

Using the Science of Reincarnation as a counter radicalization initiative in accordance with the National Security Preparedness Group's Guidelines

Intro/Summary

This white paper discusses how to use The Science of Reincarnation as a global counter radicalization and counter terrorism initiative as outlined by the Bi Partisan Policy Commission's National Security Preparedness Group's report.

Background

The emerging science of reincarnation is being done at the following institutions and is defined by the various subsets of this science enumerated below.

Psychic ability (psi) does not immediately imply the existence of reincarnation. It does however lay an empirical foundation for the idea that the mind reaches beyond the body. In that in turn implies that the traditional notion of reincarnation may have some basis. Independently repeatable evidence for psi effects has been established at beyond the 6 sigma level for at least six classes of experiments.

Examples of psi include remote viewing, a modern term for clairvoyance when used for a particular purpose. Remote viewing was developed into a training program at SRI International in the 1970's through 1990s, and turned into an operational unit within the US Army. It was funded for 25 million dollars by the CIA, DIA, Army and other government agencies with measurable and acknowledged successes. Remote viewing indicates that the mind can reach beyond the conventional senses and ordinary boundaries of space and time.

The intention experiments done at Princeton University over a 25 year period statistically indicated that humans are able to influence events at a distance by simply applying their intent. This line of research challenges the orthodox assumption that mind and matter are completely separate. It appears that some aspects of the mind are intimately related to the behavior of matter.

Children who seem to recall previous lives is an ongoing 50 year study being conducted at the University of Virginia. The project is referred to as "the science of reincarnation" in a UVA alumni magazine article. This class of studies is the most direct evidence of the traditional notion of reincarnation.

Research on Near Death Experience began 40 years ago by psychiatrist Raymond Moody and is now being examined in detail by numerous investigators. One of the largest international consortiums on NDE research is being led by physician Sam Parnia. This work suggests that the mind and the brain may not be identical.

Past Life regression hypnosis, pioneered at the University of Miami, is controversial because it is not clear that the information retrieved is more than simple confabulation. However, while controversial this research implies that real or apparent memories of other lives can be significantly beneficial in modulating present behavior.

The last three examples “suggest” we reincarnate. What we are left with is a common narrative when the above categories are surveyed. From that we can develop a measurable statistical probability. At its base psi is the underlying event that creates these manifestations and psi is considered proven.

What is already proven is that we “shed” our bodies about every two years in totality. Our stomach lining every day, our skin every week, even the atoms in your bones, and the enamel in your teeth change every two years. This is undeniable definitive proof that whatever “you are” can exist in totally different bodies. And you already know that is true because you do not have a 5 year olds body, you see this shedding on a daily basis and we call it grooming.

So what is our reality? How do we “know” which religion is right? How do we “find out” if there is life after death?

If this speaks to us at all it speaks to us in mathematics. This is one example of an algorithm used in the science of reincarnation. Broader algorithms can be developed or weighting can be redistributed. In the following algorithm observations and belief are given equal weight because we don't know which is more accurate. We are also looking to establish the mean.

In its most advanced analysis all data points must be used to calculate our true reality, at UVA this is happening already as they are coding 2500 cases for 400 variables. This is a data mine that needs to be explored.

What follows is an algorithmic example used in the science of reincarnation.

We will compare and contrast the following beliefs, ideologies, fact based narratives, current observations and technologies to arrive at our most probabilistic reality. In short we are creating a standard of scale to measure the proof of our reality.

According to prevailing cosmological models, we only “see” 4% of the available information as dark matter and dark energy make up 96% of the universe. Any assumptions that are drawn from this body of information should be prefaced with “With 4% of the precincts reporting in” we predict X will win. So what does the most probable X look like?

The Belief category

With that caveat we look at religion. For simplicity we will use just 6, Christian, Jewish, Muslim, Hindu, Buddhists and atheists. The religions all promise that consciousness does not end at the body's death. Four of five (Maybe all 5) that I know of have reincarnation as part of their dogma. Atheists are included as a null belief system. There are certain laws in some religions, i.e. Apostasy and women not driving, that are not common to all religions. This calculation will be explained more in detail when we construct the common denomination. Religions should be weighted by population. A more nuanced analysis can be achieved by adding more religions but the sum is always equal to 50. A weight can now be ascribed to each belief. The belief category is 50% of the total number.

When we look at observations in the real world we see people who claim to have experienced some variant of the promise made by religion but not adhering to the exact dogma of any religion. In fact some NDE's report visiting various heavens of the different religions. If this is true then apostasy laws actually contravene God's will because the observations support free movement.

From the “exit interviews” those people who have experienced not being alive the three distinct categories tell a statically significant similar tale. The three groups are children who remember previous lives, NDE’s and past life regression, PLR. Each group talks of guides or in the case of PLR actually has talked to the guides. If guides and angels are the same thing then what are the odds against chance of everyone saying the same thing? Why is it we don’t believe what we see?

The Fact category

This is the fact or observation category. We do not know if the stories are true but the facts are that people recount these events and some cannot be explained like the girl in Lebanon that identified by name 22 members of her prior family. Each of the 3 categories, Children who recall previous lives, NDE’s and PLR’s are weighted at 1/3 of the fact 50% or 16.66 percent.

We now have a number made up of 50% what we see and 50% what we believe. We can now measure the “hits” for specific positions on gender, or reincarnation itself. We can also analyze the information more deeply.

Each algorithm receives a probability factor as the common narrative, those items that distill out of the groups as being common to all. So Apostasy laws would make the result less likely but belief in angels would rate high as in the fact based narratives they appear as guides. In fact the whole hierarchy of the afterlife can be developed as the “most likely” narrative.

Fractal Geometric patterns underlie all life. If those patterns begin to emerge in our data then we can assume that our deaths will not end our consciousness.

Thought must be given to the possibility we are in an incubator state, that we can, will and are increasing our knowledge and that the universe exceeds our imagination that we must be open to all possibilities. Some of these possibilities point in a direction inward toward self.

Genetic memory must be factored into our probability calculations, this is an example of what we have not used in the algorithm but should have. Quantum medicine may one day have its own category.

We can now say to any religion/ideology your belief in an afterlife has a high probability of being true, based on the aggregate belief of humanity but also on the observed evidence as well. However your belief that you are the one true religion is not statistically accurate because the same variety of beliefs found here on earth seem to be in the afterlife as well. We can say this both respectfully and factually.

To arrive at the kind of statement with scientific integrity would moderate behavior in populations over generations. The only way to bring them to this enlightened state of understanding is through education.

An extended example: singing and dancing.

A few years ago in Afghanistan two young girls were killed and an honor killing. It was spring, it was the first rain, and they may have been 10 or 12 in were outside dancing in. Because they had violated Islamic restriction they were killed to maintain the honor of the family.

All religions assume God made the world and all we see in it. That being so now that we have waited our reality mathematically we can see this restriction comes in at under 10% of the entire population (I did not do the specific math on this calculation but it only occurs on the belief side of the equation in the above algorithm, and

nominally 20% on the belief side so it's aggregate number as a swag, a stupid wild ass guess, is that 10% is the number this restriction shows up in on the planet. So by their own argument, and by the value of their own God, this restriction actually goes against Islamic law when written in its truest sense.

This science of reincarnation recognizes that the people living who make and enforce laws like this will not change their minds after having grown up with this as their normative. If you were to truly do the science of reincarnation your perspective must be generational in that you are in a sense writing the future. So then alongside future children's education in Islam, Islam should have the responsibility of teaching their children this reality.

In the same sense you cannot judge Islam with its gender restrictions and its apostasy laws by where it is in the moment. Christianity stopped burning heretics hundreds of years ago. This science predicts that Islam is in the throes of its own reformation and that reformation can be accelerated by educating its practitioners.

Now the argument against the science of reincarnation and an algorithm like the one we just presented but each side in the argument has to answer the following questions.

Do you believe what you see in the Science of Reincarnation?

Do you see what you believe in your own religion?

Who are you going to believe me or your own eyes?

Now a few points before we leave this algorithm:

1 The fact and belief categories cross support each other. The facts however caused are what we see. Can we believe our own eyes? Can we see or beliefs in action? Why don't we believe those who say they've "seen" an afterlife?

2 when we are finally assign a probability number to the above algorithm the Max probability we can assign is 4%. Within that 4% universe there is 75% likelihood that some version of a common narrative is true. Anecdotal reports from the facts side indicate that there are "heavens" for every religion. When Christianity was burning heretics at the stake, their version of apostasy laws, there was either no benefit or detriment to Christianity when viewed over a period of the thousand years.

3 within Islam the science of reincarnation welcomes earnest Islamic scholars to study and verify our underlying facts and asks them to join us in this study of their own population and contribute their own studies to our aggregate human knowledge.

4 if we're talking about cognitive science then we must recognize there are more complex realities than our own. As we see and understand a greater percentage of the universe, dark matter, dark energy, and then our probability numbers for the above algorithm will skew to a higher probability of being true because we will see more of the equation of what is.

5 Genetic memory: what would support this is the emergence of our ability to actually write code and change DNA. John Craig Venter programmed a DNA code for a self replicating organism took the chemicals he needed and created self replicating life. Taken alone that is amazing. But if the science of reincarnation suggests we "target" this research could we not in code are memory in our genetics to be re-remembered in the future lives?

We already see this happening in monarch butterflies. How do we unlock the memories we already possess in this scientifically efficacious and provable way?

6 As a probability for example apostasy laws, since they only occur in 10% of our sample the probability of apostasy laws being normative is less than 1%. Teach that to Islamic students when teaching the science and you will change the world in two generations.

Wahabism, is only a few hundred years old yet is virulent in creating religious discord would also be statistically irrelevant when factored into the religion section and then weighting it not just on population but also on age of belief as another criteria.

From these data points we can distill a **common narrative into the following points:** that we live multiple times, and that we change race, gender and religion from life to life. Taking one example, gender, we see in the common narrative in the population around us with many people between genders or in a transition phase.

5 Final score of each algorithm as a probability and the common narrative it produces. We now have criteria to judge probability and weigh different approaches against each other. We may weight fact and belief at different percentages. We may introduce a third category like genetic memory but the total probability score will remain at 4% until we can "see" more of our universe.

By aggregating the collective results of these ongoing studies under "The Science of Reincarnation" we produce a fact-based logic-driven narrative that meets the needs of the Bi Partisan Policy Center's National Security Preparedness Group's report, Preventing Violent Radicalization in America, by using this science as a counter argument to radical ideologies.

Education

"The State Department wants to make it harder for terrorists groups to radicalize and recruit in the first place."...*"if violent extremists seek to promote extremist narratives and make their ideology resonate, the purpose of counter radicalization is to expose and counter such ideas; educate communities and thereby strengthen their defenses against the extremists' narrative; and empower community leaders to speak out against violent extremists and their ideas."* NSPG Report Page 17-18

This science changes the paradigm of how religion addresses its own belief systems. This science provides a fact-based argument that consciousness does not die with the body thereby providing scientific proof of religion's own belief system. It engages an "ask" of each religious group to provide and add their own data to our pool and gives them access to the scientific data as aggregated and done at the institutions listed above.

By crafting the counter argument as a fact-based logic-driven paradigm we circumvent ideological opposing arguments. Scientifically we provide facts and then "challenge"/"ask" for them to refute our science with their data while at the same time offering our results for their analysis.

"There are formulas of how people radicalize... Given that radicalization often happens in a dense small network of friends in that extremist ideas are more likely to resonate if they are articulated by a credible or charismatic leader." NPSG Report Page 15

We have a logical counterargument that can put branding on the street and the thought leadership in remote and hostile places articulated by the very children the radicals wish to recruit.

This initiative meets all of the report's stated recommendations

1 "communication with Muslim communities must include in "ask"." We are actually asking to co opt their research capacity. We are asking them to verify our science. We will be engaging their mathematicians, physicists and students. Page 39

2"Al Qaeda's ideology should be challenged as well as contested." page 40 this initiative challenges extremist doctrine with scientific facts while respecting religious beliefs.

3"Government should be careful not to meddle in religious debates." page 40 We are providing a fact based counter argument presented by our scientists without being involved in a religious debate.

*We will build capacity because this argument and information will penetrate "at risk" places and populations. "Making America safe from terrorism is a "generational challenge"".

By teaching this as a science we provide a counter point to extremist ideology and by presenting it on the web the children the terrorist seek to recruit will be the ones to articulate a counter argument to radicalization. We need to teach this to al Qaeda's recruitment pool.

*This is more than just an interaction; it increases our data acquisition capabilities and can co-opt their intelligence gathering services

*This will cut supply of recruits by teaching it in schools in Europe with large Muslim populations as an alternative to radicalization while still respecting and supporting various belief systems.

This should be viewed as an important national security initiative

Problems: Our own Disorganization and Opposition

Disorganization: The NSPG's report notes:

"Very little training is aimed specifically at counter radicalization." DHS and DOJ offer counterterrorism and cultural competency training for their staff. They also provide training grants for state and local governments." page 8

"DHS and DOJ must overhaul their procedures for awarding training grants. In their current state, they counteract the aims of counter radicalization." Page 10

"It remains unclear who is leading the effort to share information and best practices. There are currently three departments and agencies which claim to be involved." DHS's Homeland Security Advisory Council, DOJ's office for Community Oriented Policing Services, and the NCTC. Page 32;

Because of this disorganization there is no clear way forward to fund counter radicalization initiatives in the private or academic sector.

Opposition: Friendly Fire: this science may encounter resistance from conservative Americans. There are several points that will mitigate this resistance and ultimately it will be viewed as a net positive by those same Americans. The science supports their faith and shows their belief in an afterlife to be a statistically valid fact.

Giggle Factor: there may be some disbelief that this topic is being considered a science. That resistance will be short lived, as a new planets in the discovery of extraterrestrial life or about becomes a certainty this will go from being something half the world's population believes in to something that is given serious study into science profoundly affects us all.

Conclusion

To fund a non profit 501(c) 3 whose mandate is to:

*Produce a college level text book teaching the Science of Reincarnation as developed at the above mentioned schools and have it taught at American and European universities that have a high populations of Near and Far Eastern Students.

*Produce a web site available in 30 languages that teaches this science.

*To fund future research to link data points between disciplines using protocols developed by the scientists themselves while involving foreign institutions to help with the data mining.

Final Effect you will stop the cycle of endless war and will retool the world.

Works Cited

Preventing Violet Radicalization in America-National Security Preparedness Group

The Science of Reincarnation-Bob Good