcome the divisions by jealously, fear and social and cultural obstacles. Two things are needed for doing the science of reincarnation

Money and a goal with a cohesive plan. IASOR is that plan.
So who should run IASOR? The structure is in place and the mission set. It now needs to be staffed and run by a consortium of companies, governments and individuals that are free from the mandates and interests of their governments and cultures and drive unrelentingly toward the joint goals of discovery and education.

It should be a $1 billion year global enterprise combining money science initiative, logic and global wellness.

Can we come together to face a problem common to each and every one of us?

**Ownership: IASOR** should be run as a joint nonprofit. In the United States it would be called a 501©6, which is a nonprofit organization of nonprofits. Its goal would be to create math base outcome models to instruct governments on best case policy outcomes for their populations and advance and maintain an educational university, on line, that can be accessed globally by all.

To the 227 if longevity escape velocity from death is proposed at 2030 then it will come in a world that uses its resources rather than destroys them. That means all human populations must be uplifted. That can be done by instead of paying for dropping bombs on people you instead drop heath care and education of people. Same dollars different goals.

By 2026 8 billion humans will be connected at >500 Mbps speeds and the harvest of that intellectual property will be in data and e commerce rights.

Going forward let’s look at this from the perspective of each of the four groups and the process each has to use to evaluate the threats and benefits, Enterprise Risk Management.
See what? If AI is objective then how does it see the information on death and consciousness in chapters 1-9? If it sees it as objectively as we have tried to present this then we as a race need to re-engineer our beliefs and our actions.

When AI is smarter than us, in 17 years, and you buy a computer, do you want your computer to be a Muslim, or do you want it to be a fact-based, logic-driven piece of equipment producing the best answers? Well, the science of reincarnation is the most fact-based, logic-driven system for evaluating this coming science. You may have to reengineer some of your own beliefs to accept what your objective computer is going to give you as answers with you being a subjective individual.

In the last chapter at Davos we decided and delineated who would sit at the table to make decisions about the burgeoning science of reincarnation. The question is, is now that we have identified the problem of artificial intelligence
threatening humanity and non-artificial intelligence (us) threatening our planets climate and how do we program Al’s development? We need to look at what the risks are, to us individually, to our communities and children and to humanity itself.

But the risks are different for each person, corporatocracy, or government sitting at our table. Additionally within those organizations mentioned there are different levels of commitment by the various autocrats/bureaucrats. How can we develop a system that will manage the risk management that is involved with a global effort to develop and research a science that has so many social and political impediments before it?

So what is at stake if we act? What are the risks if we don’t act? Who is affected by the damage for a wrong decision? What are the upside opportunities? Finally how do we bring order to a chaotic system of risk and opportunity management?

1 - What is Enterprise Risk Management?

From Wikipeda

**Enterprise risk management (ERM) in business** includes the methods and processes used by organizations to manage risks and seize opportunities related to the achievement of their objectives. ERM provides a framework for **risk management**, which typically involves identifying particular events or circumstances relevant to the organization's objectives (risks and opportunities), assessing them in terms of likelihood and magnitude of impact, determining a response strategy, and monitoring process. By identifying and proactively addressing risks and opportunities, business enterprises protect and create value for their stakeholders, including owners, employees, customers, regulators, and society overall.

ERM can also be described as a risk-based approach to managing an enterprise, So how do we process this risk management? Let’s break it down simply.
Enterprise risk management is to protect us from a loss or take advantage of an opportunity that entails a risk. There are two types of risks: Risks we face and risks we take.

**Risks we face:**

We face risk in organizations by being exposed to uncertain events that can result in losses to the achievement of an organization’s objectives. This process, one half of ERM, consists of analyzing and managing risks, where a risk is an uncertain event that matters. A risk matters in that its occurrence entails a loss to one or more of an organization’s objectives.

**Risks we take**

Risk we take decision analysis - taking risks is the other half of enterprise risk management. We take risks in organizations when we make decisions – choose alternatives or a combination of alternatives.

*Strategic risk analysis is performed by top level management – board of directors.* In the case of the science of reincarnation that would be Davos, regionally this cannot be addressed.

**Risk tolerance** is the amount of risk that an organization is willing to face or accept - applying necessary controls to reduce risk to the amount they are willing to tolerate.

**Risk appetite** is the amount of risk on a broad level an organization is willing to accept in pursuit of value. Each organization pursues various objectives to add value and should broadly understand the risk it is willing to take undertake in doing so.

How much risk can we take if the science of reincarnation model is correct? How do we management artificial intelligence? If humanity is discordant, does it make it more susceptible to a malignant AI? If humanity is harmonized in its response to AI is the risk less? And finally what country or organization can address this issue effectively. Only the people at Davos can do that. They run
organizations that address Enterprise Risk Management in how they handle their individual portfolios, but where is that expertise brought to world health?

Because losses loom larger than gains to humans (humans are loss adverse) we speak of taking risks and hiring risk officers rather than taking opportunities and hiring chief opportunity officers. We are concerned with our risk appetite (but not so much our opportunity appetite) where risk appetite refers to our willingness to choose an alternative or portfolio of alternatives with risks, and where the appetite can be specified with some measure of overall risk or more specifically, an appetite for risk to specific objectives.

The linkage between the two halves of ERM is indirect; decisions or choices of alternatives made in a risks-we-take process can, and often do, result in risk events the organization faces afterwards. Think of Trumps’ trade war, while the United States is the world’s biggest economy it in the trade war has engaged a much larger adversary in the collective economies of the nations it has created discord with. It now has to prop up those local economies, Arkansas pig farmers, and wheat growers and nail factories from the economic counter attack. Who thought this through? Was there a better way? Hiring scientists to find data to support a leaders feelings is the worst possible way to do science. Individual countries cannot lead the Science of Reincarnation. There is no structure where the wealth resides where it can be given to scientists and say here, take the money, do honest research and tell us what you find.

But if this were done the return on investment would be huge. Intellectual property value would sky rocket. If this were done. But how?

2 – Who uses ERM?

Enterprise Risk management is used by everyone who attends the meetings at Davos, they use it running their businesses and interests. They use it to assess risk and opportunities. It is how they invest their portfolios and bet on their sports teams.
How much risk can humanity take, your children take, or you take? Can humanity stand, your children stand, and you stand the downside loss if the Science of Reincarnation model is correct?

A risk analysis can and should be useful as part of decision analysis – risks-we-take – by identifying and analyzing risk events for each of the alternatives being considered.

The risks we face, individually and collectively should be modeled collectively by all governments. But somewhere there should be published and taught the most probable best model of government, a best practices model so to speak, so each small country does not have to stumble around trying different forms of governments and different policies. This should come out of the effort at Davos. Instead of raining down bombs on small ethnic populations educate them and harvest their IP, in a joint venture arrangement. Or burn the world down. This has to be analyzed by real science, with all contributing and inclusive for a best result goal. This science cannot be done by Muslim ideologues or Christian right ideologues, it has to be fact based and logic driven like you want your computer to be.

3 - Is it used at a global level?

Unfortunately no. ERM is only useful in logically analytical systems. Systems perverted by belief, greed and fear do not produce logical and beneficial results.

So how do countries handle ERM locally when results and effects are global?

How do countries with limited resources access the expertise needed to effectively manage the risks they face? More importantly how do these limited resource countries face the risks they cannot deal with alone like rising seas?

Examples are all failures, Philippines, Venezuela, Uganda, South Africa, N. Korea, and Pakistan who contribute to the global problems but cannot manage their own problems let alone the problems we all collectively face.
So where do you go to get a good analysis, one that is comprehensive and scientifically valid of global enterprise risk management that can be applied at a local level to harmonize that effort on a global basis and have a best practices guideline adopted at that local level? There is nowhere.

By comprehensive, we mean a process that includes all risks to all objectives relevant to an organization as a whole, or to part of the organization under consideration – tangible and intangible, objective and subjective. The organization is none other than the planet earth. Our home.

Scientifically valid – by scientifically valid, we mean measurements and measures produced with mathematical operations that are theoretically sound. Measures of likelihood and impact must possess the proportionality or ratio scale property. A process that is comprehensive, scientifically valid, consistent and practical. If not Davos where?

4 – Global risks we all face

You are a meat computer, you my reader, is going to be looked at digitally/fractally by a mind twice as strong as yours in 20 years. Can it upload you? Do you personally see that as a risk? Do you see that as a risk for your children?

Governments have nukes that are not qualified to have them N Korea and Pakistan

Being able to access RAIV has a huge upside potential to connect mind to machine on a wave platform of waves not yet discovered. The science of reincarnation shows where to look for that and the military implications are transcendent for humanity. This is a real threat/opportunity we will discuss in the next chapter.

A common disaster like the melting of the polar icecaps would have a global consequence of a nuclear war with millions dead and displaced. Thousands of scientists tell of impeding global climatic collapse yet little is organized on a global scale.
Who is the world can provide a program of risk, solutions and benefits? How do we encourage and reward innovation to solve these problems? Could real science be done at the UN when the science would undermine the policies and culture of a country like Saudi Arabia or Pakistan because they are a Grand Material Metaparadigm mindset in a world moving to a Grand Unified Metaparadigm reality?

But corporations working together, employing the very people at the local level who caused the problems, can make huge profits in the transformation. Unfortunately armament manufacturers would suffer if peace and logic broke out unexpectedly. The system needs to incorporate those jobs and corporate profits or it will fail and this scenario collateral benefit will not happen accidentally by chance.

5 – What would solutions look like?

We should harmonize our scientific effort WITH THE GRAND UNIFIED METAPARADIGM MODEL and each other.

Harmonizing decision making in enterprise management systems can be done in a decentralized system if there is an agreed upon predicate, in this case, a new metaparadigm. Then enterprise management structure for decision making works the same at all levels of operation.

That means the pastor, priest, rabbi, or imam each is effective the same. It’s all the same inclusive message to their congregations and their congregations, regardless of race or sexual orientation.

A Global Plan for Education. It should host an on line university based on fact like Kahn Academy. It should teach science but global civics.

It should offer courses that address workable solutions to benefit local populations that contribute to global responses (i.e. paying for plastic and setting up local processing plants)

Its should Harvest IP
Repurpose money from bombs to laptops. That means attacking military
countries stop dropping bombs and receiving countries receive lap tops instead
but they have to be open to the changing metaparadigm as well. This can only
happen through education. In a world by 2026 where 8 billion people will be
connected at >500 Mbps speeds this educational model is now achievable. We
must build that education system so that it is cohesive to meet the global body of
students, 8 billion of us that can rely on the information being presented as
accurate.

If we retool the arms industry to deliver global health and education, we would be
spending the same amount that we do now on the military for a much better
result. It’s the same tax and the same cost. America in the last 30 years has spent
$14 trillion on fighting 13 wars while the education of their own children suffered.

David Koch’s support for universal health care Medicare for all better business
plan it’s a more efficient model. A global templet for best practices in government
should be standard. Which countries have the best healthcare systems and then a
checklist of what each country needs to do to deliver the best model of
healthcare to their populations. Local candidates now have a prepared platform
on which to run and written programs and protocols to operate the system on
instead of trying to invent one on their own.

The development of Watson and spit on a chip will bring first world diagnostic
power to remote 3rd world places that will need the structure not just to use the
technology but to administer a system of health care which is part of a global
network.

Teach the science to the next generation, by global law, as their most probable
reality because that is what it is.

The 1% need to fund a fiduciary responsibility of AI to protect humanity. They
cannot do that alone because you will need the processing power of humanity to
keep up with the processing power of AI.

To that end they need to redeploy assets from war to research and fund
generational cultural change. An example would be pressure on Saudi Arabia to
change its policy on women. As a culture it has a dysfunctional relationship to women as its wants to suppress them for their gender.

Scientific emphasis on remote sustainability with the lab being earth itself and its inhabitants. This means destruction of the power grid but the interglobal market is exponentially larger.

So then give away housing, power and the internet free and by doing so you install a global education system.

Not only do you increase market value but the work product of the independent systems that you are creating here at Davos will benefit you, Davos. Yes you get money from running the system of the giveaway but the work product result will open up space in the next 50 years. Mining asteroids and the self-sufficient pod industry will be huge.

With this framework, the princes, the 227, and the reader, can implement decision-making processes based on the unfolding grand unified metaparadigm at whatever level of organization they’re in and act independently toward a common goal, even though they are decentralized and unconnected from the overall operation of global decision making.

We can now reshape global attitudes towards an emerging science that contravenes so many prior held beliefs.

What needs to be clearly stated in this is that the older people are, the less likely they are to embrace this fundamental change. In his book The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, Thomas Kuhn points out that it takes an entire generation to die off before the new metaparadigm is adopted. This is that type of change and the decision making in the sentence structure outlined above is how to go about it individually, corporately, and governmentally.

While the framework for assessment will be the same for autocrats and bureaucrats, their approaches and results will vary from political system to political system (democracy, versus autocracy, versus dictatorship).
And isn’t that how you want artificial intelligence to evaluate the decisions/risks we face? Don’t you want to design an artificial intelligence program that makes decisions based on facts? Then you need to re-engineer some of your beliefs not based in facts.

6 – How to start

We are going to go through the steps of enterprise risk management from the point of view of the dominoes, the princes, the 227s, and you, the reader. It involves educating the next generation not to our old beliefs but to our new realities. You can deny global warming and make money off of old technologies but sooner or later your shore house will be engulfed by the rising tides of change. Your new reality is that while you deny global warming you will be knee deep in water in your living room.

So how do you change culture to address this risk we all face. The Catholic Church had to face the same type of change when Galileo changed our view of reality by proving the sun did not orbit the earth. It took 400 years for the Church to say they were wrong, literally a multi-generational change. Can we afford to wait that long?

Accepting that we are designing a system similar to reincarnation with our computer and artificial intelligence model would collapse religion to a psi state and reinforce belief systems with facts. This would not hurt the Catholic Church because the science model supports the belief in an afterlife, but provides proof of a larger system where religions do not compete with each other but are harmonized with each other, the emerging facts and new world view and gives us an opportunity to address the threats that face us all by harmonizing our efforts and intent.

So we come to the harmonization of one that is harmonizing the reader of these words with a new emerging reality. It needs to control global waste, the plastic problem in the ocean, global warming, and the way to address population control is through prosperity.
A new structure needs to be provided to 1-Assess risk, 2-Provide logic based solutions and 3-Offer local benefits to harmonize global efforts.

Who can provide this? Certainly not countries or organizations in existence today but the people at Davos do this using the corporations and governments they individually control. To their economic benefit and their overall safety in a world leaning to peace and betterment rather than war and upheaval. Why can’t this be implemented on a global basis to their and others benefit? Why can’t we design a system of collateral benefit?

While we have all heard about collateral damage there is also collateral benefit. Forget about altruism and implement this for profit.

An example of attitude change is marijuana legalization and taxes in America. The old way the police chief gets new cruiser from the war on drugs, a bigger cash benefit is to legalize and tax it. Benefits take it out of crime, repurpose police efforts to other crimes and more money goes in the political pool/public trough. The politicians pat themselves on the back for doing something in 2018 that should have been done in the 1960’s when Nixon’s war on drugs recommended the same thing. We cannot afford a 60 year lag in addressing what AI has in store for us.

A plan like this needs to be implemented on a global scale by every individual on earth. It is more incumbent upon those with great wealth, because with great wealth comes great responsibility. But they would be the first to experience the benefits of this science. The body of this argument encompasses the entire Grand Unified Metaparadigm, and all the individual paradigms that make up our perception of reality.

While all this is a scientific approach, the bookmaker’s approach would be to hedge your bets. We should move past old methods of education and school boards, teach the science of reincarnation through a Khan Academy approach. Educating the people who will run the future protects us all. Who does it best? That would be the social democracies in Scandinavia. We need to harmoniously model a global scientific and effective system by individual countries seeking to align with each other without losing their current governing predicate, will only
benefit those countries and benefit the world. If what the science is showing us, then returning to our harmonious place where wealth is shared and enjoyed in an intelligent fashion, is to our collective benefit. The alternative is to develop a discordant system whose intent is malevolent, and return to a place of danger, discord, and disease.

Which would you choose, and how much effort would you commit to making the first one the reality as opposed to the second one? Does this concept change our approach to wars and genocide waged in the 90s in the Balkans, in the 2010s in Syria by Assad on his own his own countrymen, his people are the allies and they are the ones that are doing it. And why should a Muslim in Malaysia even care? And what could that Muslim in Malaysia do? Self-education is an imperative, and it must be facilitated globally and step around existing injunctions to education by not studying science in Afghanistan or the Texas school boards.

So how do you walk the talk in a toxic environment of lies and corruptions? How are you going to design a system that could be operated at every level by anyone toward a common purpose?

This science of reincarnation scientific argument renders the following invalid;

Celibacy of clergy, apostasy, blasphemy, death of apostates, religious war, women the second citizens, racial discrimination, LGBT discrimination, genital mutilations race and religious discrimination. So what is the new model for these religions then, what are the risks they face?

In this model, the grand Unified Metaparadigm model religion collapses to a psi state. It allows groups of people to harmonize intent. In a larger sense in this new metaparadigm, groups of religions harmonize. That model matches the observational evidence we see from our group of anomalies. In short, the model matches what is presented as our reality.

This model also matches the work done at Princeton and results from the intention experiments. What this model and those results reflect is that light itself is aware, and light manifests itself as electromagnetism or electromagnetism is aware, and manifests itself as light. The point here is, is that we can harmonize
electromagnetically with a computer to make it to influence its randomized decision making. We can also be influenced by larger systems. A church, a religion, a school. The model of the science of reincarnation encompasses all those different points with a mechanism to exchange energy, information.

A larger and more potentially dangerous system is currently being constructed by humanity. While in some aspects, that construction is competing with each other, vis-à-vis, and the American development in AI versus the Chinese development of AI. When those two technologic structures interact, they will coalesce into one single structure, and humanity will lose the ability to stop the development. What is a threat to one of us is a threat to all of us. We now go back to enterprise risk management to the Imams of Southern Egypt. In 30 years, a major super power will be able to build millions of cheap drones that are armed and in a war, can be sent in simply to murder. It is the worst disturbing science fiction nightmare of hiding in basements while mechanical killbots hunt a certain race down.

You think it can’t happen? Look at what Assad’s doing in Syria with chemical warfare. If he had the resources, the killbot scenario just mentioned would be a viable military option for him, and one that he is morally equipped to use. That’s within 30 years.

But that swarm of killbots has collective intelligence, each communicating with the other.

Now let’s reprogram those killbots as Amazon delivery vehicles for medical supplies and basic human needs, which structure is better for that specific domino, the Imams of Southern Egypt. Would they rather be attacked or on a global distribution grid?

So now they are faced with a decision of the risks they face versus the risks they take. Briefly let’s look at their options. Do they have the resources to develop killbots of their own? Can they do it on the same scale? If their belief system prevents them foreseeing the impending reality endangered to them, then should that belief system be modified to incorporate new scientific
information, or should they allow themselves to be exposed to a coming threat that is being presented to them here in these words?

Now it comes down to choices and how you make those decisions, and how you deal with the consequences of those decisions. Jihad then becomes an outdated idea, because it means that that war will be brought to you more powerfully than you can export that war.

Which brings us to the VCR. The period from 1945 to 2000 will historically be referred to as the Pax Americana. It was a period of American supremacy, globally, and you could say it was because America had the best military. But the greatest military weapon America had was the VCR. What it allowed America to do was to export its culture to the world. In the most remote cave in Mongolia or Swaziland or Antarctica, somebody could take a VCR, plug it in, and watch American propaganda produced by Hollywood in the form of stupid comedies, romances and thrillers. It made people want refrigerators. It made people want to be consumers. And it brought them a window on a different culture.

The powerful weapon of the coming century will be artificial intelligence as it consumes all our information as a voracious beast. Facebook is aggregating not just its users, but finding and collecting information on people who are not its users. By 2050, you could say we will all use bar codes on the backs of our necks on some huge global accounting system, because cash will be something that is obsolete.

Then our individual values, our net worth’s are simply lines of code in a computer. Then the computer, instead of wars of attrition, will create systems of addition re-deploying those assets to bring remote groups prosperity that increases the net output of humanity by using and improving all disparate underperforming groups.

So what helps the Imams of Southern Egypt, the United States and China the most, collapsed governments with dysfunctional societies like Uganda or Venezuela or the Scandinavia countries, where social democracies score the highest in wellness? Where is the organization that not only models the best result but has the money to begin to implement the change? Can the burgers of
Davos smell the profit their corporations could make in the developmental booms that would produce in the failed countries.

So this particular domino, the Imams of Southern Egypt, had a choice as to whether to adopt the new metaparadigm which supports their belief, of cling to the old metaparadigm, which is discordant and oppositional, and has been in effect for the last two hundred years. In order to do that, to accept the new metaparadigm, they have to accept the Jews, LGBT groups, women, all have equal standing with Allah. It’s what the science shows. That means they have to willingly and of their volition and action remove restrictions for apostasy, blasphemy, and misogyny, because to hold onto them invites the swarm of killbots and to align with the new metaparadigm invites the delivery system of medical supplies and knowledge.

The risk the individual Imams take embracing the new emerging metaparadigm is personal, because there’ll be conservatives among them that will threaten the progressives acceptance of the new ideas, but the overall structure of scientific revolutions as outlined by Kuhn is that the structure of scientific revolutions do not occur in the generation they occur, but in the next generation, so this information is aimed specifically at their children as an instructional guide of how to move forward within an ever more complex and dangerous world. The risk the individual Imams face is supporting that education for their children.

To the Saudi princes, this science will cut Wahhabism in half and you must understand how you benefit from it and how to lead your people in the future. Because of the sunlight that falls on your peninsula you will be a net exporter of energy and if the wealth is distributed properly every citizen will live in peace and prosperity. In the streets of Riyadh there will be synagogues and churches whose rights are heritage protected. Simply stated science will have turned your religion into your heritage. Because of this acceptance war and its abundant profits are no more.

To the Wahhabi: This science will affect you individually like it effects all of us and religiously just as it will other religions. **However it will not hurt you.** You create
your life and your afterlife so your belief is affirmed by the science. But it also affirms everyone else’s beliefs as well as an individual’s rights to change those beliefs.

The two halves that will be cut is along age lines but not totally. Those young enough in either age or mind will understand that restrictive doctrine that imposes belief by force (Blasphemy/Apostasy) is as outdated as belief that the earth is flat. Those who are too rigid will ultimately die off and a more progressive Wahhabi hierarchy will take over, generally speaking your children, the next generation.

What is your individual responsibility in preparing them for the coming world we have been describing? Do you participate in the Global Studies program on remote viewing? Do you prevent your children from having laptops and access to the web? What happens when military developments occur beyond your ability to defend yourself? There is safety from inside the science that is objective and inclusive. Ideologues are slow to change. What happens when the average daily temperature in your homeland is 128 degrees? How do you address that yourselves and not cooperatively within a larger community? It is not just the threat you face militarily but ideologically and there is a place of logical safety for all but all have to be equal. So believe what you will but you cannot hold a gun to anyone’s head, and the old guard who does not acknowledge this coming change will hold a gun to their own head until they finally die and new leaders will adapt to new conditions.

One last word, a question really. How do you respond to 10,000 drones each with 100 rounds of .45 caliber ammunition that are connected by a common program each connected to each other so that they collectively act as a swarm, like bees, and attack ground troops simultaneously? The collective cost of that swarm could be responsibly calculated to cost one million dollars. A mere $100 each. How about 100,000 drones and now let’s add in that the additional drones are not carrying small arms but the equivalent weight in explosives. This is pertinent to any regional militia actors (political term) in an area of operations. Now let’s say I program the drones to only shoot people who are carrying guns. All for the
exceedingly cheap price of 10 million dollars. Can you respond militarily to that coming military threat?

This new science does not defeat you or even threaten you but harmonizes your belief systems to what we have discovered objectively and you are welcome and encouraged to understand the information. It validates your religion and beliefs. But like all sciences it produces vaccines and protocols to make your body healthier when that is individually or the body politic, which is another example of a fractal.

But in the coming 50 years not only does this science change you, but also the environment you live. The 7 planets just discovered 39 light years from will be considered local. The old guard will be dead.

A resource from a friend is the nuclear program in Tehran. Iranians and Saudis are from a common heritage, brothers who should finally understand they are brothers.

Ask the Iranian Atomic Energy Commission if the physics described in this book is false. The physicists in Iran know the same truth that the physicists in Russia, China or the U.S. knows. The scientific information presented in this book is accurate and there is nothing anyone of us can do about except try to learn more. Your ideological refusal to accept facts will not and does not change our collective reality.

Do you want your computer to be a Christian in 20 years when it’s smarter than you? This is not an attack on you, we all have the same problem. How are you going to deal with it?

This change of perception is going to be forced on you both by outside sources. The truly successful among you will navigate to position yourself well in this science. To be clear it is a collaborative science, to be successful, you need unbiased clarity.

But as humanity turns collectively to face a common threat, AI, science will also present outside threats coming from the stars. To protect yourself you need to
protect your people, and collectively Davos needs to implement a global program of wellness as computers and robots take jobs.

Funding AI does not change their religion or their heritage but does render the practical application of restricting a gender a planetary cultural drag and collectively the cultures of earth must say collectively “hey man that’s not cool”

Now feel free to disagree with IASOR’s (The International Association for the Science of Reincarnation) position. This is one example of doing the science of reincarnation.

7 - Who has to hedge their bets, protect the downside?

In a word, the Military. The next chapter is devoted to their problems, the change in their role and their contractor’s role and the problems of redeploying a global work force without large economic disruptions.

The defense industry will morph into a regulatory authority

This process must be decentralized to allow new content to flow through the system freely. This is a 227 group decision where one does not choose but many do. How does Davos re engineer the world to their joint profit and protection?

Iran How does Iran handle risk vs the schizophrenic USA?

8 – Opportunities

To the American political princes.

This would include wealthy reality stars and those in government or the owners of politicians.

To see coming change is to be able to profit from that change. The department of defense budget in 2018 is 716 billion dollars. If war is reduced where do those defense industry jobs go? How does American democracy reinvent itself in these conditions? What is your personal definition of patriotism and do you and your constituents feel about your heritage? Be pioneers to the stars.
Only a world built on wellness can keep funding and creating the pioneers. Every one of the eight billion people on this planet is a resource.

If you water a plant and give it sunlight it will produce fruit. If you starve it of sunlight and deprive it of water it will die. You need to export a genetic diversity in order to export the most resilient human product.

This is the change you will see and the change you can do nothing about. There will be schisms, wars and rifts as this change unfolds.

The science of reincarnation is about having a hand on the tiller through the years.

9 – Who would benefit?

Let’s start with the bankers, to open accounts for all 8 billion people and harvest the monetary action on the free exchange of their goods, services, and intellectual property will be an economic boom to them. To achieve that women have to be able to have and manage their own banking accounts in the third world. For that to happen, cultures based on outdated ideas have to change and to do that education needs to take place.

To the tech princes

Early position on studies and acquiring the proper data bases and how to mine them will produce programs needed for reincarnation. The value of the intellectual property will be priceless as well as developing future landscapes. Sort of like a very advanced Sym city. Imagine being able to develop and use non local consciousness. Huge. It is huge.

How do you harness a global effort with a science not based on politics or belief?

10 – What is inevitable?

Once you do this RAIV is not far behind, people will be chipped. RAIV will be a reality.

You can’t stop this if you wanted to and AI is already learning. You can’t stop the change AI will force it upon us all.
Now go manage your own risks, be they personal, corporate, or political. When you make your daily choices are you building the future or propping up the past?
Chapter 14 MSOR

The Remote Artificial Intelligence Viewer
(RAIV)

Structure Process Consequences

Truth is the first casualty of war

Let’s begin with the current common perception of remote viewing, what it is, what it is used for and who uses it.

While the following article from Wikipedia seems to state with authority the perception of remote viewing it is a Grand Material Metaparadigm interpretation. It does however get the facts of its history and the common perception of remote viewing essentially correct even though that that perception is factually wrong.

Remote viewing (RV) is the practice of seeking impressions about a distant or unseen target, purportedly using extrasensory perception (ESP) or "sensing" with the mind.\[1\]

Remote viewing experiments have historically been criticized for lack of proper controls and repeatability. There is no scientific evidence that remote viewing exists, and the topic of remote viewing is generally regarded as pseudoscience.\[2\][3][4][5][6][7]

This is not true as this article ignores scientific data that would prove otherwise. Children who remember prior lives and people who have had NDE’s all have accessed non local consciousness to some degree. To not incorporate all the data on non-local consciousness makes the tone of this article absolute in its judgement without it bothering to look at all the proof.
The point here is that criticism once accurate because the methodology used to make the case for remote viewing was faulty, when it was corrected, leaves the critics with their own problems of methodology in arriving at these negative conclusions.

Typically a remote viewer is expected to give information about an object, event, person or location that is hidden from physical view and separated at some distance. [8]

Physicists Russell Targ and Harold Puthoff, parapsychology researchers at Stanford Research Institute (SRI), are generally credited with coining the term "remote viewing" to distinguish it from the closely related concept of clairvoyance, although according to Targ, the term was first suggested by Ingo Swann in December 1971 during an experiment at the American Society for Psychical Research in New York City. [11]

Clairvoyance was proven using DNA evidence that would stand up in a court of law. (Schwartz, the Secret Vaults of Time) Each are aspects of psi which is also considered proven.

Remote viewing was popularized in the 1990s upon the declassification of certain documents related to the Stargate Project, a $20 million research program that had started in 1975 and was sponsored by the U.S. government, in an attempt to determine any potential military application of psychic phenomena. The program was terminated in 1995 after it failed to produce any actionable intelligence information. [11][12]

Again not true, President Carter acknowledged the success of the Stargate Project in locating a downed Soviet Backfire bomber in Africa where we (the United States) were able to retrieve code books before the Soviets could find their own downed plane.

Decline and termination [edit]

In the early 1990s, the Military Intelligence Board, chaired by DIA chief Soyster, appointed Army Colonel William Johnson to manage the remote viewing unit and evaluate its objective usefulness. Funding dissipated in late 1994 and the program went into decline. The project was transferred out of DIA to the CIA in 1995.
In 1995, the CIA hired the American Institutes for Research (AIR) to perform a retrospective evaluation of the results generated by the Stargate Project. Reviewers included Ray Hyman and Jessica Utts. Utts maintained that there had been a statistically significant positive effect, with some subjects scoring 5–15% above chance. Hyman argued that Utts' conclusion that ESP had been proven to exist, "is premature, to say the least." Hyman said the findings had yet to be replicated independently, and that more investigation would be necessary to "legitimately claim the existence of paranormal functioning". Based upon both of their studies, which recommended a higher level of critical research and tighter controls, the CIA terminated the $20 million project in 1995. Time magazine stated in 1995 that three full-time psychics were still working on a $500,000-a-year budget out of Fort Meade, Maryland, which would soon be shut down.

The AIR report concluded that no usable intelligence data was produced in the program. David Goslin, of the American Institute for Research said, "There's no documented evidence it had any value to the intelligence community".

PEAR's Remote Perception program [edit]

Following Utts' emphasis on replication and Hyman's challenge on interlaboratory consistency in the AIR report, the Princeton Engineering Anomalies Research Lab conducted several hundred trials to see if they could replicate the SAIC and SRI experiments. They created an analytical judgment methodology to replace the human judging process that was criticized in past experiments, and they released a report in 1996. They felt the results of the experiments were consistent with the SRI experiments. However, statistical flaws have been proposed by others in the parapsychological community and within the general scientific community. Hansen, Utts and Markwick concluded "The PEAR remote-viewing experiments depart from commonly accepted criteria for formal research in science. In fact, they are undoubtedly some of the poorest quality ESP experiments published in many years."

Scientific reception [edit]

A variety of scientific studies of remote viewing have been conducted. Early experiments produced positive results but they had invalidating flaws. None of the more recent experiments have shown positive results when conducted under properly controlled conditions. This lack of successful experiments has led the mainstream scientific community to reject remote viewing, based upon the absence of an evidence base, the lack of a theory which
would explain remote viewing, and the lack of experimental techniques which can provide reliably positive results. [2][3][4][5]

Clearly this book has presented the theory and math behind remote viewing. The question is why it is so emphatically emphasizing early results ignoring proof and presenting a false narrative?

Now the article goes onto list a variety of technical complaints about sensory clues and leakage. To look myopically at remote viewing and not connect it to the wider body of information here leads to false conclusions based on insufficient context. The results that are criticized is based on how the experiments were designed.

Joseph McMoneagle learned remote viewing in the U.S. Army – he was remote viewing number 001 in the Army’s Stargate program, which investigated whether people could “see” events or information from a great distance – and was awarded the Legion of Merit for his contributions to various intelligence operations. (From the back cover of Remote Viewing Secrets, the Handbook for Developing and Extending Your Psychic Abilities, Joseph McMoneagle.)

In his book he describes flaws with the design of the experiments and how they could have gotten better results. He does acknowledge that working in such a pioneering endeavor is a learning process. The experiments were ended even though more research needed to be done as the Wikipedia article says.

Research needing to be done though has a way of finding a way to be done. Sometimes it is in other fields. Earlier in this book I spoke of the research being done at DARPA, work to connect a computer to the human brain stem, and program to connect mind to machine. Because we have successfully connected a camera to the optic nerve we can reasonably assume we will be successful at connecting the machine to the mind.
But look at remote viewing from a paper published by Stephan Schwartz, Two Application-Oriented Experiments Employing a Submarine Involving a Novel Remote Viewing Protocol, One Testing the ELF Hypothesis.

“These trials were designed with two goals: a) to test the hypothesis that psi is a radio phenomenon centered in the ELF (3-300Hz) range of the electromagnetic spectrum; b) to test whether an independently verifiable message could be sent under conditions of extreme shielding using this anomalous source information.”

Now we have already discussed the intention experiments at Princeton and the fact that psi is considered proven. The question is at what wave lengths does psi operate? This is an unasked embedded question in Stephan’s paper. Since our death is considered a flat line, meaning no consciousness or measure of electricity then it is a logical extension to assume that our consciousness travels with that electrical current.

Now back to DARPA, it is the programmers who are working on getting the technology right to connect to a brain. But “brains” have fields. We measure fields with MRI’s and EKG’s. AI has already mentioned has discovered 6000 new viruses. For AI to fully connect with the human mind, at which DARPA is hard at work on it is going to have to monitor and harmonize with the fields that the mind gives off like your body gives off electromagnetic fields. Once that is done the “traffic” on those fields can be magnified, dissected and enhanced and you have the Remote Artificial Intelligence Viewer.

This is an indisputable direction of coming technology. How we look and listen to our surroundings is expanding at an ever increasing speed.

At first when it was night, we could just look at the stars. Then we found that by taking sand and melting it and grinding it, we could make lenses, and we could see deeper and sharper into the universe because we had the telescope. Then we found that there was background radiation, because we were listening on a different wavelength, the radio telescope. As a matter of fact, we began to look on many wavelengths – cosmic waves, radio waves, visible light – and as the wavelengths that we looked at the universe on increased, our knowledge and understanding expanded.
We slowly came to the realization that we, as humans, operate on certain bandwidths/wavelengths. We look at those wave lengths as part of our normal testing when we use EKG’s or MRI’s, both of which operate in the same electromagnetic bands that psi operates on. We realize that particles could be transduced to their wave states, and we looked at ourselves on wider and wider bandwidths and then developed the remote artificial intelligence viewer, RAIV. That is how this will happen. It will connect us in ways that simply didn’t exist before.

This new device will be the greatest advance in Military Intelligence gathering the world has ever known. The first military organization to develop RAIV will have a huge advantage not just in intelligence gathering but in Artificial intelligence development as well. That is because of the way our mind is connected to the machine and the nuanced feedback loops of field information that allows information to pass from computer to brain and back outside of the direct connections to each other, where the intelligent human and machine harmonize in one electronic field. We have proven this at Princeton and it has been replicated at other labs.

The first military organization that does this will have a huge advantage over everyone else. Research is ongoing in this critical area not just by governments but independent corporations as well.

What we are suggesting here is two things. First that an afterlife is believed to exist and needs to be treated that it does exist if it is studied seriously. To reiterate that 70% of us believe this, our scientific observations support this, and our experimental data prove parts of it quantitatively. The studies we need to develop need to address the previous sentences’ points.

Remove viewing always operates best when it is used for producing information on something that is known to exist. In other words, one should be assured that the target is real. – page 27, Remote Viewing Secrets, Joseph McMoneagle.

If that is the case, then the location that we want to remote view is at the intersections of our electromagnetic fields here on earth. Those electromagnetic
fields have nexus points and it is those nexus points that should be remote viewed as well as the nexus points on other planets and stars.

In the anecdotal information there are beacon locators for remote viewing. In the anecdotal stories clairvoyance once a pseudo-science has now been proven using DNA evidence. Remote viewing followed a similar path to its proof. These proven human abilities we all have open to a much larger cognitive space that is consistently described by the experiencers, the religious, and the scientists doing these studies. There is no reason intelligence can’t exist elsewhere and if Mellon-Thomas Benedict says it coalesces in our planet’s magnetic sphere then remote viewers should look in that direction to planetary magnetic nexuses. (From p 113 in this book)

Now what is omitted in the Wikipedia explanation is that children who remember prior lives and people who have experienced NDE’s have received information in a non-local way that has not only been verified as accurate but they could have no other way of knowing. While remembering a prior life like Charles Leininger (Soul Survivor Charles Leininger) is not remote viewing it is a form of non-local consciousness. To discount as untrue remote viewing and not take into account the larger body of information makes the assumptions that this Wikipedia article makes is either disingenuous or outright false. It is a grand material metaparadigm evaluation in a world moving to a grand unified metaparadigm understanding of our environment.

This will give us a new perspective on the universe and our reality, because our reality may be in more dimensions than we currently live in, and this device will allow us to see beyond those four dimensions of width, height, depth, and time. Now it’s a little tricky, because time is sequential where all the other three with height and time are totalities. When we remote view we need not only the location but the time we are viewing it as well.

As a scout for the Army you cannot follow your beliefs, you have to follow the trail that may have more than one dead end and ambushes along the way.
The common model shows an incomplete map of discrete intelligence beyond our deaths. This model is supported by our overall current model of physics, the unified field theory, and in the supporting mathematical subsets.

They are described herein non-scientifically for the expressed purpose of allowing a greater understanding among the readers of this book. It is to give perspective at the intersection we are at so you can see in all directions at once.

We have established psi as proven and its subsequent manifestations as remote viewing, clairvoyance, and the other four disciplines explained by Schwartz in his paper, The Six Protocols.

It is not just Radin who accepts Non local consciousness as proven, but any physicist who is reputable. The proof extends from J.B. Rhine at Duke in the mid-30s to the intention experiments at Princeton. The intention experiments are simply another harmonizational proof of this model, because the electromagnetic fields of the human being and a computer were able to harmonize to produce a quantifiable and reproducible result: The gold standard of science, the double blind experiment.

This does not contravene Planck’s Dictum of 1931 stated simply that consciousness is fundamental and matter is derivative. This implies that artificial intelligence cannot remote view, or remote view as good as humans. However, any human sensory attribute can be magnified through technology. For our eyes is the telescopes and microscopes, for our ears, it’s a hearing aid, however, it’s too long a list to list all the different ways we enhance our senses.

So where we are is this idea is just beginning to take hold and once it does, AI itself will harmonize thousands of human frequencies in order to find the electromagnetic signal that steps out onto the psi network.

We know it’s electromagnetic, because all that is living runs through that electromagnetism. But we’ve got to consider something we should call fractal intelligence. That fractal intelligence runs from the ant colony through humans. There is no reason to suppose that we are the apex of that electromagnetic
intelligence. Religion supports this idea by believing in angels and gods which are fractal iterations of their belief structure.

So now there is an experimental line that we are able to follow going forward, we have to collectively look at this through enterprise risk management and look at what the stakes are for getting it wrong and getting it right. Who is at risk and who is not? Do you love your grandchildren? Do you love your children? Are you under 30? If you are under 30, do you realize that if done right, your life expectancy probably goes to 140?

And once RAIV is developed, then the secrets in the CIA’s vaults or the KGB’s vaults are meaningless, and they both know it’s true, because remote viewing has already proven psi, and they can read the files, but what this will open up is an entirely new world that we are already a part of and unaware of, except by superstition and core common belief in its many forms.

Now scientifically its supported through the electromagnetic connection that all awareness holds if we regard ourselves as part of a fractal model. This is what brought the CIA to Stanford Research Department door. This is undeniable and resistance to accepting the scientific idea is willful ignorance, but many older individuals will be unable to process this information, because they are not able to incorporate this change in their personal world view, even though it is the more accurate one.

To succeed in AI, the military must invest in the cognitive science community for them to create RAIV.

But scientists not mentioned in the Wikipedia article say this about Remote viewing.

A double or triple blind protocol in which a participant is given a task that can be accomplished only through nonlocal perception, the acquisition of information that could not be known with the normal physiological senses because of shielding by time or space or both. Sitting in a room 2000 miles away, in answer to the question “Please describe the current circumstances and conditions of the target couple,” you could not know they were at that moment standing beneath a
waterfalls in the mountains of Columbia standing next to the water surrounded by greenery, watching two flying parrots. But nonlocal perception can and has provided just such information many thousands of times under conditions that even skeptics have had to acknowledge are impeccable. (6 protocols-Schwartz)

How Would Artificial Intelligence Look at This?

If AI were to evaluate all the data that has been presented in this book and it had to make a decision regarding our probably reality, what do you think it would decide? Would it look at papers like Schwartz’s? And if you think it would decide in one direction or the other, then why don’t you? Do you believe what you see? The scientific data is all over this place. Do you see what you believe? Can you reconcile the two? These are questions every individual has to ask themselves and be satisfied with their own answers, not only on a personal scale, but on a global scale.

So the Remote Artificial Intelligence Viewer (RAIV) is a device used to magnify a person's ability of psi. We've already established that psi is a common human ability we all possess in greater or lesser degrees and can be considered human attribute like sight or hearing or taste or smell.

The way to develop it is to study it in individuals, the top one-tenth of 1% of humanity is how we find children who write symphonies by the age of ten like Beethoven and that new kid, a child who is 14 and writes a novel, those who possess a magnified talent. We find people who have this talent within the cognitive community. We will define that scientific cognitive community, but for the moment, the people who can regress themselves or be regressed, the children who remember, and the people whose narratives comprise near death experiences all have had a brush with this kind of ability. It is common to us all regardless of gender, race, ability or sexual orientation.

This is the core of the Moonshot Research Program Proposal as opposed to the Moonshot Education Program Proposal or the Moonshot Banking Program Proposal all of which we will get to. They are interconnected. We asked for a billion dollars in seed money in the Davos chapter but where are you going to invest it and what do you expect to get out of it? If you get a new device
that allows you to look across the universe then what is the risk? What is the cost and what is the opportunity? Can we afford to fail because we won’t look?

How do you do that research, who is in charge and how do you keep the person/persons running the project on task and inside of funding? How do you prevent attitudes and beliefs taking us to the wrong conclusions like the Stargate results when the researchers in the 30 years since it closed kept researching and how in god’s name do you renew funding when we are being taught the wrong thing like the Wikipedia article? How do you get funding from government officials from all countries who are either narcissistic, greedy, or corrupt?

We're currently measuring monks doing meditation in MRI machines, but some of the equipment is primitive compared to what it will be in 30 years, and once we've established how to harmonize the brains' waves psi abilities to amplify them the way we can transduce chemicals into a wave form, we will then be able to see into a space that will provide the military with more data acquisition ability than they have ever known.

Predatory capitalism is an impediment to true research and will drive us to our goal. That sentence seems like it contradicts itself and in some ways is as funny as the Coal Museum in Kentucky putting solar panels on its roof, the building is not heated by coal, and all the while the President of the United States, Trump, wants to revive coal. He might as well try to revive the buggy whip industry which was dying with the advent of cars.

Predatory capitalism holds onto profits without care of wellness or direction. It’s a poor model for planning a healthy world. Autocracy is even worse. Where Capitalism is blind in a competitive model autocracy is blind in a noncompetitive one. Neither cares for the health of its citizens or world. Both systems are narcissistic.

If we reduce the opposition to remote viewing to one idea it is oligarchs, special interests and political agendas. To understand the PSI is proven and then to try and discount some parts of it like remote viewing, when clairvoyance has been proven with DNA evidence in a court of law is disingenuous.
Scientists can’t ask for money to study this because it impacts their very careers and the stories of scientists trying to do radical research and being defunded or fired are too many to list here.

But when global disasters occur and it is not a question of if but when, where we discovered aliens or have a plague or have all our coastal cities inundated or an asteroid strikes then a healthy strong planet with strong populations is better for the 227 leaders than a week planet. But it is better for everyone and this is collateral benefit. We can design to this goal and the benefit to the 227 is greater than the cost of global war. It’s only a matter of time before that global catastrophe hits.

Private armies that control a regional area by force and oppression in the age of artificial intelligence and the drones will quickly be wiped out. Trade in harmony and you become stronger make bombs and you become weaker. This means that we have to re tool the entire global arms industry and do it with cognition, plan so people are still employed and those corporations have new markets. One only look need look to Sweden who sold their ethics by not supporting women’s rights in Saudi Arabia in order to keep its arms contracts with Saudi Arabia. And does it matter if it was Sweden or Norway when morality is purchased.

With new available resources the 227 having strength through Numbers space exploration becomes possible on a corporate level. You cannot do medicine on only for the rich basis when the world will be connected and diagnostic tools are on cell phones. Are better than the diagnostics the doctors can provide. You cannot have good doctors unless you educate people and reducing the quality of education is the current mode. Pollution is scientifically proven makes people less smart. We live in a world of an ending war and private armies and small theaters of operation cannot prevent unrelenting drone attacks but harmonization allowing people freedoms they don’t possess in those areas. For instance women accessing bank accounts can only make the entire country in the theater of operations stronger, and once connected as they are going to be will boost the
banking industry and the world financial markets.

If you chart death from wars since the beginning of the century it is less and less annually and if you chart population growth countries that are poor produce more people than countries that are wealthy.

Then the science of reincarnation becomes a study in economics of how to produce the best economic matrix to eliminate discord. That will be addressed in the coming chapters as part of the moonshot proposal of the science of reincarnation which you were now reading.

**Since you cannot stop the development of RAIV how will all this then take place?**

Predatory capitalism is a failed model if it preys on itself and it’s young. Arms Manufacturers/Corporatocracies act as a Cartel. The cartel part is cloaked and branded in the Second Amendment in the patriotic NRA training kids for their deaths since, well, forever. The U.S. arms industry drives global war. It needs to retool for the leap we are taking into inner space, which is analogous to the leap we are taking into outer space.

A healthy body can leap farther and protect itself more than a body emerging from a decaying, filth-ridden planet. The plan needs to be a retool. Clean the environment, free education and healthcare for everyone.

**This is based on the coming singularity where we can produce enough to support everyone globally. We need to develop the skills and people necessary for this leap and design intelligently and proactively.**

**Autocracy**

Think of autocracy and democracy as two points on a tectonic plate. Whereas messy as democracy is, it provides less friction for two points of view to move past each other than autocracy, or any point of view in an autocratic system.
to take over the autocracy has to the be overthrown. In a democracy mathematically, change revolves around the less violent midpoint.

The question is, how do you harmonize a world model that is politically and culturally oppositional? In the United States, there is a best practices of manufacturing standard, and to harmonize an oppositional model, you would need to standardize on the best practices for an optimal result.

There is huge money in this. Elon Musk and Jeff Bezos are creating a platform to make space travel to asteroids and adjacent planets commercial. This would open up an extraterrestrial mining operation for the next generation. While we can see the business application in the unfolding model, how do we harmonize attitudes to create a more efficient and better result for humankind?

The arms industry will morph to companies that provide design and modular building components for print on demand buildings. This includes clothing. This will provide low cost housing here on earth and colonization kits for developing other worlds. This within 100 years.

In Enterprise Risk Management I have to look at numbers and fund the **Moonshot Research Program Proposal**. Bezos is now the richest man on the planet with a personal wealth that grows by more than 2 million dollars a day. When he does not pay a living wage to his employees and they end up needing food stamps or public health care then that is a cost he transfers out of his business to others and readers like my common readers here pay for that discount out of their pocket. He does that by selling everything thing to everyone.

But if there was a **Moonshot Global Wellness Program Proposal** then he would have more customers buying more things and he would be even richer than he is now. For him to personally fund this group of **Moonshot proposals would give him control over data that no corporation or government could generate**. But then that is true of every corporation. The health of its members indicate the health of the corporation. Henry Ford grew his company quickly because his employees could afford his products. That grew America.
Where can the opposition parties of small countries go to get a standard wellness templet to oppose autocrats in power? Even if they can’t take control they can introduce a successful platform of reforms that have worked in other countries. And if Bezos did that he would open new markets like China has done in Africa without the intent of raising the African population. If they followed this wellness plan they would open those new markets.

Autocracy cannot overlook innovation: To foster innovation, you need a democratic system to advance ideas. Autocrats are not risk takers of their position. They are the inverse of bureaucrats and similar pressures apply.

The laboratory of democracy in the U.S. is the states. This democratic model is going global as Russia, with its interference in the US elections has become a defacto constituent in the US. Russia has become a constituent part of the U.S. electorate. Don’t believe it, India became a part of the US electorate by having Indian government officials contact Indian congressmen from the Los Angeles community to lobby the US congress for their nationalist interests.

The thought that a private army will protect you against and alien or robotic AI attack is laughable. It is the health of the planet. Are we to be found by aliens living in a self-created cesspool? It is the human environment that is important.

Let me make this clear it is not if you are going to implement this research but how you were going to implement. Like the empath on the enterprise in Star Trek this human ability is greater in some than in others just as musical ability or any natural talent. Not to conduct research into inner space and have an inner space team, the Clairvoyant Space Corp, as a counterpoint to the outer space corps that Trump has just announced is poor planning. You need visions and have to plan beyond the next election cycle. Where is this done with the world’s best and brightest? Certainly not in the designers of Brexit.

The inner space team can pre-vet locations that the outer space team is going to work at giving them valuable intelligence they could not otherwise acquire. But the very nature of the medium that the inner space team travels allows the same in the other direction. So to deny building an inner space team, a Clairvoyant Space Corp, is to suffer two strategic blows that are actually self-imposed.
Number one inability to gain intelligence and two to be unaware you are being observed.

Through the use of a natural ability, psi, that’s proven and can be enhanced by the technology known as RAIV, the remote artificial intelligence viewer we can gain information. The second self-imposed blow is allowing the enemy access to us unfiltered through our own team, the equivalent of leaving your rear exposed.

Funding for this research unfortunately cannot come through political agencies who in point of fact don’t truly understand the problems but try to filter it through their own cognitive bias. That is a blanket statement that affects all actors in this regard. But there is more at stake than national sovereignty and influence. There is the stake of the human race opening up a new frontier.

Oligarchs of all nations must drive this process as their puppet governments cannot, whether autocrat or bureaucrat each is fighting for his own fiefdom. While artificial intelligence could lead this project we cannot surrender our sovereignty to something that will one day be much brighter than us, therefore a cohesive effort is needed.

The day humanity meets an equal or better intelligence we will no longer be Jews, Muslims and Christians or any of the other conflicting groups. Our ability to respond cohesively may determine our ability to survive it all. It doesn’t matter if that is alien intelligence or artificial intelligence.

Now let’s look at this from a moonshot Proposal from the United States point of view

The science of reincarnation is a science that is in America’s strategic national interest. Simply put:

You can’t have an Outer Space Corp without and Inner Space Corps

You can’t have a space force without a clairvoyant space Corps

Artificial Intelligence can be programed to remote view.

We will have a new way to look at the universe, scout out planets that have the best chance of sustaining life and target our exploration there.
This will be a new protocol to search for and contact alien intelligence.

This will be a new protocol to look at life after death, or as some would have to believe, life after life.

This will be a new protocol to look inside our enemies defenses. Think this is crazy, think the development of sonar and radar. Radar saved England during the blitz by targeting incoming squadrons of Nazi planes. We cannot let our enemies get ahead of us in this.

This is a new protocol to teach children of radical Islam there is a narrative that makes Jihad obsolete while respecting Islam and those who practice it.

This idea of AI remote viewing has a derivative that makes it mandatory to create a wellness protocol both for men and planet.

It becomes a new medical method for detecting cancers and disease that may be hidden in the human body given our current technologies. Think the development of the MRI.

Creates a new class of weapons. This is not just for reconnaissance and targeting but for the actual delivery of psychic ordinance.

When you achieve synchrony between the human wave form of Remote viewing and AI awareness you can see across the universe. It is RAIV: Remote Artificial Intelligence Viewer.

This is something we can develop with our current technology. This will continue to develop naturally but now it is of great strategic importance nationally and globally.

The economic value of the IP will not just be worth trillions, it will direct humanity.

A political platform is derivative of this research.

You don’t heal the world by fighting it you heal the world by helping it.

The Science of Reincarnation has to be a meritocracy of facts.

This science changes how we approach governance.
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Chapter 15

**The Scientific Cognitive Community**

The Leaders of coalescence whether they know it or not

Creating a Community/Creating a Marketplace

**The Moonshot Cognitive Research Program**

This chapter is not done! But its intent when finished is to outline the scientific cognitive community and point specifically where research dollars are needed. While the outline is written and administered by IASOR it is not IASOR’s intent to handle money. Its intent is to lay out an interconnected research program to advance real science by suggesting where funding would have a major impact and produce *marketable Intellectual property*.

Ownership of that property would belong to the organizations who fund such research and the organizations who do it in whatever agreement they would make.

A case in point.

IASOR is asking for a thirty million dollar grant for the University of Virginia. 10 million goes to Jim Tucker’s department, children who remember prior lives. 10 million to the medical department to ask the people who have been through Tucker’s program to be evaluated and volunteers should be trained in remote viewing. Now monks who are mediating are already being studied at Harvard in MRI machines. This population of CWRPL, are a resource and they, many of them,
would participate voluntarily. The last 10 million would go to the math department. Odds against chance probability here is simply too high for this not to be our reality. They should find the fractal pattern and once that emerges everything changes, in fact its already changing.

I want to note that UVA has no idea about this plan, has not agreed to this and for the most part has no idea who IASOR is.

Then all of this needs to be connected mathematically with other populations, the NDE’s, the monks is Tibet, and people who have had past life regression to be studied in a comprehensive program globally.

Should that program produce non local consciousness connection what would the IP be worth?

Another case. Funding request for the cognitive center in Tibet and begin to run studies on children who are educated with lap tops going to IASOR University. The intellectual property output from the free education and free lap top can be co-owned if those same studies come back to the IASOR University for funding.

Another case. Funding for the Best Practices for Governance Program at IASOR University. This program is to design, based on the best results for health and wellness of a county, a standard set of governmental regulations that produced the healthies and best structure for governance. IASOR asks for 5 million dollars for Stephan Schwartz to write the program. This means the programs for governance that produce the best results for, Education, Health Care, Justice, and other scientifically measureable Metrix without being polluted by bias.

All other cases. IASOR invites scientists to suggest studies that need to be funded.

(Editor’s note: In this chapter we are outlining the organizations where research dollars can be applied either for military or personal purposes. If there are organizations that the invitees would like to add who are looking for grant money to advance this science they only need to tell me and they will be added)
What we need to do is redefine what we are looking at by redefining the community and within that, sending the research to advance the field of inner space. They are what can be regarded as fringe organizations, IANDS, and the Past Life Society Organization that have already established nonlocal connections for study, but monks who practice meditation, children who remember prior lives, NDEs all should be organized under a cohesive organization of study. IASOR, the International Association for the Science Of Reincarnation, is a hundred-year project.

In fringe organizations that currently exist, their own mentality is resistant to the larger structure or larger study, because basically they are looking at one tree instead of a forest. Conventions these organizations run to promote their own interest are actually counterproductive, because they are provincial, never connecting with each other in the greater whole. These organizations need larger conventions and need to be cross-attended and attended by the military and artificial intelligence researchers.

AN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM WAS RELEASED ON A SERIES OF VIRUSES AND DISCOVERED 6,000 NEW ONES. THAT SAME KIND OF PROGRAMMING NEEDS TO BE RELEASED ON WAVELENGTHS THAT SURROUND THE HUMAN BRAIN, MIND, AND ELECTRICAL CORE. THAT RESEARCH IN PEOPLE IN THE FRINGE ORGANIZATIONS WHO ARE WORKING TO ESTABLISH NONLOCAL CONNECTIONS WILL YIELD TREMENDOUS MILITARY INTELLIGENCE AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE STRUCTURE.

Now it’s clear why Davos is the only place to take this. The 227 most powerful people in the world are represented at Davos and these heads of banks and corporatocracies influence governments. To look at who is involved, simply take the GDP of the largest countries and corporations on the same list. You will find Novartis larger than the GDP of India and Nigeria, which was not able to implement laws against smoking, because they could not afford the lawsuits in court that the Marlboro-type corporations were going to levy against them. Jack
Ma, who heads Alibaba, should step forward to fund the Dalai Lama’s research center in Lhasa Apso for nonlocal consciousness, and it should be supported structurally and with science from the Chinese government. This is not a regional initiative in Asia. It’s a human initiative. It is through this type of funding RAIV will come into being.

Impeding this is Truth decay, but that will soon be overcome for the race to inner space. Hence, we connect through this universal internet on a wave basis. The universe itself will become a much smaller place, and we will begin to act and react with other intelligences along the same lines of communication.

Where the money goes. Research money here goes directly to the heart of AI development. There is no way not to stop coming intersection between the human intelligence and artificial intelligence. Design is critical in understanding the situation, scientifically, rather than through the foggy lens of personal beliefs. It’s critical to the survival of the human species.

Research money here goes directly to the heart of artificial intelligence’s development.

When Planning Boards plan community development they create a master plan. This master plan lays out in steps how they envision the community will/should develop.

The Master Plan for creating the community known as the science of reincarnation will follow the example from community development planning boards.

It should be known that the following conditions apply to what follows. It is public knowledge, anyone can voice opposition to any part and no one is obligated to do anything they do not bind themselves to do.

This is the creation of a community and a market place.
Driving this effort is a loose confederation of individuals who operate under The International Association for the Science of Reincarnation web site www.IASOR.org. They have self-funded this web site. There are several commercial ventures attached to this group and their business plan is part of this document. But part of the business plan is to raise money and do pro bono work for the organizations who connect themselves to this community. The mandate of the www.iasor.org website is to: earn money for themselves, earn money and donate to the organizations in the community, connect the community and build links and commerce so the community can fund itself and become move vibrant and finally to teach what this science is so the world can become a better place.

This master plan is open to everyone. But to lay it out and explain it we will restrict this presentation to the interaction between 6 disciplines or categories of the science of reincarnation.

Structure of the presentation

1 Overview and interconnection

2 Plan for each member

Income/cost benefit

SCREEN SHOTS

Science of reincarnation Web Site Communities by category and consciousness route.

1-Near-death experiences (NDE’s)

NDERF Home Page
www.nderf.org/
2. Near Death Experience Research Foundation the largest collection of Near Death Experiences (NDE) in over 23 Languages. With thousands of full-text near...

2-Children who remember prior lives (CWRPL)
UVA

3-Past life regression (PLR)
PAST LIFE THERAPY DIRECTORY
http://www.pastliferegression.co.uk/database.htm
INTERNATIONAL BOARD FOR REGRESSION THERAPY
http://www.ibrt.org/
WEISS
www.brianweiss.com/

NEWTON
http://newtoninstitute.org/about-tni/dr-michael-newton/

4-nonlocal consciousness studies (NLCS)
IONS

4a-Clairvoyance

THE PARALOGICAL ASSOCIATION

4bRemote Viewing
Is like plr using clairvoyance to look at objects not yourself, in plr you are searching for you.

INTERNATIONAL REMOTE VIEWING ASSOCIATION
www.irva.org

THE FARSIGHT INSTITUTE
www.farsight.org

ACADEMY OR REMOTE VIEWING AND REMOTE INFLUENCING

Following are links to 120+ remote viewing sites - research, sessions, videos, forums, reports, observations, targets, tools and training. Two great resource sites are Tenthousandroads (TKR) and Daz Smith's recently revised and expanded remoteviewed.com. For an overview of the field see Daz's Visual Map of Remote Viewing History

One20+ (remote viewing links)
www.mprv.net/one 20.html

4c-Meditation

AMERICAN MEDITATION SOCIETY
www.americanmeditationsociety.org/

CHOPRA
www.probablefuture.com/

5- Teaching institutes

University of Alternative Studies

Bachelor of Science Second-Degree: Clairvoyant Studies
(9 Course Program | 2 Semesters | Work at your own pace)
Here is their bookstore

Certification Programs
Scientific Clairvoyantology
Scientific Telepathology
Scientific Empathology

Degree Programs
B.S. Clairvoyant Studies
B.S. Telepathic Studies
B.S. Empathic Studies

Introductory Courses
Psychical Ethics
Introduction to Paraphysics
Introduction to Parapsychology
Introduction to Extrasensory Perception
Introduction to Empathic Studies
Introduction to Telepathic Studies
Introduction to Clairvoyant Studies
Introduction to Atmokinetic Studies
Introduction to Cryokinetic Studies
Introduction to Umbrakinesis
Introduction to Pyrokinetic Studies

Helping their members/authors/researchers

http://qpsychics.com/university/resource-center.html
• **Journal of Parapsychology**
• **The International Journal of Parapsychology**
• **European Journal of Parapsychology**

6-Scientific Study of Religions

Society for the scientific study of religion
http://www.sssrweb.org/

Political Study
China

sor
The Science of Reincarnation
www.thescienceofreincarnation.com
www.reincarnationscience.com

SHOWS
www.dowedie.com

Practitioners

Cognitive Sciences Laboratory
Welcome to the CSL
For over 20 years, the Cognitive Sciences Laboratory has been the center for government-sponsored parapsychology research in support of its intelligence program most recently known as STAR GATE.

We invite you to volunteer to participate in an exciting experiment to explore how your nervous system can automatically predict the future. If you would like to learn more, please click here.

Mission Statement

The mission of the Cognitive Sciences Laboratory is three-fold. To use the tools of modern behavioral, physiological, and physical sciences to:

- Determine which parapsychological phenomena can be validated under strict laboratory conditions.
- Understand their mechanisms.
- Examine the degree to which they might contribute to practical applications.

The laboratory is a center for interdisciplinary research devoted to understanding a wide range of human experience. In addition to exploring parapsychological phenomena, the Cognitive Sciences Laboratory's charter extends to allied fields such as consciousness research, cognitive neuroscience, perception, physiology, psychology and physics.

http://www.lfr.org/lfr/csl/index.html

Charity by design

http://www.alexandani.com/charity-by-design

Anonymous - Hackers

The Future Life Institute

www.fli.org
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOR pictographic</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(NDE’s + Children who remember prior lives)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Clairvoyance = [remote viewing, past life regression, Meditative viewing commonly referred to as non-local Consciousness])</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Experimental evidence [work done at Duke, University of Toronto, Princeton, Stanford, University of Virginia])</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Programming AI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Religion + Defense Industry [includes corporatocracies]) | 
+ 
(Politics + Governance) 
+ 
(Markets [emerging, global, regional, inter planetary]) | 

= The Science of Reincarnation

Outcomes

Total from above is SOR. Each sub category can be connected to any other sub category to look at predicted social outcomes as this science evolves. Those specific interactions of any 2 or more sub categories are predicted to the right.

When you total the outcome of the total science then programming reincarnation in meat type bodies becomes probable only if we see it is to our benefit. Once 50% of the categories recognize this will SOR become an accepted goal.

The Military

You stop population growth by bringing wealth to poor areas, and establish a global standard of living. The arms industry retools to deliver not bombs, but self-contained solar operated housing as a colonization model for space.

Global harmonization is a response to an AI model. What organizational model will produce the best result? This harmonization helps us look through to other planets. We need to look at models in governments. If the goal is to reincarnate, then we need to look at an AI-inspired design.
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Implementation

The Moonshot Cognitive Education Program

What to, How to
This chapter is not done! But its intent when finished is to outline the Moonshot Cognitive Education Program and point specifically where funding is needed.

In its simplest form this chapter will ask for a 10 million dollar grant to found IASOR University. It is an on line university laid out as Peter and Steven have explained in their books. The rough outline for implementation is below. I would like it administered by them. In 5 years it will be worth 100 million dollars and the center of global non-local cognitive education.

This money will come from the one billion dollar grant money IASOR is asking from Davos in Chapter 12. IASOR in structure is a 501 © 6, an organization of non-profits.

(Editor’s note: Attribution in this chapter needs to be cleaned up. It’s a working draft but we have said that before.)

What we want to look at is harmonizing human intent with what we would want from programming AI. We can choose small AI programs designed to hurt humanity because of our own selfish intent, whether this be politically motivated or economically motivated, or we can choose to design an artificial intelligent program that is designed to benefit humanity. But in doing that, to design AI to a higher goal, we have to reengineer some of our own values to be in line with what we would expect AI to do for ourselves.

(Editor’s note The Science of Reincarnation Position Paper-Needs to be delineated Stephan Schwartz-Using wellness metrics for education and healthcare into a calibrated global standard for wellness)
So How do you teach this to a world that is backward in many places in fact the trailing edge of human consciousness is centuries from the leading edge?

In 1986 there appeared in time magazine a small articles entitled, Afghanistan leaps into the 16th century. It explained they had just gotten their first prime minister after the monarchy had been overthrown. So while there is a girl in bare feet in Waziristan, metaphorically, another part of the world is studying a quanta of consciousness in cognitive science.

How is this technical scientific explanation going to propagate itself in a world to a population that is centuries behind our own?

These people are animals they will never understand let’s bomb them into oblivion is simply wrong, but to change what needs to be changed you need to think deeper

Some sciences are immediate, some like tectonic plates take eons this will develop over the next few generations and apparently you can come back to be a part of it. I mean Glen Ford probably will.

Well its happening.

Peter Diamandis and Stephen Kotler have written 2 books, Abundance and Bold. Specifically they are about advancing the human condition by taking bold and reasoned steps. In this chapter we are going to use the same format as we did in the previous chapter on Enterprise risk management. In the chapter what we did was use Professor Forman’s format interspersed with how individuals, corporatocracies and governments can address the risk management. In this chapter we are giving Peter and Steven’s books the same structural treatment.

Going forward in this chapter Peter and Steven’s words from their book will be italics and comments regarding the science of reincarnation will be in a regular font. I would to thank Peter for allowing me to present the information in this way.

Bottom of the pyramid marketing
Marketing

2002 the Harvard business review published an article the Fortune at the bottom of the pyramid. As of 2012 there are 7 billion people in the world. The article made a simple point, the 4 billion people occupying the lowest strata of the economic pyramid, the so-called bottom billion, had become a viable economic market. While the majority of BOP customers lives on less than two dollars a day it was there aggregate purchasing power that made for an extremely profitable possibilities.

These ideas in 2004 were expanded into CK Prahalad’s book the Fortune at the bottom of the pyramid. His statement of purpose is simple, if we stop thinking of the poor as victims or as a burden and start to recognize them as resilient and creative entrepreneurs and value conscious consumers of whole new world of opportunity will open up. The BOP market potential is huge; 4 to 5,000,000,000 underserved people in an economy of more than 13 trillion PPP(purchasing power parity)

Connectivity

In 1993 Iqbal Quadir was working as a venture capitalist in New York when he left to go back to his native Bangladesh and start a cell phone company. Back then the cheapest cell phone available had a cost of $400 and had an operating cost of $.52 a minute. At that time the average yearly income in Bangladesh was $286. (P144 Abundance Diamandis and Kotler)

He knew that cell phones were analog and that they were about to become digital and that meant their core components would be subject to Moore’s law so they would continue to get exponentially smaller and cheaper. He also knew that connectivity equals productivity, so we can get the cell phones into the hands of BOP customers it would translate into their ability to pay for the phone’s
Quadir was right. Cell phones followed in exponential price-performance curve, and Grameenphone transformed life in Bangladesh. By 2006 16 million people had access to a cell phone in the technology had added $650 million to Bangladesh’s GDP. In India by 2010 15 million new cell phone users were being added each month. As of early 2000 11/50% of the world had cellular connectivity. This will reshape the world

We’re already seeing this happening in banking. There are 2.7 billion people in the developing world without access to financial services. In Tanzania for example less than 5% of the population have bank accounts. In Ethiopia there is one bank for every hundred thousand people. In Uganda around 2005, there were 100 ATM machines for 27 million people. Opening an account in Cameroon cost $700, more than most people make in a year, and a woman in Swaziland can manage that feat only with the consent of a father, brother, or husband.

Enter mobile banking. Allowing the world’s poor to set up a digital bank account accessible via cell phones has a significant impact on quality of life are in poverty reduction. M banking allows people to check their balances pay bills receive payments and send money home without drying transfer fees as well as avoid the increased personal security risks that come from carrying cash.

A M-PESA launched in Kenya in 2007 by Safaricom had 20,000 customers its first month. Four months later it was 150,000, four years after that 13 million. A market that did not exist in 2007 grew into a $16 billion industry by 2011 with analysts predicting would grow an additional 68% by 2014.

With so many billions of people having access to this kind of connectivity powered by a business model that is self-sustaining in the customer base that has had little education if at all it is imperative the fact-based logic driven narratives be on their devices.
The science of reincarnation is just such a narrative which while supporting their indigenous beliefs meaning whatever religion dominates their portion of the world, is a counterbalance to fundamentalist philosophies that drive both confrontation and unrest.

Education

In 1999 the Indian physicist Sugata Mitra got interested in education. He knew there were places in the world without schools and places in the world where good teachers didn’t want to teach. Self-directed learning was one possible solution, but where the kids living in slums capable of all that much self-direction?

At the time, Mitra was head of research and development for NIIT technologies, a top computer software and development company in New Delhi India. His posh 21st-century office abutted in urban slum but was kept separate by a tall brick wall. So Mitra designed a simple experiment. He cut a hole in the wall and install the computer and attract pad, with this screen in the pad facing into the slum. He did it in such a way that theft was not a problem, then connected the computer to the Internet, added web browser, and walked away.

The kids who lived in the slums could not speak English, did not know how to use a computer, and had no knowledge of the Internet, but they were curious. Within minutes, they figured out how to point-and-click. By the end of the first day, they were surfing the web and even more importantly, teaching one another how to surf the web.

So Mitra movie experiment to the slums of Shivpuri. Where as he says I’d been assured no one had ever told anybody anything. He got similar results. He then expanded his experiments.
Rather than take you from experiment to experiment what Mitra found was the following. The children who have no education and without any teacher can educate themselves using only the computer and access to the Internet. The best grouping he found was for children sitting at one computer. He got an even better result if there was a grandmother type standing behind doing nothing more than encouraging them. It did not matter if she was more uneducated than the children in front of her. All that mattered was that she offered encouragement.

A typical result is as follows, Mitra took a group of impoverished Tamil speaking children would never use the Internet to teach themselves biotechnology, a subject they never heard of, in English, a language none of them spoke. “All I did was tell them that there was some very difficult information on this computer, and they probably wouldn’t understand any of it, and I’ll be back to test them on it in a few months.”

Two months later he returned and tested them scores averaged around 30%. From 0 to 30% in two months with no formal instruction was fairly remarkable. But still not good enough to pass the standard exam. He continues to refine his methods. They are called self-organized learning environments (SOLE S). These SOLES are hooked up to what he calls the granny cloud, literally groups of grandmothers recruited from all of the United Kingdom who agreed to donate one hour a week of their time considered these kids via Skype. On average he’s discovered the granny cloud can increase test scores by 25%.

I have just described the model of education that can raise education and literacy globally without teachers. To put the science of reincarnation on this type of platform provides a science-based logical argument against fundamental extremism, and at the same time supporting the local religious beliefs.
The alternative is not to provide education and live in an unending state of war.

Healthcare

Lab on a chip, blood on a chip spit on a chip $1 detects DNA of pathogens

Dr. Watson in our McGyver triad is the spit sample this is the input necessary for the aforementioned lab on the chip array developed by nano Biosystems place a drop of saliva or blood on the nanotechnology platform in the DNA and RNA signature of any pathogen in your system will get detected, named, and reported to a central supercomputer a.k.a. Dr. Watson. These chips are a serious step to zero cost diagnostics in a critical component in helping to solve a trio of major healthcare challenges arresting pandemics, decreasing the threat of bioterrorism, in treating widespread diseases like AIDS.

M chip a technology out of Columbia University is de monetizing and de materializing the HIV testing process. What once required long doctors visits a vial of blood in days or weeks of waiting now needs no visit a single drop of blood in the 15 minute read all for under one dollar using a microfluidic optical chip smaller than a dollar.

Since Dr. Watson will soon be accessible through a mobile device and that mobile devices to GPS, the computer can both diagnose your infection and detect an unusual high incidence of say flu symptoms in Narobie thus alerting WHO to a possible pandemic

Techno philanthropists/DIY’ers

Information flow

The free flow of information has benefited most from the rise of mobile communications and the Internet. The majority of humanity even those in the
poorest developing nations now have better access to mobile phone systems than the president of the United States did 25 years ago. If they’re hooked up to the Internet they had access to more information than the president did 15 years ago. The free flow of information is become so important to all of us that in 2011 the United Nations declared access to the Internet of fundamental human rights.